

# Enhancing Ultra-Wideband Localization Accuracy Using Spatial Filtering

Fahad Alsifiany

*Department of IT and Artificial Intelligence*

*King Fahad Security College*

Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Email: sufyanf@kfsc.edu.sa

**Abstract**—Ultra-Wideband (UWB) technology has emerged as a promising technology for use in precise indoor and outdoor localization systems, and has become handy for the rapidly growing fields of robotics and automation. The performance and accuracy of this technology are, however, affected significantly by multipath propagation, where the signals are exposed to reflection, scattering, and diffraction in the environment. This research embarks on improving UWB positioning accuracy by using spatial filtering techniques, with special emphasis on beamforming. A UWB localization model is developed for use in ranging for both indoor and outdoor environments. Theoretical modeling and simulations are then carried out to understand the influence of different environmental factors on the received UWB signals. Simulations are further carried out on the developed system, which utilizes beamforming to achieve spatial filtering of UWB signals. The experimental results show a significant improvement in UWB positioning accuracy compared to conventional non-beamforming methods.

**Index Terms**—UWB; Spatial filtering; Beamforming; Accuracy; NLOS; LOS.

## I. INTRODUCTION

### A. Localization Systems

Localization refers to the process of determining the exact or tentative location of an object, machine, or person in an indoor or outdoor environment. Most of the existing localization systems utilize technologies, such as Wi-Fi, Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Infrared (IR), Global Positioning Systems (GPS), Bluetooth, and Ultra-Wideband (UWB). Most of the aforementioned technologies have been efficient for localization purposes in indoor environments. GPS particularly has been utilized in outdoor localization systems while UWB has proven to be efficient in both indoor and outdoor environments [1]. With the rapid growth of technology, there is a need for accurate localization systems. The fields of automation and robotics stand to benefit more from any forthcoming development in this field.

Despite being in existence for a long time, UWB technology has not been fully exploited [2]. This technology uses a broad spectrum of frequencies to transmit data over short distances with high data rates. The technology has proven to be more accurate in positioning as compared to other technologies [3]. Improving the accuracy of UWB localization would therefore offer us a futuristic solution to our growing localization needs.

### B. Accuracy in UWB Systems

To improve accuracy, researchers have proposed different algorithms for use in UWB systems. They include advanced signal processing algorithms such as spatial filtering and Machine Learning (ML) algorithms [4]. In addition, optimized antenna arrays have been proposed to play an important role in achieving high spatial resolution and accuracy for these systems. By addressing the challenge of accuracy and implementing these strategies, UWB systems can realize their potential as reliable solutions for real-world applications [5].

### C. Statement of the Problem

UWB technology is slowly gaining popularity as a promising technology to use for future localization needs. This is due to its ability to utilize a wide bandwidth, which gives it a high data rate capability. It also has low power consumption and has a better performance in Non-Line Of Sight (NLOS) scenarios and cluttered spaces than other localization technologies. This also makes it suitable for use in both indoor and outdoor environments. The accuracy of UWB localization systems is critical for their successful deployment in its various applications. This research explores a conventional beamforming algorithm as a spatial filtering technique to suppress unwanted signals due to multipath and interference, to realize accurate localization of objects using UWB technology.

### D. Aims and Objectives

- i. Develop a spatial filtering technique for use in UWB systems to improve the accuracy of localization in both indoor and outdoor environments.
- ii. Implement a conventional beamforming algorithm to enhance UWB signal directionality and mitigate interference and multipath effects.
- iii. Test the developed model through real-world experiments and simulations, comparing its performance with existing accuracy-improvement methods for UWB localization systems.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the related work. Section III presents the theoretical framework. Section IV describes the methodology approach. In Section V, results and discussion are shown. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section VI.

## II. RELATED WORK

Multiple researches have been conducted with an aim of improving the accuracy of UWB localization. The authors in [1] propose a UWB localization system utilizing Adaptive Kalman Filter to improve its accuracy. This realized improvement accuracy by significantly reducing the noise in measurements and NLOS effects. This work is similar to [4], which proposes an efficient Iterated Adaptive Kalman Filter (IAKF) algorithm for indoor positioning drift correction. The research contributes to addressing one of the major challenges in UWB localization, which is the accumulation of errors over time. The IAKF method demonstrates promising results in minimizing drift, thus improving the accuracy of UWB-based systems.

