

SOCIETY TRENDS 2022

International Conference on Technical Advances and Human Consequences

ISBN: 978-1-68558-020-9

July 24th – 28th, 2022

Nice, France

SOCIETY TRENDS 2022 Editors

Petre Dini, IARIA, USA/EU

SOCIETY TRENDS 2022

Forward

The International Conference on Technical Advances and Human Consequences (SOCIETY TRENDS 2022) inaugural series is a dedicated series of annual events to focus on the society existential technical paradigm: "Where are We Going?". The conference was held in Nice, France, July 24 - 28, 2022.

Technical and handily solutions brought tremendous opportunities for humans. The pace the society hastily created calls for preparedness and continuous adaptation for avoiding adverse effects.

Awareness, informal denials, and weak counteractions are becoming useless at the status of the pace of innovative tendency, considering the technology complexity and weak humankind capabilities for adapting to new services/technologies.

Apart useless intended features and unintended bugs, on purpose intruders (bugs, virus, worms, etc.) are freely running on hardware&software. Unintended or ill-intended back doors are common features of all systems and applications, putting cyber-security, critical services, and ultimately all citizens at risk.

Apart security breaches and privacy invasion, addiction via the metaverse applications / environments are the main new unknown in terms of consequences.

In the recent decades, society detrimentally sacrificed the safety privacy driven control, as well as emotional and physical capacities in favor of prompt action and untested decisions, not necessarily the best. Therefore, a careful education and awareness are suitable.

We take this opportunity to thank all the members of the SOCIETY TRENDS 2022 Technical Program Committee as well as the numerous reviewers. The creation of such a broad and high-quality conference program would not have been possible without their involvement. We also kindly thank all the authors who dedicated much of their time and efforts to contribute to the SOCIETY TRENDS 2022. We truly believe that, thanks to all these efforts, the final conference program consists of top quality contributions.

This event could also not have been a reality without the support of many individuals, organizations, and sponsors. We are grateful to the members of the SOCIETY TRENDS 2022 organizing committee for their help in handling the logistics and for their work to make this professional meeting a success.

We hope the SOCIETY TRENDS 2022 was a successful international forum for the exchange of ideas and results between academia and industry and to promote further progress with respect to technical trends in society. We also hope that Nice provided a pleasant environment during the conference and everyone saved some time for exploring this beautiful city

SOCIETY TRENDS 2022 Chairs

SOCIETY TRENDS 2022 Steering Committee

Christine Perakslis, Arizona State University, USA C Samer Al-Khateeb, Creighton University, USA Mihai Aurel Constantinescu, Orange Business Services, IMEAR Region, Romania Rodica Neamtu, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA

SOCIETY TRENDS 2022

COMMITTEE

SOCIETY TRENDS Steering Committee

Christine Perakslis, Arizona State University, USA C Samer Al-Khateeb, Creighton University, USA Mihai Aurel Constantinescu, Orange Business Services, IMEAR Region, Romania Rodica Neamtu, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA

SOCIETY TRENDS 2022 Technical Program Committee

Md Shoaib Ahmed, Brain Station 23, Dhaka, Bangladesh Ahmad Alnafessah, Imperial College London, UK Elizabeth Aube-Van Patten, Western Governors University, USA Tanjim Taharat Aurpa, Independent University Bangladesh (IUB), Bangladesh Snježana Babić, Polytechnic of Rijeka / Juraj Dobrila University of Pula, Croatia Tetiana Biloborodova, G.E. Pukhov Institute for Modelling in Energy Engineering, Kyiv, Ukraine Marcos A. F. Borges, Unicamp - University of Campinas, Brazil Ignacio Gabriel Bugueño Córdova, University of Chile / University of O'Higgins, Chile Sonia Casillas-Martín, University of Salamanca, Spain Daniel Cermak-Sassenrath, Center for Computer Games Research - ITU, Copenhagen, Denmark Sinan Chen, Kobe University, Japan Stefano Cirillo, University of Salerno, Italy Mihai Aurel Constantinescu, Orange Business Services, IMEAR Region, Romania António Guilherme Correia, INESC TEC, Portugal Ricardo Costa, School of Engineering - Polytechnic of Porto, Portugal Luis Ferreira, IPCA, Portugal Hamdan Gani, STMIK Handayani, Makassar, Indonesia Jorge Gil Tejeda, Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana Unidad Xochimilco, Mexico Luca Grilli, Università degli Studi di Foggia, Italy Cédric Grueau, Instituto Politécnico de Setúbal, Portugal Ekta Gujral, University of California - Riverside / Walmart Inc., USA Delowar Hossain, University of Calgary, Canada Tim Howes, Johnson & Wales University - Rhode Island, USA Xin Huang, University of Maryland at Baltimore County, USA Hamidah Ibrahim, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia Svetlana Karkina, Kazan Federal University, Russia Andreas Koch, Center for Ethics and Poverty Research | Paris Lodron Universität Salzburg, Austria Hanna Kondratiuk, Fraunhofer Institute, Germany Sondes Ksibi, University of Carthage | Higher School of Communications of Tunis, Tunisia Hanna Kujawska, Siemens Energy, Norway Laurie Lau, APATAS, Hong Kong Dongxin Liu, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, USA Christopher Mansour, Mercyhurst University, USA José Martins, INESC TEC & Polytechnic of Leiria, Portugal Fernando Moreira, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

