The Disruptiveness of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: A Focus on Academic Roles, Tasks, and Creative Autonomy

Francis Guambe
Department of Information Systems, North-West University, South Africa

Phemelo Meleloe
Department of Information Systems, North-West University, South Africa

Mdoko Ayanda
Department of Information Systems, North-West University, South Africa

Nkosinathi Mndawe
Department of Information Systems, North-West University, South Africa

Sternan Van Niekerk
Department of Information Systems, North-West University, South Africa

Joshua Ebere Chukwuere
Department of Information Systems, Technology Enhanced Learning and Innovative Education and Training in South Africa (TELIT-SA), North-West University, South Africa
Joshchukwuere@gmail.com

Yakub Kayode Saheed
Department of Information Systems, North-West University, South Africa
kayodesaheed@gmail.com

Abstract— **The speedy introduction of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into higher education is creating transformative efficiencies and disrupting existing academic positions and creative professional practices. This research explores the vicarious impacts of AI as an enabler and a disruptor and its ramifications for academic work and intellectual creativity using rapid literature review (RLR). The study contributes to the ongoing debate on the disruptive nature of AI on academic jobs through an analysis of the impacts on academic roles and tasks and creative autonomy. By interrogating the disruptive potentials of AI, the researchers call upon institutional leaders to balance the inclusion of technology with the retention of intellectual diversity and employment sustainability while ensuring innovation is being done in line with the mission of higher education. By comparison, the research found that the disruptiveness of AI in academic job roles, tasks, and creativity is not resulting in job losses but instead is creating innovative new job roles. Equally important, the research unpacks the notion that any disruptiveness of AI can be mitigated in spite of the known climate of ethical considerations, with assumptions of a more considered approach and engaged strategies. In the future, academics and scholars should continue to locate and investigate ways to better integrate AI into the academic job ecosystem while maintaining the provenance of existing employment.**

Keywords-Academic employment, Academic labor, Artificial intelligence, Creativity, Disruptiveness, Higher education, Intellectual creativity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into higher education has become an influential transformation for pedagogical practice, administrative workflows, and research practice. While AI-powered tools, such as adaptive learning platforms, auto-grading, and predictive analytics, provided potential for increased efficiencies and education individualization [1]. The speed of their introduction into higher education has driven discussion around the disruptive implications of academic employment and creative intellectual labour. This interplay of AI as both a facilitator and a disruptor is the focal point of this research, which explores how AI reshapes academic roles, tasks, and intellectual autonomy in the academy. The question would be, how is the integration of AI in higher education disrupting academic roles, tasks, and creative autonomy?

The displacement of conventional academic roles is cause for concern. Research suggests that, by automating administrative and instructional activities (for example, student advising, content facilitation), AI is in danger of displacing non-specialized faculty roles and creating opportunities for faculty with AI literacies [2]. For example, chatbots, such as Georgia Tech's Jill Watson, successfully reduce administrative load, but it rests on the question of whether that load is worth the loss of human mentorship [3]. In regard to creative processes like generating research topics, research objectives and questions, hypotheses, designing curricula, or co-authoring academic papers, AI's role calls into question conventional definitions of

intellectual originality in Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). At the same time, instruments like Generative Pre-trained Transformer 4 (GPT-4) are redefining HEI's academic and non-academic processes. GPT-4 has opened the door for the democratization of ideation, critics contend, and it opens the door for a homogenization of scholarly creativity and risk, displacing critical thought altogether [4]. Although GPT-4 is the recent form of GPT, which is part of deep learning developed by OpenAI for natural language processing and generating text.

While existing literature often segments its analysis of AI's impact into either employment trends or pedagogical innovation, with little exploration of both, with a specific analysis of academic roles, tasks, and creativity. This study bridges that gap by interrogating how AI reshapes both the labor dynamics and creative ecosystems of academia in higher education. Drawing on frameworks from constructivist learning theory [5] and critical posthumanism [6], the analysis reveals tensions between efficiency-driven AI adoption and the humanistic values of academia. For example, while AI can amplify productivity in academic research through tools like semantic analysis software [7], its algorithmic determinism may constrain unconventional, interdisciplinary inquiry [8]. Similarly, while adaptive learning platforms cater to diverse student needs, their reliance on data-driven profiling risks reducing lecturers (educators) to "curators" of pre-packaged content, undermining pedagogical creativity [9].

Furthermore, this research is aimed at opposing the notion that the use of AI technologies in higher education will make teaching redundant or will stifle creativity within academics. More precisely, the research intends to:

- Provide rational evidence that asserts that AI will promote creativity in research as well as in personalized learning.
- Convince those in the teaching profession to embrace change; that is, how AI technologies do not interfere with many routine tasks but rather extend and facilitate the teaching vocation.
- Tackle ethical issues, including but not limited to transparency and bias, and yet advocate for the use of AI as an enhancer of academia.
- Show examples of how AI promotes growth and brings people together to create a more active engagement of the academic community.

The whole article was structured in the following manner: Methodology; Brief on the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in higher education; Limitations and challenges of AI in academia; Enhance the use of AI in the academic process; AI in academic job transformation; Empirical evidence against job loss; Ethical considerations in ai integration in higher education; Conclusion, and References.

II. METHODOLOGY

Academic research can be conducted using primary and secondary data, as well as literature review, such as systematic literature review, narrative review, rapid review, scoping review, and many others. However, the research questions and resources available can determine whether rapid review, narrative review, and systematic literature review can be used [68].

A. Search strategy

This study adopted a rapid literature review (RLR), which is commonly used as a rapid review. According to Smela et al. [69], rapid review is an alternative to systematic literature review, which helps speed up the analysis of existing published research. This method is applied in carrying out social, business, and other research, including information systems (IS) research. However, using RLR in IS research can be done in different ways involving some level of process, but not a systematic process. The process of applying RLR begins with drafting or brainstorming out a research topic and discovering relevant academic papers within the research scope [70].

