

Redefining Leadership: AI Literacy is a Strategic Imperative for 21st Century Leaders

Claudette McGowan
Toronto Metropolitan University
Toronto, Canada
email: cmcgowan@torontomu.ca

Salah Sharieh
Toronto Metropolitan University
Toronto, Canada
email: salah.sharieh@torontomu.ca

Alexander Ferworn
Toronto Metropolitan University
Toronto, Canada
email: aferworn@torontomu.ca

Abstract—Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping leadership by necessitating a blend of technological proficiency and ethical insight. This paper examines the imperative for leaders to attain AI literacy to effectively integrate AI into strategic decision-making and organizational resilience. It discusses varying levels of AI proficiency, identifies barriers to adoption, and underscores the importance of aligning leadership practices with emerging policy frameworks. By embracing AI literacy, leaders can navigate the complexities of the digital era, ensuring their organizations remain competitive and ethically grounded.

Keywords—Artificial Intelligence; Leadership; AI Literacy; Organizational Resilience; Ethical Governance; Strategic Decision Making; AI Integration; Policy Frameworks.

I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has entered a new age. Historically, intuition, experience, and straightforward cause-and-effect thinking has anchored leaders. AI, Machine Learning (ML), neural networks, challenge the paradigm of what makes an exceptional leader in the 21st century. The value of aggregating data and tapping into deep learning has enhanced the capabilities of machines to extract insights from data [1]. Next, [2] extended this trajectory and demonstrated that unsupervised learning at scale, in their case, producing the first generation of GPT language models, could deliver emergent behaviors previously exclusive to humans. These technical benchmarks force executives to reassess, in an AI-driven environment, how knowledge is acquired, how judgments are justified, and what exactly defines competence.

Leaders especially must understand what AI is and is not. [3] define AI as a system's ability to interpret external data correctly, learn from such data, and use those learnings to achieve specific goals and tasks through flexible adaptation. This description highlights how, instead of only running on hard-coded instructions, AI systems independently grow and evolve through experience. [4] argue that, from a commercial standpoint, like prior inventions such as the steam engine and electricity, AI, especially ML, has become the most significant general-purpose technology of our time. They point out that ML unlocks productivity benefits by automating simple and complex tasks.

AI literacy goes beyond mere technological knowledge. It requires understanding the fundamental concepts behind how AI systems make decisions and learn. Leaders should be aware of the differences between supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning, comprehend what it means when a model overfits or why an algorithm could be biased, and appreciate the constraints of AI. Large language models, for

example, can create confident-sounding responses but lack actual comprehension. [5] warn, even powerful AI, such as the generative models of today, often lacks a genuine understanding of the material it generates. An AI-literate leader would understand the current limitations of AI and apply checks and balances when using such AI platforms.

Additional background information is drawn from the body of research on digital and information literacy [6]. It is worth noting that information overload has become a significant issue in the digital era. The flood of statistics and analytics can overwhelm decision-makers, causing uncertainty rather than insight. Big data-loving AI systems can either exacerbate or aid in controlling this overload, depending on their application. AI literacy enables leaders to utilize AI to distinguish between signal and noise, thereby reducing the noise level rather than adding to it. Fundamentally, Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) equipped with a knowledge of how algorithms function are better positioned to direct their companies in an era of data driven complexity.

II. AI AS A LEADERSHIP IMPERATIVE

Unlike earlier developments, AI continually evolves through feedback loops, transfer learning, and reinforcement, therefore, leadership in this field is not fixed but rather must be iterative, experimental, and ethical. Strategic leaders must be able to distinguish between accurate signals and hype, as well as between deployable solutions and speculative prototypes. They must assess not only what an AI system can achieve, but also what it should do, considering social values and organizational objectives. Practically, this means that leaders must be willing to challenge model outputs and forecast secondary impacts of AI deployment. As [4] underline, the transformational power of AI is limited by *what it cannot do* for a company. In ethical decision-making, creative vision, or knowledge of stakeholder environments, leaders must choose where human judgment and domain expertise remain indispensable.