Tarak Nandy, University of Malaya, Malaysia Rodica Neamtu, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, USA Harald Øverby, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Norway Carlos E. Palau Salvador, Universitat Politècnica de València, Spain Vitor Pinheiro de Almeida, Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro (PUC-Rio), Brazil Paulo Pinto, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal Vassilis Poulopoulos, University of the Peloponnese, Greece Maryam Rezaei Ghaleh, Tabriz Art University, Iran Marzieh Rezaei Ghaleh, Arizona State University, USA Debashish Roy, Ryerson University, Canada Rupsa Saha, University of Agder, Norway Donna M. Schaeffer, Marymount University, USA Davide Senatori, Università degli Studi di Genova, Italy Soroosh Shalileh, National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia Deepanjal Shrestha, Pokhara University, Nepal Micael Sousa, University of Coimbra, Portugal Wendley Souza da Silva, Federal University of Ceará - UFC, Brazil Christoph Stach, Institute for Parallel and Distributed Systems / University of Stuttgart, Germany J. Carles Terés Casals, FGC, Spain Laura Toma, Bowdoin College, USA Patricia Torrijos Fincias, University of Salamanca, Spain John Varlaro, Johnson & Wales University - Rhode Island, USA Sonia Verdugo Castro, Universidad de Salamanca, Spain Jason C.S. Yen, National Chin-Yi University of Technology, Taiwan Wenbin Zhang, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

Copyright Information

For your reference, this is the text governing the copyright release for material published by IARIA.

The copyright release is a transfer of publication rights, which allows IARIA and its partners to drive the dissemination of the published material. This allows IARIA to give articles increased visibility via distribution, inclusion in libraries, and arrangements for submission to indexes.

I, the undersigned, declare that the article is original, and that I represent the authors of this article in the copyright release matters. If this work has been done as work-for-hire, I have obtained all necessary clearances to execute a copyright release. I hereby irrevocably transfer exclusive copyright for this material to IARIA. I give IARIA permission or reproduce the work in any media format such as, but not limited to, print, digital, or electronic. I give IARIA permission to distribute the materials without restriction to any institutions or individuals. I give IARIA permission to submit the work for inclusion in article repositories as IARIA sees fit.

I, the undersigned, declare that to the best of my knowledge, the article is does not contain libelous or otherwise unlawful contents or invading the right of privacy or infringing on a proprietary right.

Following the copyright release, any circulated version of the article must bear the copyright notice and any header and footer information that IARIA applies to the published article.

IARIA grants royalty-free permission to the authors to disseminate the work, under the above provisions, for any academic, commercial, or industrial use. IARIA grants royalty-free permission to any individuals or institutions to make the article available electronically, online, or in print.

IARIA acknowledges that rights to any algorithm, process, procedure, apparatus, or articles of manufacture remain with the authors and their employers.

I, the undersigned, understand that IARIA will not be liable, in contract, tort (including, without limitation, negligence), pre-contract or other representations (other than fraudulent misrepresentations) or otherwise in connection with the publication of my work.