The authors in [2] investigate UWB positioning accuracy in a warehouse environment. Their research addresses the challenges posed by complex indoor settings, such as multipath effects and non-line-of-sight UWB signal propagation. In [3], an indoor positioning system utilizing UWB technology based on a digital twin concept is presented. This work explores the potential of integrating virtual models of the physical environment to enhance UWB-based positioning accuracy. The digital twin approach allows for real-time adjustments and optimizations, thereby improving the overall accuracy and reliability of the system.

The authors in [6] develop an UWB indoor positioning algorithm under the influence of human occlusion and spatial non-line-of-sight conditions. This study acknowledges the impact of human presence on UWB signal propagation. The work also investigates techniques to mitigate localization errors caused by these factors. This work proposes the development of robust algorithms for improving the accuracy of UWB systems in dynamic real-world scenarios.

In [7], the authors contribute to this field by outlining key algorithms that would go a long way to improve the ranging in UWB systems. These algorithms include Unilateral Dual Range Ranging, Symmetrical Two-Way Round Range Ranging (SDS-TWR) and Asymmetric Bilateral Two-Way Ranging (ADS-TWR).

The authors in [8] introduce an Indoor Positioning System (IPS) utilizing UWB technology for the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT). The study supports the use of UWB-based localization in industrial applications. It also highlights the potential impact of accurate indoor positioning on IIoT systems.

In [9], deeper insights into UWB-based indoor localization techniques are provided. This serves as a foundation for the broader exploration of advanced spatial filtering approaches, such as beamforming presented in this work.

## III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

### A. UWB Technology

UWB devices operate at a frequency between 3.1 GHz and 10.6 GHz. UWB technology offers several advantages, including immunity to multi-path losses and the ability to transmit

signals through opaque media. It can also be delivered over wire cables, providing increased bandwidth without requiring changes to existing infrastructure. UWB devices can operate at very low power, allowing for multiple users and a data rate exceeding 100 Mb/s. Additionally, UWB signals are difficult to detect due to their wide bandwidth, and communication can be done securely through unique randomization of codes at a million bits/s. UWB technology provides robust communication due to its large processing power.

### B. Line Of Sight (LOS) and Non-Line Of Sight (NLOS) Propagation of UWB Signals

Line-Of-Sight (LOS) propagation of UWB signals occurs when the signal travels in a straight line between a transmitter and receiver without any significant obstructions. This results in low attenuation, high Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), and minimal delay spread, making it ideal for high accuracy applications such as radar imaging, location tracking, and wireless communication. However, in real-world environments, obstacles such as buildings, trees, and walls can cause signal blockage, reflection, diffraction, and scattering, leading to NLOS propagation that can significantly affect the performance and reliability of UWB systems. To mitigate these effects, techniques such as adaptive antenna arrays, time-of-arrival estimation, and angle-of-arrival estimation can be used to improve signal quality and reduce errors in UWB communication systems.

Figure 1 shows UWB signals propagation in LOS and NLOS scenarios [10].




Figure 1. LOS and NLOS propagation of UWB signals [10].

### C. UWB Localization Systems

UWB localization systems utilize different algorithms for measurement approximations. These algorithms include:

- Time Of Arrival (TOA)
- Angle Of Arrival (AOA)
- Received Signal Strength (RSS)
- Time Difference Of Arrival (TDOA)
- Hybrid algorithms

1) *AOA based algorithms*: In these algorithms, two sources are considered in estimating the angle at which a signal is received at the receiver end. The angle of signal reception is then compared to the carrier phase across different antennas or the amplitude of the signal. The intersection of the angle line for each source of the signal is taken to be the location. This algorithm is complex and the geometry of the antenna array determines its accuracy. Figure 2 illustrates the AOA based algorithm [10].




Figure 2. Angle Of Arrival (AOA) [10].