The changing nature of technology feeds leadership mandates surrounding AI. New AI systems are more than just tools; in some circumstances, they operate as autonomous agents that learn and make decisions independently. [7] explain how a new generation of AI systems are actors in and of themselves, making crucial decisions and changing results without direct human guidance. This blurs the boundaries separating the tool from collaborator. Leaders must learn how to collaborate with AI, guiding these systems through well-defined goals and governance, while also trusting them to operate in areas where they excel. Finding the balance is of great importance as too

little control and the AI can drift, too much micromanagement and the leader loses the advantage of AI. Leading AI literacy promotes the concept of epistemic humility, acknowledging that in certain situations, an algorithm's superior pattern-spotting capabilities can be complemented by the wisdom to recognize when human supervision should replace algorithmic guidance.

The business literature increasingly presents AI literacy as a fundamental leadership ability. Leading in an AI-powered environment requires redefining cooperation between humans and intelligent technology, according to a Harvard Business Review study [7]. Whether via shadowing data science teams or prototyping with no-code ML tools, leaders who interact with AI tools personally develop an instinct of what drives system performance. Such involvement dispels the myth of AI as a black box. The idea of mystery can discourage executive participation. Although the technical specifics of deep learning may be challenging, non-engineers can gain a good understanding of the concepts and underpinnings, such as training data quality, model bias, or overfitting.

An alarming statistic comes from a survey by the McKinsey Global Institute [8], which revealed that almost 50% of board directors claim AI is not currently on their agenda. Reflecting a gap in top-down participation, many executives have yet to address AI strategy in the boardroom. According to McKinsey Global Institute [8] research, only 1% of executives believe their company has reached AI maturity, where AI is integrated into most processes, despite almost all organizations investing in AI. Leaders are not moving fast enough to develop AI at scale. Many companies lack qualified leadership to support and guide AI initiatives and acquiring tools without a capable workforce is not a fast path to success. Human intelligence coupled with AI is a prerequisite for business leadership. Like those who neglected the internet, mobile and social media revolutions, leaders who fail to adapt will progressively find their companies at a competitive disadvantage.

Engaging the hearts and minds of the workforce is necessary to build trust, a sometimes-undervalued component of the AI leadership mandate. If employees and consumers are skeptical about the intentions and competency of the organizations/leaders using AI solutions, they will not entirely welcome them. According to a recent article in Harvard Business Review [7], workers will not trust AI if they doubt the judgment and openness of their executives regarding AI applications. Building trust requires leaders to clearly explain how AI is applied, address concerns about job displacement, and provide an ethical, human-centered example of how to integrate AI effectively.

III. ORGANIZATIONAL RESILIENCE AND AI INTEGRATION

Organizational resilience in the era of AI is about *adaptive intelligence*. AI is becoming a significant enabler of resilient businesses' capacity to notice and react to changes in their environment more quickly and efficiently. Particularly with real-time data, modern AI systems can predict disturbances, spot weak signals, and replicate events. Those who understand the principles and framework of these models are more suited

to calibrate them as tools for resilience. An operations CEO who is AI-literate, for instance, may stress-test supply chain weaknesses using ML models or project changes in customer demand, and then adjust their strategy.

Companies that utilize AI for predictive decision-making and scenario planning outperform their competitors by up to 20% in operational efficiency, according to the McKinsey Global Institute [8]. Typically, this efficiency leads to improved handling of disturbances. For instance, during the COVID19 pandemic, companies with sophisticated AI analytics were able to adjust their business models rapidly. One prominent example is Airbnb, whose leadership utilized AI-driven analytics to identify an increase in demand for longer-term rentals and local stays when global travel came to a halt. They quickly turned their attention to assist work-from-anywhere accommodations. Airbnb's decision to couple data and innovative ideas about new consumer categories with trust in algorithms and data, helped them steer a significant turnaround. Deloitte Insights [9] emphasizes that for companies driven by AI, adaptability is a vital survival trait. The most resilient companies are those whose cultures and leadership can adapt to signals driven by AI.