Exception to the above is made for work-for-hire performed while employed by the government. In that case, copyright to the material remains with the said government. The rightful owners (authors and government entity) grant unlimited and unrestricted permission to IARIA, IARIA's contractors, and IARIA's partners to further distribute the work.

Table of Contents

Recommendation Systems Supporting the Educational Procedure: the Case of Grifobot Vassilis Poulopoulos, Manolis Wallace, Panagiotis Kokkinos, and Marina Lantzouni

Recommendation Systems Supporting the Educational Procedure: the Case of Grifobot

Vassilis Poulopoulos, Manolis Wallace, Panagiotis Kokkinos, Marina Lantzouni

Knowledge and Uncertainty Research Laboratory University of the Peloponnese Tripolis, Greece vacilos@uop.gr, wallace@uop.gr, p.kokkinos@uop.gr, lantzouni@sch.gr

Abstract—As we enter a novel era for education, the need for adaptation of both students and their teachers to the modern educational procedures arises. Using technology in education and especially games as a learning tool has gained attention throughout the years but it seems the time has come for it to be applied on large scale. Under these circumstances, we have designed and implemented "Grifobot" an online Game-Based Learning platform. Grifobot was initially designed to provide an entertaining learning tool, but pivoted and changed to become a recommendation system that can support the educational procedures. In this paper, we present the main idea of the recommendation system and the first results of its experimental evaluation.

Index Terms—educational procedure, recommendation systems, modern education, grifobot

I. INTRODUCTION

The educational procedure as a whole has gained attention during the first months of 2020, due to the "lockdowns" applied in many countries worldwide, as a precaution measure against the COVID-19 pandemic. Several educational systems – including Greece's – were forced to apply, in a matter of days, distance learning procedures. The political decisions were the ones that directly affected the social, economical and cultural aspects of our lives, leading students to face a new educational process.

While the use of novel technologies in education is a research field that flourishes for decades, still, many teachers and educators have difficulties adopting them. In fact, a large number of educators avoid the usage of technology, as it is not directly supported by the educational systems in several countries. Researching the state of the art in the combination of technology and education, reveals that the discussion remains the same for more than 30 years. In 1987, Seymour Papert - the father of LOGO - wrote about "computer criticism vs technocentric thinking" in an attempt to analyze the different points of view between "technologists" and "humanists" [1]. He furthermore analyzed how a specific computer programming language (LOGO) can be used as a cultural building material, and not as a conservative educational policy. The discussion was not about the use of technology at school or not, but on how to successfully adopt the technology and how to teach it in the classroom. Ten years later - more than 20 years ago - Fabry and Higgs performed research on the barriers of effective use of Technology in Education [2].

They concluded that despite the existence of the infrastructure, there were still persistent barriers to overcome, including not only the lack of sufficient computer units for the students, but most importantly the lack of technical support, and inadequate professional development. At the same time, research on the effects of technology integration in education on the attitudes of teachers and students, revealed that in 1995 the U.S. Congress Office of Technology Assessment reported that "helping teachers effectively incorporate technology into the teaching and learning process is one of the most important steps the nation can take to make the most of past and continuing investments in educational technology"; which lead to the conclusion that for more than 25 years countries were trying to adopt technology in education [4]. On the other hand, from the very past [3] till nowadays [5] [32] [33] the question remains the same: what is the attitude of educators towards technology in education?

Technology has emerged during the last decades, offering a wide variety of solutions to several sectors, education included. There is a considerable amount of literature regarding the available tools and how they can be used in the classroom. It is important to focus on educators who are not technologyrelated, as they are the ones that are reluctant in using digital tools in class. Throughout the last - many - years there is a keyword repeating itself in the research in the field ICT and Education: "new technology tools". Researchers and educators keep collaborating in order to generate novel tools that can be used during the educational procedure. Starting from the very early ages (1980s), videos, images and sounds progressing to today's tools for synchronous and asynchronous communication, technology can play an important role in a classroom. Tools for asynchronous education include multimedia, online data (encyclopedias), web forms (tests), forums; while tools for synchronous educational procedure lead mainly to teleconference platforms and online chats. In parallel there is a number of tools - not necessarily related to classrooms, but directly related to the educational procedures - that use serious games in education leading a field often referred to as Game-Based Learning [36]. A large number of EU projects (as well as EU calls for funding) are directly related to Game-Based Learning. EU-funded projects like NAVIGATE, GUINEVERE, Beaconing, Mobile Game-Based Learning and SIG-GLUE are only some of the projects that have as their main scope Game-Based Learning and serious games.