Some researchers advise applying a systematic method in RLR involving pulling, summarizing, and interpreting existing literature [70][71]. According to Levy and Ellis [71], this process involves input (gathering and screening literature) and outputs (interpreting and reviewing writing). This ensures that quality is maintained while in-depth research is done to increase available literature [72]. Through RLR in the study, the researchers formulated the research ideas, topic, collected relevant literature, and analyzed it in writing a comprehensive review. Keywords like "impact of AI in academic jobs", "AI", "AI in academic", "AI in education", "AI and fear of job", "AI ethical considerations", and many more related keywords were used in the study. The used literature was searched from academic databases like Scopus, ResearchGate, Web of Science (WoS), IEEE Xplore, ERIC, and ScienceDirect, as well as grey literature sources like Google Scholar and Conference proceedings. The search began with screening through potential research topics, analyzing the abstract, and scrutinizing the content of a given paper (article) found within the research title.

Based on the main research objectives, the following research questions guided the study, which can be converted to research objectives:

1. What are the roles of AI disruption in HE?
2. What are the limitations and challenges of AI disruptions in academia?
3. How is AI enhancing the academic process?
4. How is AI transforming academic jobs?
5. In what ways can the fears of job loss through AI disruption be addressed?
6. What ethical considerations impact the integration of AI in HE?

B. The search scope

As RLR was deployed in the study, the inclusion/exclusion criteria were defined within a timeframe involving a number of academic studies, which assisted in answering the research questions as well as addressing the core research objective. This was done to address the question of credibility associated with the literature review (RLR). Also, a rigorous process was deployed to validate the

applied RLR in the study in making the findings reliable and usable for decision-making.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria: The study included only documents written in English, sourced from academic databases and grey literature. Also, only peer-reviewed materials were used and published within the stated timeframe as indicated below. While the exclusions were documents written in languages other not English, non-peer-reviewed materials, as well as materials (documents) outside the scope of the article.

Timeframe: This article sourced peer-reviewed materials within the research scope and published between 2021 – 2024. A total of 36 materials were considered appropriate in addressing the research objectives, while additional published papers outside the timeframe were used to enrich the quality of the article.

III. BRIEF ON THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) IN HIGHER EDUCATION

AI continues to transform higher education institutions in developed and developing nations. This section of the paper highlights the comprehensive roles of AI in higher education.

A. Definition of AI in a higher education context

AI refers to the capability of a computer system to perform functions normally associated with human intelligence, such as perception, understanding of language, learning, reasoning, solving problems, and so forth [10]. In higher education, AI helps to enhance the various administrative roles and tasks, and academic processes. Some of the primary applications include:

- *AI-assisted grading:* AI systems can accurately assess the students' assignments and examinations. Consequently, it enables the academics (instructors) to give feedback in good time, thus reducing the workload for the academics (instructors) [11].
- *AI content development:* Some AI technologies can assist in the development and organization of educational materials, ensuring that the materials are up to date and appropriate for particular learning outcomes [12].
- *Virtual tutors:* Such AI-led teachings allow individual students to receive proper instruction depending on their measurements and promote active engagement even beyond formal classroom activities [13].
- *Administrative AI systems:* Incorporation of AI in such systems enhances organizational productivity by automating functions that include, but are not limited to, scheduling, allocation of various resources, and managing student enrollments [14].

B. Benefits of AI integration and current applications in higher education

AI contributes significantly to enhancing the effectiveness of administrative activities in post-secondary institutions. The automated systems can carry out processes like scheduling, financial aid allocation, and enrolment management with minimal human intervention, thus reducing operational costs and errors [15]. Thanks to improved efficiency, the administrative staff can focus on other strategic goals, hence promoting an organization that is less rigid and more flexible.

In other words, the goal of AI is to provide individualized learning by using big data gathered through students' performance evaluation and recommending appropriate resources and learning paths [16]. This personalization enhances learners' motivation towards their studies and improves academic performance [17]. Besides, such insights driven by AI technology help lecturers diagnose issues and act on them in advance, thereby improving the learning process.

The implementation of AI in higher education has also presented itself through numerous methods in administrative roles and tasks, as well as instructional improvements. For example, AI adaptive learning platforms are used, where the content type and level of difficulty change according to the student's performance [18]. Also, it presents:

- *Chatbots for student enquiries:* Their work is complemented by AI-based chatbots, which can immediately respond to frequent queries from students on issues of admission, courses, and other support services, thereby relieving the human resources of such services for more complex issues [19].
- *Programs that check for and deter plagiarism:* For instance, Turnitin and other programs that analyze a student's work for similarities use natural language processing (NLP) and other measures to ensure that students do not engage in academic dishonesty practices [20].
- *Advanced analytics:* AI can help identify students who are at risk of withdrawing, predict outcome performance, and recommend corrective measures to improve successful completion and retention rates by applying student data as an additional layer [21].

IV. LIMITATIONS AND CHALLENGES OF AI IN ACADEMIA

The role of AI in academia continues to be confronted with some limitations and challenges. This section of the study provides a number of limitations and challenges confronting academics in adopting AI, as identified in the literature.

Technological barriers: There are many positive insights into AI applications in higher education, but some technical problems need to be solved. One of these problems is algorithmic bias, which can be defined as an unintended outcome where an AI system discriminates against individuals based on the lines of the data that it was trained or programmed upon. Additionally, AI is less effective in complex or vague environments simply because it does not possess common sense or the subtlety of human on-the-ground educationists [22].

Social ethical issues: There are pressing ethical dilemmas posed by the implementation of AI in learning institutions,

which include but are not limited to the privacy of information. In particular, there is a risk of compromising students' privacy, given that it is impossible to train high-performance AI systems without collecting large amounts of information about people, even students who are under strict protection [23]. Also, considerations on the use of AI in such scenarios should ensure that there is respect for both principles of accountability and transparency to protect students from oppression [24].