2) *TOA based algorithms*: These algorithms assume arbitrary circles around multiple transmitters. The radius of these circles is taken to be the distance between the transmitter and receiver. The intersection of these circles is the point of interest [4]. Time synchronization is necessary for the transmitters but not as important for the receivers. The one-way propagation time between the transmitter and receiver helps in calculating the distance between them. Any observable delay needs to be accounted for in the calculation.

3) *TDOA based algorithms*: These algorithms rely on calculating the time difference in the arrival of a signal sent by one transmitter and received by three or more receivers. A single receiver would also be used to estimate the delta variations in the arrival times of two transmitted signals [5]. These algorithms require significant bandwidth as typically in UWB positioning, only one transmitter is available, which means that all receivers have to work in synchrony to determine the time delay in the arrival of the transmitted signal. Figure 3 is an illustration of a TDOA-based algorithm [11].

4) *RSS based algorithms*: The target being tracked monitors the signal strengths of signals from different transmitters and uses them to estimate the distance between these transmitters and receivers. This allows the receiver to calculate its relative position with respect to the transmitting nodes. These algorithms are however unpopular as they have poor accuracy in NLOS signal propagation and in multipath environments.

5) *Hybrid algorithms*: Depending on the environment where an adaptive positioning system needs to be deployed, hybrid algorithms can be used. These algorithms combine the efficiencies of two or more of the aforementioned algorithms to increase the accuracy of ranging. This approach, however, increases the expense and complexity of the system equally.




Figure 3. Time Difference Of Arrival (TDOA) [11].

#### IV. METHODOLOGY

The Qorvo DWM1000 IC Modules were used as the UWB transceiver modules. This UWB module allows items to be located in Real-Time Location Systems (RTLS) with a resolution of up to 200 meters. It is also compatible with a wide range of micro-controller units (MCUs). The frequency range of this transceiver module is 3.5 GHz to 6.5 GHz. Figure 4 shows the Qorvo DWM1000 IC Module.



Figure 4. Qorvo (DWM1000) IC Module.

In the first set-up, a Qorvo DWM1000 module was defined as the anchor transceiver. Another transceiver was placed at a distance of 30m as the tag transceiver. Localization was then performed on the tag transceiver from the anchor, and the ranged distances recorded against each of the actual distances. The tag transceiver was further moved to distances of 90 and 160m. This was carried out in two different environments. In one environment, an indoor space with cluttered space was used. The UWB signal was forced to navigate through walls and around obstacles to understand UWB performance when subjected to multipath effects. In the other environment, an outdoor space was chosen, with no obstacles and with a clear LOS. In the second set-up, 5 UWB transceivers were used as the tags. These transceivers were placed at similar distances as for those of the first set-up. Localization was then done, and the ranged distances recorded against the actual distances. This was carried out for both an indoor cluttered space and an outdoor environment.

The accuracy for the developed system was then evaluated as a percentage using the ranged and the actual distances, and

the corresponding SNR recorded. The behavior of this system was then modeled on MATLAB software. A conventional beamforming algorithm was then developed. The beamforming algorithm was used to perform spatial filtering on the modeled UWB signal, as derived from its real-world behavior.

The modeled conventional beamforming algorithm is detailed below.

Let  $x(t)$  be the UWB signal of interest, and  $n(t)$  be the additive noise. The received signal at antenna  $i$  can be expressed as:

$$y_i(t) = h_i \cdot x(t - \tau_i) + n_i(t), \quad (1)$$

where  $y_i(t)$  is the received signal at antenna  $i$  at time  $t$ ,  $h_i$  represents the channel gain of antenna  $i$ ,  $\tau_i$  is the time delay of signal arrival at antenna  $i$ , and  $n_i(t)$  is the noise at antenna  $i$ .

Calculate the beamforming weights  $w_i$  for each antenna element  $i$  to focus the reception towards the desired direction of arrival:

$$w_i = \frac{1}{N} e^{j \cdot 2\pi f_c \tau_i}, \quad (2)$$

where  $f_c$  is the carrier frequency.