In this paper, we will present Grifobot, an online educational game created during the COVID-19 lock-down in order to educate and entertain primary school students, which turned out to be a very successful tool that was finally altered and enhanced to become a teacher's supporting tool. The initial problem-solving-using-code tool (the first version of Grifobot) was changed in order to include recommendations for automated or supportive education. This online game is a proof that a paradigm shift in education is possible, and that both students and teachers can adapt to such unexpected situations, if they are provided with the appropriate tools.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section II presents related work in the field of educational games and recommendation systems, while Section III presents the architecture of Grifobot. Section IV presents the experimental evaluation of the online game and Section V concludes with future remarks.

II. RELATED WORK

Game-Based Learning is a research field that has gained attention since the early years of modern pedagogical systems formulation. John Magney wrote back in 1990 that "Game-Based Teaching is a relatively recent addition to the formal curricula of schools and colleges", but still he recognizes Game-Based Learning as a procedure that can lead back to examples from the past (e.g., war games) [7]. Although the game procedures are recognized as a learning procedure for more than 30 years, it is the evolution of technology that provided more assistance and infrastructure to both students and educators in order to apply them in the classroom. A similar outcome is described in [9], stating that computer games may create a new learning culture. Gee focuses more on video games and their "ability" to be ideal for learning [34].

From the early 2000' Prensky noted that students need motivation in order to successfully learn [8]. Students often refer to their educational system and procedures as "boring" or "dry", as the same researcher states. He also expresses his certainty that a combination of learning and the motivation of games is exactly what the learners need.

Trying to define what consists of the first part of the research field "game" is usually assumed as a digital game, but it is not always the case [12]. In [13], the game is described as "a system in which players engage in an artificial conflict, defined by rules, that results in a quantifiable outcome", which is sufficient for the involvement of gaming procedures in the educational procedure. But what is this "game" all about in a classroom? Kili recognizes four main aspects of Game-Based Learning (GBL) [10]. It is all about *Motivation* [14], *Player Engagement* [15], *Adaptivity* [16] and *Graceful Failure* [17].

An analysis of the role of games in education, and how they can be used, or even the exact models for each class or lesson is nowadays a multi-disciplinary field of research including several different proposals that cover a very wide variety of educators' and students needs [18] [19] [20] [21]. In parallel, there is literature that criticizes the use of video-games as a learning tool [22].

A number of EU-funded projects are related to the GBL and "serious" games field as the European Commission provides financial tools for the combination of education and technology. "Gaming Horizons" [23] is a project funded by the European Commission under the Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme. Its scope is to democratically open up new areas of public value for the games industry as a whole, beyond sectorial distinctions between "leisure" and "serious" games. Navigate project [24] is an Erasmus+ project that intends to apply an innovative approach based on digital gaming to increase competences on information literacy (IL), starting from higher education students in Humanities. **BEACONING** - that stands for Breaking Educational Barriers with Contextualised, Pervasive and Gameful Learning - is another EU-funded project that focuses on 'anytime anywhere' learning by exploiting pervasive, context-aware and gamified techniques and technologies, framed under the Problem-Based Learning approach [25]. ProActive project [26] and SIG-GLUE project [27] as part of the Lifelong Learning EU funding scheme, explore paths of the usage of technology for learning and life-long learning, while a number of projects are exploring the combination of technology and education [28] [29] [30] [31].

Grifobot is a prototype attempt to empower the use of technology in education aiming to facilitate educators in evaluating the skills and level of their students, and to help them to recognize the weaknesses of their class and adjust their educational procedure accordingly.

III. GRIFOBOT

Grifobot is an online game that was designed and implemented within a very short period of time as its scope was entertainment and education during the COVID-19 lockdown. The game's name is a compound bilingual word made up from the greek word $\gamma\rho\iota\phi os$ meaning riddle, connundrum, and the word bot.

Its initial version was very simple and focused on maths, language and literature. The game consists of a square board (5x5) with cells. Each cell is either empty, blocked or has a quiz as presented in Figure 1.