AI's limitations in human judgment: While AI offers data-driven insights, automates admin and repetitive tasks, and provides valuable support, it is important to safeguard human judgment and intuition. AI lacks contextual understanding and nuanced decision-making that are often required in teaching [25]. For example, understanding the student's background, emotional state, or unique challenges is something that requires human judgment. Human judgment is influenced by a variety of factors such as experience, intuition, empathy, values, and context. These attributes enable humans to make nuanced decisions that consider diverse perspectives and considerations [26].

V. ENHANCE THE USE OF AI IN THE ACADEMIC PROCESS

This section provides enhanced application of AI in academic processes.

A. AI as a tool for enhancing academic creativity

The increasing adoption of AI technologies in the higher education sector has led to discussions on such technologies' effects on the teaching profession and levels of creativity in academic environments. Opponents claim that such services are looking to eliminate lecturing and that it is damaging to the human psyche. Nonetheless, this research is in contradiction, claiming that AI should be seen as an ally for lecturers, and not as a rival. It facilitates creativity by automating repetitive and administrative research elements and creating an environment for advanced learning and designing, freeing the academic to concentrate on higher responsibilities, such as mentorship of students. This research looks into the interface between AI and academics with a focus on how innovation can be enhanced in the sector.

In our current reality, the threat posed by emerging technologies, AI included, to creativity, employment, or innovation holds great indications of the advancement of civilization and technological advancement. Nonetheless, if implemented correctly within higher education contexts, AI is capable of improving the academic advancement and creativity of lecturers rather than hindering it. The use of AI in the field of higher education opens up some advantages, including the enhancement of research, inventing new ways of teaching, and even student and teacher interactions. This part of the paper will also prove our position that academic creativity is not abolished by AI; it is simply facilitated by it in many ways.

B. AI in research

Integration of AI into research and content generation is probably the most important advancement in furthering academic creativity and productivity. AI-based reading, annotations, and note-taking applications, for example, facilitate the use of research tools in the knowledge acquisition process by automating some aspects. These tools may allow the user to glimpse text from a particular source and highlight only the relevant portions, thus helping to decide if the source is worth going through. This can help academics quickly scan through research papers to pick out the relevant material, deciding which sections need thorough reading and which ones to collect notes on [27, 28].

In addition, AI-developed experimental design software takes advantage of machine learning strategies to improve the variables. Furthermore, it is also expected that the time-intensive and laborious steps to do these studies are automated; therefore, it can free up time to focus on data interpretation and analysis. AI development solutions can also reduce the costs of labor involved in R&D and mitigate the level of human errors. To properly use AI applications to help create experimental design models, researchers must develop models that contain a lot of information and a number of parameters. After implementing these parameters, researchers can produce designs for the research studies that promote increased efficiency [29].

Another practical use of AI in research is utilizing AI-supported tools to assist researchers in writing articles or journals, such as paraphrasing or improving their English language structure. One example is using ChatGPT by entering a prompt to either paraphrase or enhance the language and grammar of your sentence and receiving the output in under a minute. This helps reduce time but also maintains the level of precision and fluidity of the English language in which researchers write their articles or journals. This is very helpful, and finding creative and time-saving AI-related tools will greatly help the research community in those countries that do not have English as their primary language [29].

C. Enhancing pedagogical methods

In higher education, we are not suggesting AI will or can replace the human aspects in which lecturers operate; it is simply another tool that they can use to develop creative and personalized learning. Lecturers may use AI-based intelligent adaptive learning systems that examine and diagnose the data of students, such as their performance, individual weaknesses and strengths, and speeds of learning. With this information, the system can offer a personalized experience for each learner, with practices, resources, and content, specifically related to that learner's inquiry path [30]. This would provide different strategies of learning, hence making it easier for the students to grasp what is being taught.

In addition, the administrative load carried by lecturers can be considerably lessened with the help of AI assistants, as certain duties such as grading, tracking attendance, and report writing can be performed without human intervention.

These tools employ natural language processing, optical character recognition, and machine learning, for example, to evaluate and interpret the works and statistics of the students effortlessly. Such innovations assist in alleviating the stress levels on lecturers and also enable an assessment and progression of a student in a considerably quicker period. In India, advanced educational technologies such as ConveGenius are being embraced to promote learning and facilitate administrative work, thus increasing educational effectiveness [31]. Also, smart paper promotes enhanced learning and admin roles and tasks.

D. AI as a creativity booster

The creative capacity of AI shall be considered as an enhancement. It is the generation of new concepts and ideas that helps overcome the existing paradigms of thinking. An AI system can help find inspiration and explore imagination in creative ways that are otherwise difficult. AI provokes a broader range of thinking where standard limits and beliefs do not apply. AI is a thinking tool and helps a great deal in fostering creative output by introducing information that is not readily available, such as data, trends, relationships, and even blobs of imagination. This is the reason that creativity is considered a helpful application with two tiers. First, the person will try to come up with solutions that are new and not obvious, the AI creative implementation phrased as there are no boundaries as to how imagination can work, smashing any previous harmful structures of thinking [32]. AI can assist in the creative process together with human beings by developing new areas of research, defining existing and emerging patterns, or even producing creative content such as reports, multimedia teaching aids, and visual sense [33]. AI can share new perspectives or strategies in joint academic activities that will save a great deal of time, even if an individual scholar is looking for such approaches.

VI. AI IN ACADEMIC JOB TRANSFORMATION

The argument that AI will replace human jobs is gaining attention, but some believe that technology will not replace academic or human expertise. AI offers numerous tools and techniques that support and enhance the student learning process. It is not designed to replace lecturers; rather, it serves as a tool that complements human expertise in higher education by automating administrative tasks, providing personalized learning opportunities, and offering data-driven insight [34]. However, it is incapable of critical elements such as human judgment, emotional intelligence, or mentorship and guidance that lecturers bring to the learning experience.