Resilience is also about growing from mistakes and AI systems will occasionally make mistakes. A CEO knowledgeable in AI can view these events as opportunities for the algorithm to be retrained or updated, and for the company to enhance its operations. If an AI model in a healthcare system misses an anomaly related to a patient case, for instance, an AI-literate Chief Medical Officer would examine whether the training data lacked such cases and then either enhance the data or adjust the thresholds, rather than merely blaming the black box AI. This reflects a more general truth: human resilience and system resilience are intertwined. By managing complexity and scale beyond human capacity, AI can help a company become more resilient.

Resilient companies utilize AI not only to address issues but also to drive constant innovation. Resilient businesses can investigate what if scenarios, such as what if a new competitor emerges. This is an opportunity to get better prepared with strategic options that incorporate AI into their scenario planning. This drives the company from passive shock to active future shaping. According to [4], companies that fully absorb AI's potential will be the ones to create entirely new business models in the face of change. In this sense, strategic resilience, the capacity to not only survive but also seize opportunities, becomes dependent on AI literacy among executives.

IV. DEGREES OF AI PROFICIENCY FOR LEADERS

AI competency ranges from basic literacy to strategic fluency and, for ultimately, technical depth - it is not homogeneous. Although every leader should have a basic understanding of how AI operates and its consequences, not every leader needs to be an AI specialist. Three escalating tiers of AI competency for leaders allow us to:

1) Foundational Reading

Leaders at this level understand basic concepts and terms. They are familiar with essential metrics, such as accuracy and error levels, and understand the mechanism a learning algorithm

employs, for example, pattern matching in historical data. Additionally, they comprehend the difference between supervised and unsupervised learning. They also understand concepts such as overfitting, model bias, and the need for high-quality training data. They may not build models, but a leader with basic AI literacy can keep pace and ask good questions. For example, they might ask, has this recommender system been evaluated for differential fairness across customer segments or how confident are we in the predictions, and what is the basis for that confidence?

2) Strategic Fluency

Incorporating AI within organizational strategy and cross functional collaboration is a sign of strategic fluency. They are more concerned with the broader implications of AI, including its ethical, legal, and competitive aspects. For example, at this level, a leader understands the importance of algorithmic fairness and can evaluate where and how an AI system impacts stakeholders. From a model report, they might be able to determine where the model performs well and where it fails.

Strategic fluency also encompasses awareness of developing AI rules and standards, such as the EU's proposed AI Act or Canada's AIDA [10], and the ability to foresee how these will affect the company's operations. At this level, a leader could spearhead an AI governance group or help to define an AI strategy. They can convert corporate needs into directions for AI teams; technical complexities into language that the C-suite and board can comprehend. Essentially, they serve as a bridge between technical professionals and the broader organization, ensuring that AI initiatives align with corporate strategy and values.

3) Technical Depth & Expertise

Although this is more common for Chief Technology Officers or Chief Data Scientists than CEOs, some leaders may delve deeper technically into AI. Still, some tech-savvy leaders interact directly with code or model development. At this level, a leader may possess a strong understanding of AI architecture and techniques, or personally experiment with ML models, perhaps using autoML tools or Python notebooks. By advocating new AI uses, they can rigorously question presumptions, challenge AI system design, and inspire innovation.

Although not every company will have a CEO capable of programming an algorithm, having some top executives or advisers with this knowledge can be highly beneficial. These individuals ensure the business stays at the forefront and can guide others in the C-suite on AI issues. Crucially, even technically skilled leaders must also excel in the human and strategic aspects; sheer technical knowledge without strategic vision or ethical foundation can lead to solutions in search of problems or, worse, to reckless deployments.