The students have an avatar with an initial position. The scope of the game is to solve as many quizzes as possible, guiding the avatar from question to question trying to find the shortest path. Each time a correct answer is given, the avatar must move to the correct cell using code. Giving the wrong answers means missing the quiz and points. The "code" was actually a process of finding the steps that lead from the current position to the position of the correct answer.

A. The Game

The game is simple to use and easy for the students to learn. Each student is registered to the system recording his/her grade. According to the grade, the system presents boards with questions on maths and literature. The students have to find the correct answer and guide their avatar to the cell for the next question. They are instructed to use the shortest path through their way to the questions as this procedure is the one that helps earning the most points.



Fig. 1. Board of Grifobot's initial version.

B. The idea of the recommender version

Grifobot had gained enough attention and had undergone three major changes, and it was already interactive enough to perform the next step, which was adding features that will make Grifobot an educational tool for the teachers. The questions were separated into categories and sections, and were assigned a difficulty level. The role of the teacher was added to the back-end of the system and a new dashboard was designed for them. Each teacher could create his/her own class and create questions and quizzes for each class. The quizzes could have categories (e.g., maths, geography, history), sections of categories (e.g., maths/fractions, history/Trojan War, etc.) and a difficulty level of each section in a range of one to ten (1..10). Furthermore, the quizzes (categories and sections) were "sequenced"; meaning that the section fractions was placed after the section integer numbers. The students were able to follow a specific category and section (with the guidance of their teacher) and start the quizzes. According to their progress the system was able to recognize their strengths and weakness and either progress to the next difficulty level and the next section, or repeat the procedure until the student is strong enough to progress. In case a student remained weak, the system could downgrade the level. The teacher is aware of the students' progress and is able to know the strengths and weaknesses of each one.



Fig. 2. System architecture.

C. Architecture

The system architecture follows the classic client-server model, having the game logic both in the back-end as well as in the web-based front-end. The system has several levels of discrete tiers while it is built-in open standards in order to easily adapt add-ons and useful extensions (Figure 2). The basis of the system is a database layer that stores information about the games and the users and stores the pool of questions to be answered. Each question has a number of metadata including category, section, level, type of answer, accompanying images, correct answer, possible answers, book reference and explanation. According to the type of procedure that an educator wants to follow the respective fields can be filled with data. By filling the complete set of metadata, the student is be able to see the correct answer, to get some assistance from the system, to find a book reference so that she or he can search for the correct answer.

The question management module is responsible for handling all the information related to the questions and answers. The main back-end features include - apart from the question management - the parts of the user management as well as the recommender system. User management module stores information about the system users, educators, students and system administrators. The user data include information that is useful system-wise (student grade) and classroom-wise. In the latter we can find information about what kind of questions are answered (category), what is the level for each category, in which level the student shows strengths and weaknesses, and general data including playing duration, number of boards solved and more.

Grifobot is designed and implemented on Laravel framework [6] and its main code engine can be found on Github [?]. A board generator is the final module of the "back-end" as it includes a mechanism to create a non-blocking board, selecting the appropriate type of questions, size of boards and graphics. The output of the board generator is a web-standards object and specifically it is JSON formatted code. This selection is made in order to decouple the procedure of board creation to the end-device. The rest of the game-logic is upon the clientside implementation and is also available on the open game repository.

This implementation helped us create a totally different look and feel for the recommender version of the system without having to change anything related to the code or logic, just by altering the client side styling. This was selected in order to attract the students to use the system (Figure 5).



Fig. 3. Board used by the recommender system.

D. Recommendation System - Assisting Educators

The recommendation system is built as a main part of the system, as the whole system pivots towards this direction. The recommendation system follows a two step procedure in the students' evaluation. The first step is the identification of the students' capabilities through an assessment procedure. The second step includes iterations over a number of questions, in order to locate the weaknesses and strengths of each student.

The system uses different subject categories, topics in categories and difficulty levels of a specific topic within a category. For each of the topics the questions can be assigned a level; for example the question 1+1 is of lower level, compared to the question 6+3 (within the same topic).