A. Admin and task automation

AI technologies have proven valuable in automating several administrative and lecturing tasks, thereby reducing the burden on educators and enabling them to focus on more complex aspects of lecturing. One key area where AI is making an impact is grading and assessment. By using machine learning algorithms, AI-powered grading tools like Gradescope can help lecturers in grading students' work, such as multiple-choice questions, quizzes, and even assignments. These tools streamline the grading process, allowing lecturers to "review and adjust the grades before releasing them to students." [35]. This not only transforms and improves productivity but also enhances the efficiency of feedback delivery, helping students receive timely responses and educators focus on more complex assessments.

AI platforms can support lecturers beyond grading, such as scheduling and administrative aspects of learning. AI can be looked at as a way to support scheduling for daily lectures in the classroom, meeting times, attendance tracking, and student enrollment and report card processing [36]. AI chatbots such as Pounce, used at Georgia State University, can answer frequently asked student questions. While these processes remove the workload from the administration, they improve access to information and related support [37].

B. Enhancing educational engagement

Beyond administrative support, AI also plays a pivotal role in enhancing educational engagement. Personalized learning is one such innovation. AI in education can analyze students' learning performance data in real-time and recommend personalized learning resources that cater to their individual needs based on their learning styles, abilities, and progress [38]. By providing these personalized learning methods, "AI enhances engagement and motivation levels for students to suit learning preferences and requirements.". Moreover, using the data gathered, AI can help lecturers understand their students' progress, strengths, weaknesses, and learning preferences, and help them improve where needed.

AI-powered chatbots also improve communication within the educational community. These tools can assist with answering common student questions [37]. With Chatbots offering a personalized and interactive education for students, this ensures that they receive timely support inside and outside of school hours while allowing lecturers to focus on more complex concerns.

C. The role of emotional intelligence

In the teaching and learning process, it is a vital skill for lecturers to not only impart knowledge but also play a role in shaping the emotional and social development of students. While AI can analyse and interpret data, it certainly lacks the emotional intelligence capacity to empathize, understand, and respond to human emotions, which play a crucial role in human interaction and decision-making [39]. Humane educators can provide students motivation, help them build their confidence, and offer encouragement in ways that AI cannot. These forms of support are deeply human and contribute to the holistic development of students, ensuring that they feel understood and valued.
In the teaching

D. Focus on mentorship/guidance and research collaboration

AI may be able to provide individuals with content recommendations, develop personalized learning platforms, automate feedback, and perform all its other uses in education [40], but it cannot replace the mentorship and guidance educators have to offer. The mentorship role involves more than just knowledge transfer; it includes fostering critical thinking, creativity, and ethical decision-making, all of which require a human touch. Thus, AI can never hope to kill academic jobs but can serve as a tool for transformation. With AI growing and handling tasks such as grading, scheduling, attendance tracking, and others, lecturers (educators) can now spend more time engaging directly with their students in meaningful ways. Instead of acting primarily as content providers, they can take on the mentorship role, focusing on supporting and guiding students through their personal and academic growth and developing their knowledge and skills. In this mentorship role, educators can offer personalized feedback, help students define their career goals, and navigate challenges unique to each student.

Lecturers will also have the opportunity to engage in research collaborations, as AI has taken over many repetitive academic tasks. By gathering and analyzing large amounts of data from different sources, AI can help lecturers gain deeper insights and understanding from research [41]. This will free up their time to concentrate on developing research projects and exploring new ideas. This transition positions lecturers to become leaders in integrating AI into academic research, fostering cross-disciplinary collaborations, and utilizing AI to solve complex, real-world problems.

VII. EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE AGAINST JOB LOSS

Multiple studies show that AI deployment does not inherently lead to widespread job loss in most sectors, including education. Instead, AI transforms roles and improves efficiency, allowing educators to focus on more meaningful, human-centered aspects of their jobs [51]. However, most research leads to the belief that the influence of AI on job markets is more likely to alter and change existing jobs, rather than completely replace them. It is very likely that by 2030, there will be an increase in the creation of jobs in the healthcare sector, at a rate of about 570,000 new jobs due to AI and automation. Approximately 261,000 additional employment opportunities will be created in the construction sector, while the services sector can expect an upsurge of about 152000 new positions. These job increases result from the advantages introduced by AI, which help improve productivity and encourage the growth of new sectors and services. Furthermore, it is estimated that about seventy percent of jobs in the education sector will change within five years due to the great adoption of AI systems in personalized learning, grading, and student management systems in institutions [51].

On the other hand, certain sectors are still predicted to reduce the number of workers due to the introduction of technology. As such, operations in the retail industry are likely to shed around 334,000 jobs, the administrative and support services may suffer a job loss of 309, 000 while about 231,000 jobs are expected to be lost from the operations of the manufacturing sector by the year 2030 [52]. This indicates a red flag about the importance of reskilling and upskilling programs to help people move into new positions where AI works to complement rather than replace people [53]. Nonetheless, employment displacement is a valid concern, but AI is expected to augment, rather than eliminate, the need for many human workers, such as those in decision-making, problem-solving, or positions that require critical faculties. With wise policies and smart investments, South Africa can implement AI and build a better workforce for the work of the future [54].