At any point on the spectrum above, AI-proficient leaders ultimately act as interpreters and guides, tailored to their position. They convert corporate priorities into AI development roadmaps and translate the promise of AI into commercial prospects. They help their companies create teams and cultures prepared for AI. They might initiate training initiatives to

increase AI literacy among the managers, for instance, [11] demand for a system of lifetime learning in the workforce to accommodate AI-driven change. Indeed, [11] contends that society and businesses must reorganize around lifelong learning to keep pace with automation and AI a concept that holds as much relevance for executives as for front-line workers overall, developing different levels of AI competency in leadership results in a common language and understanding that helps the entire company navigate the AI era more successfully.

Leadership that is both AI-literate and emotionally savvy about change management makes a significant difference, as evidenced by these case studies across banking, energy, healthcare, and manufacturing. In every case, even if the technology itself was advanced, its effectiveness depended on human leaders who understood it and could include it into organizational processes and culture; it was not a magic bullet. Those executives who were AI-literate were able to ask important questions, create suitable guardrails, engage the correct stakeholders, and ultimately convert AI capability into real-world business value. As a result, those companies not only made effective use of AI but also developed new capabilities that made them more resilient and innovative.

V. BARRIERS TO ADOPTION AND LITERACY

When business objectives and risk frameworks are misaligned, IT teams may struggle to interpret strategic priorities. Data scientists and engineers, on the other hand, speak a language like mathematical models, codes, and APIs, which corporate managers often find beyond their level of understanding. This mismatch can hinder communication and result in either neglected AI solutions, or AI solutions that fail to address the actual problem.

Another factor that can impede the embracing of AI literacy are psychological and cultural elements. Time constraints are often mentioned; senior executives may not prioritize learning AI principles when they are busy running the company. Obsolescence is a concern among experienced executives that the world is advancing faster than their capacity to learn, which can also lead to a resistance to new technological change. The lack of organized learning paths for leaders aggravates this. Employees may receive training courses, but who guides directors and CEOs on the use of AI? Although this is beginning to change, few MBA programs or executive education courses have recently extensively incorporated AI and data science into their core curriculum. [11] notes that leaders run the risk of lagging behind and then opposing what they do not comprehend if they reject ongoing education.

Other obstacles are pragmatic problems such as a lack of data infrastructure needed for significant AI integration given data is locked in silos, of poor quality, or not readily available in real time. Early AI experiments that fail or underperform can poison leadership on further investment. If not correctly framed, such failures could support a narrative that AI did not work for us.

The quantity of data and the hype surrounding AI pose another obstacle. Paradoxically, too much noise is a problem even if ignorance is a challenge. Extreme media hype cycles accompany the fast-moving AI industry. The topic is AI defeating humans in a game one week, and the following week

it will be a chatbot producing poetry. This firehose of information can destabilize a busy leader, causing uncertainty about what truly matters for their company. According to [6], information overload is a condition characterized by an overwhelming amount of relevant and semi-relevant information that becomes a burden rather than a benefit. Leaders in the AI space must contend with an excess of jargon, vendor presentations, and anecdotal success tales. Differentiating signal from noise itself requires some degree of AI literacy to understand, for instance, that success in beating human gamers does not automatically translate into a breakthrough in one's sector.

It is crucial to create translators or champions of cross functional AI that span different departments. These could be rising leaders with adequate technical knowledge and commercial sense to act as middlemen. They can help translate needs and findings and support company executives in meetings with data teams. Some companies have even established the position of Chief AI Officer or expanded the Chief Information Officer (CIO) role to address AI strategy and education throughout the company specifically.

The road to universal AI literacy in leadership is impacted by communication gaps, fear and inertia, cultural opposition, infrastructure barriers, and other trials. Not one of these challenges is insurmountable. Through deliberate approaches such as education, translation roles, cultural change, and opportunities to learn/practice, organizations can begin to close the AI literacy gap. The outcome will be leaders who actively utilize AI, rather than simply having passive awareness of it, and teams that are enabled to grow with AI, rather than being limited by inadequate communication.