1) System Initialization: The assessment procedure on a particular category, starts by selecting random questions from the lower level of the first topic. If the questions are answered correctly and quickly, the assessment procedure raises the level. If top level in a topic is reached the next topic is selected. If a student is not able to reach the top level the assessment procedure stops. The combination of topic/level indicates the progress of the user. For example, if a user manages to complete all the questions up to the third topic second level, then its progress within the Grifobot system is 3.2. The system records this number as the current level of the user for the specific category.

The assessment provides information to the teacher on the level of understanding of the student or for the whole classroom. This step is performed, when students enter a new category, in order to determine his/her overall status. It is also helpful for the educators in order to recognize the level of understanding of their classroom.

2) Main procedure: According to the progress recognized from the initialization procedure, a student can start performing exercises on his/her current level. The system keeps providing questions from the current level, while giving clues and tips related to techniques or useful information to help the students find the correct answer. A level is considered to be "completed" when a user is able to find solutions for more than 75% of the questions without using system tips and clues. If all levels of a topic are completed, then the user is able to progress to the next topic. Finalizing the whole set of topics means that the user has "mastered" the current category.

E. The system as a tool

The system can be used throughout the year as it offers several positive aspects for both the students and the educators. First of all, talking about a "serious" game with scoreboard among the students of the same classroom it motivates the users to play and learn, it engages the students with the current gaming procedures, its support and help on error mechanism provides graceful failure and it helps the educators adapt on the needs of the classroom. It is both a tool for revision and a tool to recognize the weaknesses of students or the classroom. So, it can be helpful in order to personalize the teaching procedure for groups of students or even sole students.

IV. SYSTEM USAGE

With the help of educators from primary and secondary schools in Greece, the system was tested in a real environment and more specifically the tests were performed during the period of lockdown (due to COVID-19) using Grifobot as just an educational game to play.

45 students participated in the game consisting of 7 topics with 3 levels, in the category of Maths. This would make the system having the levels 1.1 to level 7.3. An assessment procedure was initiated in order to define the level of each student. As the procedure was initiated almost in the middle of the year the assessment concluded to the graph presented in Figure 4. A first outcome was that the majority of the students could reach up to topic 4 level 3 (22 students), while an almost equal amount (20 students) could reach levels 4.1 or 4.2. This indicator helped the educator realize on which specific point in the theoretical part of the lesson he/she could focus and progress.



Fig. 4. Assessment of students.

The students keep "playing" with the system as it would present their score in a table per topic and level (Figure ??), a part that they really enjoyed and engaged them (according to their comments). The parts that students enjoyed most according to their comments- were on the one hand the game itself and the fact that they would be able to watch the scores within the classroom and on the other hand the fact that when they were unable to provide the correct answer, they were guided by the system in order to locate the correct answer in their books.

Αποτελέσματα: Βιολογία Α΄ Γυμνασίου, 3.4 Μεταφορά και αποβολιί ουσιών στον άνθρωπο					
# Αρχική σελίδα					
Qéan	Παίκτης	Πόντοι	Anavtriders	Kividers	Χρόνος
1	- and the second se	891	12	21	228sec
2	Kupuukri B.	891	12	21	445sec
3		869	12	25	5%sec
4	Γιωρχος	857	33	25	7342ec
5	โหมงกร	857	21	25	1774sec
6	zón	826	π	27	1634sec
7	Mavóitins	824	π	22	198560
8	ládovas	820	π	22	89820C
9	Mapiva	811	12	25	616sec
10	Sec Sec.	811	12	25	938sec
π	ioli	806	π	23	97436-C
12	Martha	774	π	21	92020C
18		761	π	23	40056C

Fig. 5. Sample results table.

The system was tested during the difficult period of the lockdown, as such, the students were more "on their own"



Fig. 6. Students' progress in 10 weeks time.

when reading and progressing rather than under the strict supervision of their educators. Under this circumstance, the system could monitor their progress in a 10 weeks time period that they used the Grifobot recommender system. It is clear that all the students managed to make even a small progress with the majority of them (more than 70%) being able to progress more than 2 topics in average.

Figure 6 presents a comparison of the different levels of students progress. The solid line represents students that scored higher during the assessment, the dashed line represents students with medium (to lower) scores while the dotted line represents students with the lowest score. It is obvious that the system was able to record their progress through time and helped the teacher realize during the 6-8 weeks that there is a difficulty in progress for a number of students which was inspected quick enough in order to progress better during the last 2 weeks.