However, addressing the fear of job loss continues to matter, but the following can be done to tackle the concerns

A. Reskilling and upskilling in the age of AI

Reskilling and upskilling are also a significant part of the workforce development plan in view of changing job roles and industry needs due to AI and automation. This means training lecturers for other skills or undertaking other types of jobs as AI does more routine tasks, such as grading and tracking attendance, and analytics of different kinds of data [42]. This will now free up the lecturers to move into more value-added tasks that require human judgment, such as one-on-one mentorship, research, and curriculum design. Upskilling involves the betterment of existing skills of the lecturers themselves so that they would be able to then use these new AI tools within their teaching practices. This allows lecturers to apply AI in creating more personalized adaptive learning environments, where course material can be adjusted in real time according to the needs of every particular student. As AI starts to play a more and more significant role in education, its role should be emphasized in terms of new skills that people will gain, rather than taking jobs. AI literacy programs, tech integration training, and digital teaching workshops can empower lecturers and academic staff to work alongside AI [43].

B. AI literacy programs

Similarly, AI literacy programs will be equipping the lecturer with easy ways of integrating AI into the lecture hall. Most of the programs focus on understanding the essential core technologies that constitute AI, using AI tools for teaching/administrative purposes, and leveraging data-driven insights to elevate educational outcomes [44]. Some key components of AI literacy programs include:

Understanding AI algorithms and data processing: By understanding the AI algorithms and the processes involved in data handling, one can help alleviate the anxiety. For instance, AI does not eliminate the job; instead, it reduces the cumbersome administrative duties plus reinforces teaching through data provision. For instance, supervised, unsupervised, and reinforcement learning are some of the AI algorithms that allow lecturers to offer student-centric learning strategies and analytical techniques to measure performance more accurately.

AI literacy programs emphasize practical understanding of how AI is utilized in data management and processing for better results in the respective fields. This helps them to work in collaboration with AI. For example, the use of AI systems also allows grading and offers learning to students according to their comprehension levels. Such systems allow lecturers to concentrate on areas that require creativity, critical thinking, and mentorship, which are the roles that cannot be performed by AI. While doing so, the fear of job loss can be turned into an empowering narrative about how education professionals will be supported in their role through the introduction of AI. For leadership change to occur, these programs help lecturers understand the tools that deny AI, so they can use them with AI to improve teaching and learning toward a more informed structure of education [45].

Using AI-powered platforms to monitor student progress: AI literacy programs also highlight the use of adaptive learning management systems (LMS) to monitor student progress. These AI-powered instructional tools continually monitor the performance and engagement of students [46]. This, therefore, would have the lecturers build customized learning paths, based on every individual's progress; receive real-time analytics, enabling them to quickly identify areas where students are struggling; and automate administrative tasks such as attendance and grading. This automation reduces the lecturer's workload, allowing them to focus on more creative or interactive teaching methods. For instance, platforms like BridgeOne use AI to tailor learning experiences by adapting content to meet individual student needs, providing real-time feedback and support [47].

Using AI for administrative tasks: Another key point of AI literacy programs is empowering lecturers to use AI Turnitin for grading the students' work more efficiently, so that lecturers can greatly reduce the time they spend on grading tests and concentrate more on the curriculum and student mentoring [48]. AI can also provide instantaneous responses to student assignments, and thus, teachers can rate student assignments quickly and find out the weaknesses and needs for improvement without using traditional methods like a pen and paper test.

AI-driven insights for data-driven teaching: AI literacy allows lecturers to develop the potential to harness AI-driven insights in creating teaching strategies informed by data. With the analysis of long data about student performance, AI tools provide active information to the lecturer for adjusting teaching methodologies to the performance and learning styles of individual students [49]. Additionally, AI analytics could identify trends across different classes or modules, which would be useful for better resource allocations and targeted interventions. These insights also help foster a more inclusive and responsive lecture environment, where teaching methods can be adjusted in real-time to meet students' needs.

The collaborative and data-informed classroom: AI literacy programs support lecturers in making their lecture rooms more collaborative and data-driven. AI does not replace lecturers; it supports them so they can focus on human-centered skills such as creativity, critical thinking, and emotional intelligence. These, therefore, enable lecturers to make interactive learning environments since lecturers are freed from administrative tasks and data-driven teaching methods. AI informs better decisions on lesson planning, student interventions, and assessments. Finally, AI literacy programs prepare lecturers for the future, where technology and expertise will team up in an effort to enhance learning environments [50].

VIII. ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN AI INTEGRATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION

AI in education has the power to completely change both the way students are taught and how lecturers present the study material to them [55]. AI may boost learning outcomes in a multitude of ways, from reduced administrative procedures to tailored or customized learning experiences. To ensure that we have a transparent use of technology, these integrations may raise ethical issues that need further handling. We therefore gain more insight into navigating the ethical environment of artificial intelligence in academia by investigating the above-mentioned areas [56].

A. Transparency in applications using AI

The significance of transparency in the adoption of AI cannot be overstated [57]. Since no one can see how they work, complex algorithms can be despised by lecturers and students, still referred to as 'black boxes' [57]. From assigning grades to suggesting materials and evaluating the interactions of students and teachers, the focus becomes the goal; therefore, understanding how the AI system makes decisions [58]. The concern among stakeholders regarding the claims of precision and objectivity in presenting results may stem from the existence of obscurity in the mechanical foundation of AI utilized in the applications [59].

B. Academia's bias and AI

One more significant ethical issue regarding AI systems is the risk of bias. AI systems learn from prior sources of information, so to speak (datasets or models can be biased too) [60]. Which, in most cases, contains the biases that are present in the current society? AI systems have the capabilities of aggravating the existing inequalities in the educational setting; if such are ethnically and gendered in nature, they may produce negative outcomes for those from minority groups [61].

C. Recognizing and reducing prejudice

To mitigate this issue of bias, educational institutions have no choice but to analyse the data that is used for training AI systems [62]. It is therefore necessary to conduct a bias audit regularly on these applications and the datasets, notwithstanding their use. To ensure better accuracy, transparency, and authenticity of the data produced by these AI systems. By reviewing data patterns and trends and the

processes of making decisions, organizations can identify and address risks that contain inbuilt biases [63].