The beamformed signal  $s(t)$  can be obtained by combining the received signals from all antennas after applying the beamforming weights:

$$s(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i \cdot y_i(t). \quad (3)$$

Assuming the use of Time Of Arrival (ToA) method for localization, one can estimate the time delay  $\tau$  from the received beamformed signal  $s(t)$  and use it to calculate the distance  $d$  to the source:

$$\tau = \arg \max_t |s(t)|, \quad (4)$$

$$d = \frac{c \cdot \tau}{2}, \quad (5)$$

where  $c$  is the speed of light.

---

#### Algorithm 1 Beamforming Algorithm

---

- 1: UWB signal measurements from  $N$  antennas.
  - 2: **for**  $i = 1$  to  $N$  **do**
  - 3:   Compute  $\tau_i$  (time delay).
  - 4:   Compute  $w_i = \frac{1}{N} e^{j \cdot 2\pi f_c \tau_i}$  (Beamforming weight).
  - 5: **end for**
  - 6: Beamformed signal  $s(t) = 0$ .
  - 7: **for**  $i = 1$  to  $N$  **do**
  - 8:   Obtain received signal  $y_i(t)$  from antenna  $i$ .
  - 9:   Weighted signal contribution:  $s(t) += w_i \cdot y_i(t)$ .
  - 10: **end for**
  - 11: Estimate time delay  $\tau = \arg \max_t |s(t)|$ .
  - 12: Compute distance to source:  $d = \frac{c \cdot \tau}{2}$ .
- 

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The modeled UWB system exhibited minimal variations of results for localization conducted in indoor and outdoor environments. This shows the efficiency of UWB signals to offer accurate localization even in cluttered indoor spaces.




Figure 5. Localization at 30m using 2 antennas.

Figure 5 displays a plot of the pulses for the original UWB signal and the beamformed UWB signal for a localization distance of 30m between 2 antennas in an indoor environment. The plot indicates a reduction in amplitude in the beamformed signal compared to the original signal.




Figure 6. Localization at 30m using 6 antennas.

Figure 6 presents a plot of the pulses for the original UWB signal and the beamformed UWB signal for a localization distance of 30m between 6 antennas in an indoor setting. There is a significant decline in amplitude in the beamformed signal relative to the original signal.

Figure 7 shows a plot of the pulses for the original UWB signal and the beamformed UWB signal for a localization distance of 160m between 2 antennas in an indoor environment. The plot indicates a reduction in amplitude in the beamformed signal compared to the original one.

Figure 8 illustrates a plot of the pulses for the original UWB signal and the beamformed UWB signal for a localization dis-




Figure 7. Localization at 160m using 2 antennas.




Figure 8. Localization at 160m using 6 antennas.

tance of 160m between 6 antennas in an indoor environment. The plot demonstrates a significant reduction in amplitude in the beamformed signal relative to the original signal.




Figure 9. Multipath effect using 2 antennas.

Figure 9 demonstrates the impact of conventional beam-

forming on a signal under NLOS propagation with multipath effects during localization using 2 antennas.




Figure 10. Multipath effect using 6 antennas.

Figure 10 shows the effect of conventional beamforming on a signal in a Non-Line-of-Sight (NLOS) propagation with multipath effects while performing localization using 6 antennas.




Figure 11. Beamformed and Non-Beamformed lobes.

Figure 11 depicts the signal lobes of the original and beamformed UWB signal in a modeled system that uses 4 antennas for localization, whereby one antenna serves as the anchor while the rest serve as the tags, in an indoor environment.

Figure 12 is a depiction of the accuracy prior to and post-beamforming. The maximum realized ground-truth accuracy for the developed system was around 99.5% while the maximum accuracy realized after beamforming reached 100%.

There was a significant reduction in the amplitude of the beamformed signals compared to the original signals. This property is associated with beamforming, where energy is directed in a particular direction, resulting in lower amplitudes in other directions. However, this does not necessarily represent the efficiency of the developed conventional beamforming algorithm.




Figure 12. Beamformed and Non-Beamformed accuracy.

In an attempt to establish the accuracy of the system, the SNR metric was used. By analyzing the change in SNR before and after beamforming, there was a significant measure of improvement in signal quality, which in turn played an essential role in enhancing local accuracy.