V. CONCLUSIONS

As GBL gains more and more attention and while technology becomes a part of our educational systems, Grifobot introduced a novel educational culture, combining a "serious" game with the educational procedure. We performed a detailed analysis on the importance of gaming within the classroom and by recognizing the problems that both students and teachers face we built Grifobot.

Grifobot, an online platform that was created during the lock-down due to COVID-19 virus, was constructed in order to support students of the preliminary schools revise their lessons. A system that turned out to become a recommendation system that can support the educational procedure within a classroom. The usage of the system can help gain the four main aspects of game-based learning: motivation, engagement, adaptability, graceful failure, as well as empower the teachers with a tool to analyze the strengths and weaknesses of their classrooms; and thus their lessons' outlines.

We presented experimental results of the system's usage within a real classroom and how this provided evidence to the educators about the progress that the class is able to make according to the curriculum. The system - being at an experimental phase of application in classrooms - is a live organization changing frequently, adapting to educators' and students' needs and is enhanced with novel and innovative features in order to cover the excessive demands that young people have.

Some of the features to be applied in the next versions include advanced graphics interface in order to further enhance children's engagement, create a mobile application instead of mobile friendly web application, construct an adventure game that will further enhance the coding part of the system and create a double-interface API in order for game developers to be able to create add-ons that can easily be connected to Grifobot platform.

An important aspect of the system is the ability to support several different levels of education. As the core of the system remains the same (solving problems), the accompanying environment can be adapted to the needs of students. An experimentation of the system that is being conduct the last year is examining whether a system like Grifobot, can be applied to University Students with non-technical background. The idea is that students mainly of humanities can benefit from a system that could be used as a recommender system, in order to monitor their progress, and be a tool for assessment, while in parallel being able to compete and learn soft-skills related to algorithms and sequential coding procedures.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work was partially funded by ARTEST project (project no. 618802-EPP-1-2020-1-DE-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP. ARTEST Project is co-funded by the ERASMUS+ Programme of the European Union.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Papert, Information technology and education: Computer criticism vs. technocentric thinking. Educational researcher, 16(1), pp. 22-30, 1987.
- [2] D. L. Fabry and J. R. Higgs, Barriers to the effective use of technology in education: Current status. Journal of educational computing research, 17(4), pp. 385-395, 1997.
- [3] C. P. Gressard and B. H. Loyd, Validation studies of a new computer attitude scale. AEDS Journal, 19(4), pp. 295-301, 1986.
- [4] R. Christensen, Effects of technology integration education on the attitudes of teachers and students. Journal of Research on technology in Education, 34(4), pp. 411-433, 2002.
- [5] L. N. Tabata and L. K. Johnsrud (). The impact of faculty attitudes toward technology, distance education, and innovation. Research in higher education, 49(7), 625, 2008.
- [6] Laravel, the PHP Framework for Web Artisans. http://laravel.com (last accessed June 2022)
- [7] J. Magney, Game-based teaching. The Education Digest, 55(5), 54, 1990.
 [8] M. Prensky, Digital game-based learning. Computers in Entertainment
- [8] M. Prensky, Digral game-based learning. Computers in Entertainment (CIE), 1(1), pp. 21-21, 2003.
- [9] D. A. Norman, Things that make us smart: defending human attributes in the age of the machine, 1993.
- [10] K. Kiili, Digital game-based learning: Towards an experiential gaming model. The Internet and higher education, 8(1), pp. 13-24, 2005.
- [11] J. P. Gee, What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. Computers in Entertainment (CIE), 1(1), pp. 20-20, 2003.
- [12] J. L. Plass, B. D. Homer, and C. K. Kinzer, Foundations of game-based learning. Educational Psychologist, 50(4), pp. 258-283, 2015.
- [13] K. Salen, K. S. Tekinbaş, and E. Zimmerman, Rules of play: Game design fundamentals. MIT press, 2004.
- [14] S. Hidi and K. A. Renninger, The four-phase model of interest development. Educational psychologist, 41(2), pp. 111-127, 2006.