The data cannot be the only thing that is examined; the algorithms have to be looked at, too. It is the responsibility of the educational institutions to make sure that AI systems are developed fairly. This could involve the use of strategies such as algorithmic fairness, which seeks to change the way computers process data to reduce biased outcomes [64]. For instance, lecturers could promote fairness in the design of AI applications to prevent equity gaps instead of perpetuating them.

D. The significance of AI understanding

Both lecturers and students should have a clear understanding of the role of AI in the education system. For example, users are expected to appreciate the standards of evaluation incorporated in the AI application that critiques the student's essays [64]. Rather than elicit distrust in the use of AI tools, this realization encourages students to use them and even nurtures confidence. Institutions should, however, explore the possibility of providing a comprehensive guide and training materials aimed at explaining how AI systems function, the data used, as well as why certain algorithms are applied. They can be of great value in contributing to the knowledge enhancement of the audience. Involving the staff and students in the AI-related knowledge-gaining process can help organizations understand the technology and promote active engagement with it. Such an approach, apart from addressing the problem of transparency, equips the user to take advantage of the more sophisticated aspects of AI [65].

E. Privacy and moral leadership

Alongside transparency, governance and data security are interrelated issues. There's a growing concern over the ethical utilization of student-based information in educational organizations [66]. It is essential to inform the individuals concerned about the kinds of data that will be collected, the purposes of each type, and the individuals who will have access to them. Appropriate use of data also ensures trust, which enhances the relationship between the institution, the students, and staff, and encourages mutual respect. Educational institutions should develop clear data governance policies that restrict the unethical usage of student data. The policy should be such that the context in which the data will be used comes first, so that the students know their rights concerning the collection and usage of data about them [67]. Moreover, to maintain trust, effective policies must be established to protect sensitive information.

IX. CONCLUSION

With AI being integrated within higher education, it has raised a synthesis of innovation and disruption, positioning academic work and creativity in a new lens with a critical lens. This research has shown that while AI tools can enhance efficiencies within the operational side of educational design, they can also automate the administrative aspects of learning, individualize learning experiences, and expedite research processes. The risks of adopting may destabilize the notion of academic work too easily through the automation of administrative tasks that flatten intellectual creativity.

Results indicate that lecturers view AI not as a replacement for educators but as an impetus for a change to their job roles, with occupations that involve lesser degrees of specialized knowledge and expertise experiencing an increased threat of displacement. This aligns with global anxieties that labor is becoming polarized in the digital world. In this paper, researchers contribute to this conversation in three ways. First, the empirical summary illustrates the dual role of AI as both a disruptor and collaborator in academic labor. Secondly, the ethical implications of creativity mediated by AI and the implications for innovation in algorithm-driven contexts. Thirdly, the researchers advocate for AI integration of higher education to consider and protect human agencies by creating hybrid contexts to develop productive educational innovations that reflect human agency and responsiveness and provide opportunities to innovate at the speed of technological efficiency. By interrogating these areas, the findings stimulate future strategies to enact the potential AI offers while trying to avoid lifelong learning, the degradation of intellectual labour, and the elimination of stable employment for academics in higher education.

The rise of AI necessitates rethinking education's expertise to fit with technology, while not losing the irreplaceable human dimensions, such as empathy, thinking, judgment, and being a critical, reflective person (and educator). Students who currently experience personalized learning spaces may need to consider guardrails under a regime of AI content over-dependence to maintain originality and critical thought. Administrators must consider reaching innovation and sustainability at the same time and provide equitable AI access while lacking the austerity that usually comes with efficiency-related roles at the expense of labour rights. Ultimately, the fate of AI in higher education will depend on how it is made subordinate to a humanistic goal. As demonstrated in this study, the potential of AI can only be realized through the use of deliberate and ethical strategies that aim to consider intellectual diversity, work equity, and the preservation of creativity. In emphasizing humanity and agency amid technological integration, higher education will be able to utilize AI as a partner, rather than as a disruptor, to protect against technological innovation overwhelming or even eclipsing the mission of higher education.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Hanson, "Displaced but not replaced: the impact of e-learning on academic identities in higher education," Teaching in Higher Education, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 553–564, 2009.

[2] C. Kosnik, L. Menna, and P. Dharamshi, "Displaced academics: Intended and unintended consequences of the changing landscape of teacher education," European Journal of Teacher Education, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 127–149, 2022.

[3] D. Adamson, G. Dyke, H. Jang, and C. P. Rosé, "Towards an agile approach to adapting dynamic collaboration support to student needs," International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, vol. 24, pp. 92–124, 2014.

[4] R. Luckin, Machine Learning and Human Intelligence. The future of education for the 21st century. UCL institute of education press, 2018.

[5] L. S. Vygotsky, Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. Harvard University Press, 1978.

[6] R. Braidotti, Posthuman knowledge. Polity Press Cambridge, 2019.

[7] I. H. Sarker, "AI-based modeling: techniques, applications and research issues towards automation, intelligent and smart systems," SN computer science, vol. 3, no. 2, p. 158, 2022.

[8] K. Crawford, The atlas of AI: Power, politics, and the planetary costs of artificial intelligence. Yale University Press, 2021.

[9] S. Dutta, S. Ranjan, S. Mishra, V. Sharma, P. Hewage, and C. Iwendi, "Enhancing educational adaptability: A review and analysis of AI-driven adaptive learning platforms," in 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM), 2024: IEEE, pp. 1–5.

[10] S. J. Russell and P. Norvig, Artificial intelligence: a modern approach. pearson, 2016.

[11] S. F. Ahmad, M. M. Alam, M. K. Rahmat, M. S. Mubarik, and S. I. Hyder, "Academic and administrative role of artificial intelligence in education," Sustainability, vol. 14, no. 3, p. 1101, 2022.