VI. POLICY ADVICE AND FRAMEWORK OF GOVERNMENT

As companies strive to achieve, matching efforts aimed at embedding AI literacy into leadership and operations with newly proposed rules and governance frameworks at national and international levels is equally crucial. Governments, business agencies, and multi-stakeholder groups are driven by the rapid evolution of AI to establish norms and parameters that ensure responsible research and the development of beneficial applications. Along with their layers of technology and strategy, leaders must also be well-versed in the state of the policy landscape surrounding AI. It equips them to shape future-ready business strategy, while driving proactive industry governance standards and regulation compliance.

The recent OECD AI Principles [12] have attracted interest as they are among the highest-level global guidelines offered for what constitutes trustworthy AI. The OECD's five fundamental pillars of AI governance [12] are: inclusive growth, sustainable development, and well-being; respect for the rule of law, human rights, and democratic values; transparency and explainability; robustness, security, and safety; and responsibility. They insist that AI be human-centric and incorporate safeguards for the design and implementation of AI systems. According to the transparency principle, for example, people should be aware when interacting with an AI system and understand how it makes decisions that affect their lives. Effective control and redress mechanisms will enable

businesses to take responsibility for the outcomes of their AI systems.

Implementing such ideas within a company could involve establishing an internal review board for high-impact AI projects, implementing an AI ethics policy, or conducting algorithm bias tests. Addressing this requirement to put abstract ideas into practical use, one of the suggestions in our initial study was to translate OECD and UNESCO principles into actionable scorecards linked to Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). For their initiatives, several businesses have begun creating AI ethics scorecards, which compare them against standards such as fairness or openness. Not leaving these projects entirely to IT or compliance departments to lead the way but instead engaging forward-looking leaders is an optimal path forward.

Additionally, national policies are being formulated, as seen in the case of Canada. The proposed Artificial Intelligence and Data Act (AIDA) in Bill C-27 [10] is one of the first moves in North America to regulate private sector AI systems. Aiming to identify key AI systems that can have a negative impact on health, safety, or rights, AIDA employs a risk-based approach, as reported in [10]. Under the Act, businesses would be required to assess their potential risks and biases associated with AI. It provides basic ideas for systems needing human supervision, explainability, justice, non-discrimination, safety, security, and responsibility, as well as elements for evaluating high-risk AI, including the possibility for harm and the scope of usage.

Regulatory organizations in sectors such as healthcare, banking, and transportation are closely monitoring the development of AI. For instance, financial authorities are examining algorithmic trading and lending algorithms, while the U.S. FDA is creating rules on AI and ML in medical devices. Leaders in organizations in these fields must stay current with such changes. For example, an executive at an insurance company should be aware of any rules from insurance authorities regarding the use of AI in underwriting or claims, which typically emphasize fairness and transparency to consumers.

Research companies and consulting firms have contributed to the discussion with thorough ideas on the governance of AI. Deloitte [9], McKinsey [8], and PwC [13] have offered analysis on how companies might navigate the AI revolution. For example, Deloitte has emphasized the need for enhanced board oversight of AI. A poll by Deloitte Global [9] revealed a governance gap, with almost half of company boards not discussing AI at the board level. Boards should regard AI as a boardroom issue, according to [9], thereby ensuring that issues of AI risk and strategy receive the highest level of attention and consideration.

Through its Global Institute and industry research, McKinsey has emphasized the importance of aligning AI strategy with corporate value and workforce growth. Investing in reskilling the workforce alongside AI deployment is a key proposal [8] that will enable employees to work effectively with new systems, rather than being replaced or disenchanted. These initiatives demystify AI for the entire workforce, thereby fostering an AI-ready culture that aligns with the leadership literacy.

Similarly, as underlined in [13], there is a need to develop trust and responsible AI techniques. According to [13], companies that excel in AI adoption often also lead in creating systems for AI governance and ethics. PwC's research [13] reveals that organizations achieving a noteworthy return on investment from AI are those that prioritize data security and model interpretability from the outset. One often referenced PwC statistic is their projection of AI's potential \$15.7 trillion impact on the global economy by 2030, which serves as a wake-up call, emphasizing the enormous risks. [13] advises CEOs to ensure that AI has a seat at the table in business planning conversations and incorporates AI into top-level company strategy.