- [15] A. I. Abdul Jabbar and P. Felicia, Gameplay engagement and learning in game-based learning: A systematic review. Review of educational research, 85(4), pp. 740-779, 2015.
- [16] R. Azevedo, J. G. Cromley, D. C. Moos, J. A. Greene, and F. I. Winters, Adaptive content and process scaffolding: A key to facilitating students' self-regulated learning with hypermedia. Psychological Test and Assessment Modeling, 53(1), 106, 2011.
- [17] W. Hoffman, AI and the Future of Cyber Competition. Center for Security and Emerging Technology. https://cset.georgetown.edu/publication/ai-and-the-future-of-cybercompetition, 2021.
- [18] C. Y.Chang and G. J. Hwang, Trends in digital game-based learning in the mobile era: a systematic review of journal publications from 2007 to 2016. International Journal of Mobile Learning and Organisation, 13(1), pp. 68-90, 2019.
- [19] A. Theodoropoulos, Framework for the effective implementation of alternative teaching methods for informatics, PhD Thesis, Fac. of Economy, Management and Informatics, Dept. of Informatics and Telecommunications, 2017.
- [20] A. Theodoropoulos, A. Antoniou, and G. Lepouras, How do different cognitive styles affect learning programming? Insights from a gamebased approach in Greek schools. ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE), 17(1), pp. 1-25, 2016.
- [21] I. Antonellis, C. Bouras, and V. Poulopoulos, Game based learning for mobile users. In 6th International Conference on Computer Games: AI and Mobile Systems, 2005.
- [22] M. Ronimus, K. Eklund, L. Pesu, and H. Lyytinen, Supporting struggling readers with digital game-based learning. Educational Technology Research and Development, 67(3), 639-663, 2019.
- [23] Gaming Horizons project. Alternative Framings for a new role of gaming in education and society. GA 732332, https://www.gaminghorizons.eu/ (last accessed June 2022).
- [24] Navigate EU project. Information Literacy: A Game-based Learning Approach for Avoiding Fake Content. ERASMUS+ Project 2017-1-BG01-KA203-036383, https://www.navigateproject.eu/ (last accessed June 2022).
- [25] Beaconing EU project Breaking Educational Barriers with Contextualised, Pervasive and Gameful Learning. GA 687676, https://beaconing.eu/ (last accessed June 2022).
- [26] ProActive EU Project. Fostering Teachers' Creativity through Game-Based Learning. Lifelong Learning Programme. 505469-LLP-1-2009-1-ES-KA3-KA3MP
- [27] I. Antonellis, C. Bouras, V. Kapoulas, and V. Poulopoulos, Enhancing a web-based community: the case of SIG-GLUE. International Journal of Web Based Communities, 2(1), pp. 112-130, 2006.
- [28] Engage, Enhancing teachiNG And learninG English in a digitalized world https://www.euprojects.gr/en/portfolio-items/engage/ (last accessed June 2022).
- [29] ID GAMES Co-Create assistive games for people with Intellectual Disability to enhance their inclusion. https://www.euprojects.gr/en/portfolioitems/id-games/ (last accessed June 2022).
- [30] Skills-Up. Online education focused on talent development, career development and soft-skills of the unemployed. https://www.euprojects.gr/en/portfolio-items/skillsup/ (last accessed June 2022).
- [31] DIGITART Digital Systems for a Smart Approach to ART https://www.euprojects.gr/en/portfolio-items/digitart/ (last accessed June 2022).
- [32] J. Tondeur, A. Forkosh-Baruch, S. Prestridge, P. Albion, and S. Edirisinghe, Responding to challenges in teacher professional development for ICT integration in education. Journal of educational technology society, 19(3), pp. 110-120, 2016.
- [33] R. Scherer, F. Siddiq, and J. Tondeur, The technology acceptance model (TAM): A meta-analytic structural equation modeling approach to explaining teachers' adoption of digital technology in education. Computers Education, 128, pp. 13-35 (2019).
- [34] J. P. Gee, Good video games+ good learning: Collected essays on video games, learning, and literacy. Peter Lang, 2007.
- [35] Grifobot Main Engine Repository, https://github.com/vacilos/grifobot (last accessed June 2022).
- [36] R. Dörner, S. Göbel, W. Effelsberg, and J. Wiemeyer, Serious games. Cham: Springer, 2016.