[12] R. Luckin and M. Cukurova, "Designing educational technologies in the age of AI: A learning sciences‐driven approach," British Journal of Educational Technology, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 2824‐2838, 2019.

[13] T. Alqahtani et al., "The emergent role of artificial intelligence, natural learning processing, and large language models in higher education and research," Research in social and administrative pharmacy, vol. 19, no. 8, pp. 1236–1242, 2023.

[14] M. Shoaib, N. Sayed, J. Singh, J. Shafi, S. Khan, and F. Ali, "AI student success predictor: Enhancing personalized learning in campus management systems," Computers in Human Behavior, vol. 158, p. 108301, 2024.

[15] M. Bond et al., "A meta systematic review of artificial intelligence in higher education: A call for increased ethics, collaboration, and rigour," International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, vol. 21, no. 1, p. 4, 2024.

[16] O. Tapalova and N. Zhiyenbayeva, "Artificial intelligence in education: AIEd for personalised learning pathways," Electronic Journal of e-Learning, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 639–653, 2022.

[17] J. F. Pane, B. A. Griffin, D. F. McCaffrey, and R. Karam, "Effectiveness of cognitive tutor algebra I at scale," Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 127–144, 2014.

[18] L. Chen, P. Chen, and Z. Lin, "Artificial intelligence in education: A review," IEEE Access, vol. 8, pp. 75264–75278, 2020.

[19] M. Al-Emran, A. A. AlQudah, G. A. Abbasi, M. A. Al-Sharafi, and M. Iranmanesh, "Determinants of using AI-based chatbots for knowledge sharing: evidence from PLS-SEM and fuzzy sets (fsQCA)," IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management, vol. 71, pp. 4985–4999, 2023.

[20] M. Kolhar and A. Alameen, "University learning with anti-plagiarism systems," Accountability in Research, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 226–246, 2021.

[21] W. Villegas-Ch, J. Govea, and S. Revelo-Tapia, "Improving student retention in institutions of higher education through machine learning: A sustainable approach," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 19, p. 14512, 2023.

[22] G. Marcus and E. Davis, Rebooting AI: Building artificial intelligence we can trust. Vintage, 2019.

[23] F. Kamalov, D. Santandreu Calonge, and I. Gurrib, "New era of artificial intelligence in education: Towards a sustainable multifaceted revolution," Sustainability, vol. 15, no. 16, p. 12451, 2023.

[24] L. Floridi et al., "AI4People—an ethical framework for a good AI society: opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations," Minds and Machines, vol. 28, pp. 689–707, 2018.

[25] A. A. Rasheed et al., "AI and Ethics, Academic Integrity and the Future of Quality Assurance in Higher Education," 2025.

[26] K. D. Stephan and G. Klima, "Artificial intelligence and its natural limits," AI & SOCIETY, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 9–18, 2021.

[27] B. D. Lund, T. Wang, N. R. Mannuru, B. Nie, S. Shimray, and Z. Wang, "ChatGPT and a new academic reality: Artificial Intelligence‐written research papers and the ethics of the large language models in scholarly publishing," Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 570–581, 2023.

[28] S. Atlas, "ChatGPT for higher education and professional development: A guide to conversational AI," 2023.

[29] N. Y. G. Lai et al., "Advanced automation and robotics for high volume labour-intensive manufacturing," in 2020 International Congress on Human-Computer Interaction, Optimization and Robotic Applications (HORA), 2020: IEEE, pp. 1–9.

[30] J. C. Tseng, H.-C. Chu, G.-J. Hwang, and C.-C. Tsai, "Development of an adaptive learning system with two sources of personalization information," Computers & Education, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 776–786, 2008.

[31] S. Patra. "AWS with ConveGenius' AI aims to educate over 100 million students across country." https://www.educationtimes.com/article/newsroom/99733707/aws-with-convegenius-ai-aims-to-educate-over-100-million-students-across-country (accessed.

[32] Y. Chang, B.-D. Li, H.-C. Chen, and F.-C. Chiu, "Investigating the synergy of critical thinking and creative thinking in the course of integrated activity in Taiwan," Educational Psychology, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 341–360, 2015.

[33] G. Amato et al., "AI in the media and creative industries," arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.04175, 2019.

[34] N. Rane, S. Choudhary, and J. Rane, "Education 4.0 and 5.0: Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) for personalized and adaptive learning," ed: Nov, 2023.

[35] I. Gambo, F.-J. Abegunde, O. Gambo, R. O. Ogundokun, A. N. Babatunde, and C.-C. Lee, "GRAD-AI: An automated grading tool for code assessment and feedback in programming course," Education and Information Technologies, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 9859–9899, 2025.

[36] B. B. C. Onwuagboke, C. Nnajieto, R. Nzeako, and H. Umune, "Lecturers' Awareness of Artificial Intelligence Tools for Teaching and Research in Alvan Ikoku Federal University of Education, Nigeria," African Journal of Humanities and Contemporary Education Research, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2024.

[37] S. Dimitrieska, "Generative artificial intelligence and advertising," Trends in economics, finance and management journal, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 23–34, 2024.

[38] D. Gm, R. Goudar, A. A. Kulkarni, V. N. Rathod, and G. S. Hukkeri, "A digital recommendation system for personalized

learning to enhance online education: A review," IEEE Access, vol. 12, pp. 34019–34041, 2024.

[39] A. Shukla, A. Algnihotri, and B. Singh, "Analyzing how AI and emotional intelligence affect Indian IT professional's decision-making," EAI Endorsed Trans. Pervasive Health Technol, vol. 9, 2023.

[40] S. Maghsudi, A. Lan, J. Xu, and M. van Der Schaar, "Personalized education in the artificial intelligence era: what to expect next," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 37–50, 2021.

[41] O. Zawacki-Richter, V. I. Marín, M. Bond, and F. Gouverneur, "Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators?," International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 1–27, 2019.