Beamforming proved more effective at longer distances than at shorter distances. This was particularly observed using multiple antennas, which notably contributes to signal diversity in the received signals. UWB signals ranged at long distances increase multipath attenuation, which can lead to signal degradation. However, the use of several antennas take advantage of the different signal properties to produce a signal with improved localization accuracy. This further supports the high efficiency levels observed in UWB localization for NLOS propagation, where optimal results were realized while utilizing multiple antennas.

## VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

Through empirical experimentation and MATLAB simulations, the study confirmed that beamforming significantly enhances UWB-based localization accuracy. Despite the noticeable reduction in beamformed signal amplitude, a result of focused power, the SNR emerged as a strong indicator of improved accuracy. Furthermore, the study revealed that leveraging multiple antennas further improved beamforming accuracy due to signal diversity. This was particularly effective in mitigating multipath fading and enhancing the performance of UWB in NLOS environments.

One of the limitations of the developed algorithm was its over-reliance on signal diversity provided by multiple antennas. There was notably low accuracy while using fewer antennas. This would translate to an increased implementation cost for the proposed system. Another limitation of the developed system is that accuracy relied on the Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) as the key metric, potentially overlooking important aspects of performance such as robustness to interference.

Future research will focus on combining conventional beamforming algorithms with advanced beamforming algorithms such as ML systems to further improve the accuracy in UWB localization systems. This would stand to make UWB-based localization robust for our future localization needs and further strengthen its application in real-world scenarios.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my gratitude to the General Director of King Fahd Security College (KFSC), Major General Dr. Ali Al-Duaij, for his support in this project.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Yi, A. Z. Da, C. Hui, C. Shan, and Z. Xuan, "A UWB location algorithm-Based on adaptive Kalman filter," in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1757, no. 1. IOP Publishing, 2021, p. 012176.
- [2] Z. Wang, S. Li, Z. Zhang, F. Lv, and Y. Hou, "Research on UWB positioning accuracy in warehouse environment," *Procedia computer science*, vol. 131, pp. 946–951, 2018.
- [3] P. Lou, Q. Zhao, X. Zhang, D. Li, and J. Hu, "Indoor positioning system with UWB based on a digital twin," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 16, p. 5936, 2022.
- [4] S.-H. Lin, H.-H. Chang Chien, W.-W. Wang, K.-H. Lin, and G.-J. Li, "An Efficient IAKF Approach for Indoor Positioning Drift Correction," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 15, p. 5697, 2022.
- [5] C. L. Sang, B. Steinhagen, J. D. Homburg, M. Adams, M. Hesse, and U. Rückert, "Identification of NLOS and multipath conditions in UWB localization using machine learning methods," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 10, no. 11, p. 3980, 2020.
- [6] H. Zhang, Q. Wang, C. Yan, J. Xu, and B. Zhang, "Research on UWB Indoor Positioning Algorithm under the Influence of Human Occlusion and Spatial NLOS," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 14, no. 24, p. 6338, 2022.
- [7] Y. Liu, "Research on indoor positioning algorithms based on ultra-wideband technology," in *International Conference on Computer, Artificial Intelligence, and Control Engineering (CAICE 2023)*, vol. 12645. SPIE, 2023, pp. 1070–1076.
- [8] F. Che, Q. Z. Ahmed, P. I. Lazaridis, P. Sureephong, and T. Alade, "Indoor Positioning System (IPS) Using Ultra-Wide Bandwidth (UWB)—For Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT)," *Sensors*, vol. 23, no. 12, p. 5710, 2023.
- [9] S. Mohanty, A. Tripathy, and B. Das, "UWB Based Indoor Localization Techniques," *Technology*, vol. 5, p. 6, 2003.
- [10] M. Schüssel, "Angle of arrival estimation using WiFi and smartphones," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Indoor Positioning and Indoor Navigation (IPIN)*, vol. 4, 2016, p. 7.
- [11] U. Hany *et al.*, "Accuracy of UWB Path Loss-Based Localization of Wireless Capsule Endoscopy," *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*, vol. 2023, 2023.