In the realm of public policy, leaders have the opportunity and obligation to help shape sound AI governance by lobbying and setting a good example. By volunteering in industry consortia or government advisory groups on AI, business leaders can lend their expertise toward creating effective yet not innovation-stifling rules. In a few cases, banks and tech firms have collaborated with authorities to establish sandboxes for the controlled testing of AI systems, thereby advancing the collective understanding of governance and innovation. When leaders and companies convert all of these frameworks and concepts, a few concrete actions emerge.

1) *Create AI governance systems*

Establish official systems, such as AI monitoring boards or assigned accountable AI agents. These systems should align with external values [12] and ensure continuous adherence to evolving rules such as AIDA [10] or others. They also indicate internally that AI should be managed with the same level of strictness as other significant corporate hazards.

Create explicit rules and guidelines for the advancement and application of AI. An AI ethics guideline document, for instance, states that all project teams must abide by it and could cover acquiring appropriate consent for data usage, avoiding certain sensitive features such as not using protected attributes in models, and requirements for explainability when decisions impact consumers. Escalation procedures when an AI system encounters scenarios it is not confident about.

2) *Invest in Training and Awareness (Policy Literacy)*

Policy literacy on AI is much needed, much as we discuss AI literacy. Leaders should ensure that their teams, especially those involved in AI, are aware of the ethical and regulatory requirements they are expected to fulfill. This may require bringing in legal experts to brief the technical teams or hosting seminars on forthcoming rules. On the other hand, it entails educating legal teams and compliance agents in the knowledge of AI sufficient to manage it effectively. The two-way learning reflects the need for a multidisciplinary approach.

3) *Transparency and Stakeholder Communication*

Establish a policy of open communication regarding AI applications. This can include releasing AI transparency reports, which some businesses have begun doing, revealing where and how they apply AI, much like privacy transparency reports. Along with ways for consumers to request human review, as some laws, such as the European Union's GDPR, require, it can

also involve interacting with them, for example, by sending a notification when AI is employed in making a significant judgment concerning them. Leaders should frame transparency as an opportunity to create trust rather than a compliance burden.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Hinton, S. Osindero, and Y.-W. Teh, "A fast learning algorithm for deep belief nets", *Neural Computation*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 1527–1554, 2006.
- [2] A. Radford et al., "Improving language understanding by generative pre-training", OpenAI, San Francisco, CA, 2018.
- [3] A. Kaplan and M. Haenlein, "Siri, Siri, in my hand: Who's the fairest in the land? On the interpretations, illustrations, and implications of artificial intelligence", *Business Horizons*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 15–25, 2019.
- [4] E. Brynjolfsson and A. McAfee, "The business of artificial intelligence: What it can and cannot do for your organization", *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–2, 2017.
- [5] G. Marcus and E. Davis, "Rebooting AI: Building artificial intelligence we can trust", Vintage, 2019.
- [6] D. Bawden and L. Robinson, "Information overload: An introduction", in *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics*, 2020.
- [7] J. Heimans and H. Timms, "Leading in a world where AI wields power of its own", *Harvard Business Review*, vol. 102, no. 1–2, pp. 71–79, 2024.
- [8] M. Chui, L. Yee, B. Hall, and A. Singla, "The state of AI in 2023: Generative AI's breakout year", McKinsey & Company, 2023.
- [9] Deloitte Insights, "Leadership in the age of AI: Adaptability as a critical survival skill", Deloitte Insights Report, 2023.
- [10] Canada Gazette, "Artificial Intelligence and Data Act (AIDA) – proposed legislation in bill c-27", *Canada Gazette*, Part I, vol. 157, no. 27, 2023.
- [11] D. M. West, "The future of work: Robots, AI, and automation", Brookings Institution Press, 2018.
- [12] OECD, "OECD principles on artificial intelligence", OECD, Paris, France, 2019.
- [13] PwC, "AI Predictions 2022: Thriving in the era of AI", PwC Report, 2022.