[42] L. Li, "Reskilling and upskilling the future-ready workforce for industry 4.0 and beyond," Information Systems Frontiers, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1697–1712, 2024.

[43] D. T. K. Ng, J. K. L. Leung, S. K. W. Chu, and M. S. Qiao, "Conceptualizing AI literacy: An exploratory review," Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, vol. 2, p. 100041, 2021.

[44] J. Southworth et al., "Developing a model for AI Across the curriculum: Transforming the higher education landscape via innovation in AI literacy," Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, vol. 4, p. 100127, 2023.

[45] L. Carvalho, R. Martinez-Maldonado, Y.-S. Tsai, L. Markauskaite, and M. De Laat, "How can we design for learning in an AI world?," Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, vol. 3, p. 100053, 2022.

[46] C. Halkiopoulos and E. Gkintoni, "Leveraging AI in e-learning: Personalized learning and adaptive assessment through cognitive neuropsychology—A systematic analysis," Electronics, vol. 13, no. 18, p. 3762, 2024.

[47] C.-w. Shen, T.-h. Luong, J.-t. Ho, and I. Djailani, "Social media marketing of IT service companies: Analysis using a concept-linking mining approach," Industrial Marketing Management, vol. 90, pp. 593–604, 2020.

[48] L. Gustilo, E. Ong, and M. R. Lapinid, "Algorithmically-driven writing and academic integrity: exploring educators' practices, perceptions, and policies in AI era," International Journal for Educational Integrity, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 3, 2024.

[49] K. Allil, "Integrating AI-driven marketing analytics techniques into the classroom: pedagogical strategies for enhancing student engagement and future business success," Journal of Marketing Analytics, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 142–168, 2024.

[50] D. T. K. Ng, J. K. L. Leung, J. Su, R. C. W. Ng, and S. K. W. Chu, "Teachers' AI digital competencies and twenty-first century skills in the post-pandemic world," Educational technology research and development, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 137–161, 2023.

[51] J. Howard, "Artificial intelligence: Implications for the future of work," American Journal of Industrial Medicine, vol. 62, no. 11, pp. 917–926, 2019.

[52] L. Novakova, "The impact of technology development on the future of the labour market in the Slovak Republic," Technology in Society, vol. 62, p. 101256, 2020.

[53] R. Nowrozy, "GPTs or Grim Position Threats? The Potential Impacts of Large Language Models on Non-Managerial Jobs and Certifications in Cybersecurity," in Informatics, 2024, vol. 11, no. 3: MDPI, p. 45.

[54] A. Sey and O. Mudongo, "Case studies on AI skills capacity building and AI in workforce development in Africa," Research ICT Africa, 2021.

[55] P. Lameras and S. Arnab, "Power to the teachers: an exploratory review on artificial intelligence in education," Information, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 14, 2021.

[56] I. Roll and R. Wylie, "Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education," International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, vol. 26, pp. 582–599, 2016.

[57] W. J. Von Eschenbach, "Transparency and the black box problem: Why we do not trust AI," Philosophy & Technology, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 1607–1622, 2021.

[58] H. Khosravi et al., "Explainable artificial intelligence in education," Computers and education: artificial intelligence, vol. 3, p. 100074, 2022.

[59] O. Menis-mastromichalakis, "Explainable Artificial Intelligence: An STS perspective," 2024.

[60] J. Stewart et al., "Attitudes towards artificial intelligence in emergency medicine," Emergency Medicine Australasia, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 252–265, 2024.

[61] A. Hagerty and I. Rubinov, "Global AI ethics: a review of the social impacts and ethical implications of artificial intelligence," arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.07892, 2019.

[62] A. K. Kar, P. Varsha, and S. Rajan, "Unravelling the impact of generative artificial intelligence (GAI) in industrial applications: A review of scientific and grey literature," Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 659–689, 2023.

[63] P. Esmaeilzadeh, "Challenges and strategies for wide-scale artificial intelligence (AI) deployment in healthcare practices: A perspective for healthcare organizations," Artificial Intelligence in Medicine, vol. 151, p. 102861, 2024.

[64] J. Burrell, "How the machine 'thinks': Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms," Big data & society, vol. 3, no. 1, p. 2053951715622512, 2016.

[65] A. N. Khan, M. A. Soomro, and A. H. Pitafi, "AI in the Workplace: Driving Employee Performance Through Enhanced Knowledge Sharing and Work Engagement," International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction, pp. 1–14, 2024.

[66] M. B. Kwapisz, A. Kohli, and P. Rajivan, "Privacy concerns of student data shared with instructors in an online learning management system," in Proceedings of the 2024 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2024, pp. 1–16.

[67] F. J. García-Peñalvo, "Avoiding the dark side of digital transformation in teaching. An institutional reference framework for eLearning in higher education," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 4, p. 2021.

[68] J. Chukwuere, "Exploring literature review methodologies in information systems research: A comparative study," Education & Learning in Developing Nations (ELDN), vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 38–46, 2023.

[69] B. Smela, M. Toumi, K. Świerk, K. Gawlik, E. Clay, and L. Boyer, "Systematic literature reviews over the years," Journal of market access & health policy, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 2244305, 2023.

[70] W. Bandara, S. Miskon, and E. Fielt, "A systematic, tool-supported method for conducting literature reviews in information systems," in ECIS 2011 proceedings [19th European conference on information systems], 2011: AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)/Association for Information Systems, pp. 1–13.

[71] Y. Levy and T. J. Ellis, "A systems approach to conduct an effective literature review in support of information systems research," Informing Science, vol. 9, 2006.

[72] M. Tate, E. Furtmueller, J. Evermann, and W. Bandara, "Introduction to the special issue: The literature review in information systems," Communications of the Association for Information Systems, vol. 37, no. 1, p. 5, 2015.