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Abstract—Economic online and in-situ field analyses applications like discriminated alarming of smoldering fire or toxic gas leakages, monitoring of volatile components in chemical and biochemical processes, quality monitoring in food processing etc., wait for reliable and economic analytical solutions by sensor systems. This paper presents various innovative mathematical procedures to analyze data from gas sensor systems and gas sensor nets: ProSens - an efficient mathematical procedure for calibration and evaluation of tin oxide gas sensor data, ProCal - a program for batch-wise calibration of multi gas sensors and ProSource - a procedure for source localization. Applications to real data demonstrate the performance of the procedures.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is a growing need of economic online and in-situ field analysis applications like online monitoring of volatile components in chemical and biochemical processes, quality monitoring in food processing, discriminated monitoring of toxic gas leakages, etc. In the last application, it is often necessary to find and locate the source of the leakage. In this context, isothermally operated metal oxide gas sensors (MOGs) with tin oxide as base material are manifold introduced due to their high sensitivity, long-term stability and low price. Their sensitivity to specific gas components, however, cannot be cultivated with high discrimination to others. Therefore, other approaches are necessary like a multi gas sensor array of MOGs [1,2] or thermo-cyclic operation of the MOG.

For evaluation of the sensor data, powerful mathematical evaluation procedures for substance identification and concentration determination, even in the case of variable environmental conditions like varying humidity, are necessary. The calibration of sensor elements is very time consuming and expensive. Even sensor elements which are fabricated batch-wise have to be individually calibrated for good analysis performance. Therefore, economic mathematical calibration procedures are useful to reduce the costs and the scope of calibration measurements. Last but not least, mathematical procedures are needed to locate the source of a leakage.

At the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) mathematical procedures are developed to meet the above mentioned requirements: ProSens — a mathematical procedure for calibration and evaluation, ProCal — a mathematical procedure for batch-wise calibration of sensor elements and ProSource — a mathematical procedure to locate the source of a leakage. After some remarks to thermo-cyclic operation of the MOG, these procedures will be briefly described in this paper and their performance will be demonstrated in applications with real data.

In Section 2, the principles of thermo-cyclic operation are briefly discussed. A short outline of the program ProSens with two applications to real data is given in Section 3. In Section 4, the program ProCal is briefly introduced and its performance is demonstrated in an example. In Section 5, the program ProSource for source localization is briefly described and in Section 6, the results of the paper are summarized.

II. THERMO-CYCLIC OPERATION

Thermo-cyclic operation means, that the working temperature of the sensor element is periodically increased and decreased over the time in a triangular shape. Simultaneous sampling of the conductance values over the time leads to so-called Conductance over Time Profiles (CTP) [3,4,5]. These profiles give a fingerprint of the surface processes with the gas and represent the gas mixture under consideration. The gas specific features of the CTPs can be used for component identification and concentration determination.

![CTPs of dimethyl sulphate (DMS) at various concentration levels](image)

Figure 1. CTPs of dimethyl sulphate (DMS) at various concentration levels
As mentioned above, MOGs can be used for ambitious analysis applications, if they are thermo-cyclically operated. A mathematical procedure for data evaluation has to identify an unknown gas sample (classification) to avoid misleading results like false alarms. Furthermore, it has to determine the concentration of the components of the gas sample. Varying environmental conditions like varying humidity or varying environmental temperature often influence the measurement results. These variations must be incorporated in the evaluation model of the mathematical procedure.

The program ProSens developed at KIT was designed to meet the above mentioned requirements. ProSens consists of two parts: a calibration part and an evaluation part.

In the calibration part, ProSens provides the mathematical calibration model which is the basis for routine data evaluation. The determination of the mathematical calibration model is based on calibration measurements performed by MOG with thermo-cyclic operation. These mathematical calibration models are parametric models. Their parameters are transferred to the evaluation part for the analysis of unknown gas samples.

In the evaluation part of ProSens, an unknown gas sample is analyzed. That means that a substance identification and a concentration determination is performed.

For gas component or compound identification, ProSens calculates the so-called theoretical CTP for the calibrated gas under consideration and compares this CTP with the measured CTP.

If the measured CTP and the theoretical CTP are close together, i.e., a difference value calculated from the sum of quadratic differences of every sample point of the measured CTP and the theoretical CTP is smaller than a predetermined decision value, ProSens identifies the unknown gas sample with the related calibrated gas.

Otherwise ProSens recognizes that the gas sample is not the calibrated gas.

In the case of a successful identification, ProSens calculates the concentration of the gas sample.

In order to demonstrate the performance of the mathematical evaluation procedure ProSens, the CTP-data of two evaluation experiments of chemical analysis were used, first ammonia under various water vapor partial pressures and second binary toluene/ethanol-mixtures dissolved in water. The experimental details of data acquisition are described in [5][6].

In the first investigation ammonia was analyzed. To perform the mathematical calibration model the CTP was measured at different ammonia concentrations (100 ppm, 200 ppm, 500 ppm, 1000 ppm) and at different water vapour partial pressures (529, 1322, 2334 Pa) as well. These 12 calibration points are visualized in Figure 3. This low number of calibration points is important, because it keeps the calibration costs low. The graphs of measured and theoretical CTP are compared when testing an ammonia sample (750 ppm) in Figure 4(a) and in case of a non-ammonia sample (carbon monoxide with 1000 ppm) in Figure 4(b). It is evident that ProSens is able to identify the ammonia sample. Table I demonstrates that ProSens can determine the concentration of ammonia samples quite well, even in the case of varying water vapour partial pressures.

![CTPs of Some Gases at the Same Concentration Level](image)

Figure 2. CTPs of some gases at a certain concentration level

In the first investigation ammonia was analyzed. To perform the mathematical calibration model the CTP was measured at different ammonia concentrations (100 ppm, 200 ppm, 500 ppm, 1000 ppm) and at different water vapour partial pressures (529, 1322, 2334 Pa) as well. These 12 calibration points are visualized in Figure 3. This low number of calibration points is important, because it keeps the calibration costs low. The graphs of measured and theoretical CTP are compared when testing an ammonia sample (750 ppm) in Figure 4(a) and in case of a non-ammonia sample (carbon monoxide with 1000 ppm) in Figure 4(b). It is evident that ProSens is able to identify the ammonia sample. Table I demonstrates that ProSens can determine the concentration of ammonia samples quite well, even in the case of varying water vapour partial pressures.
In a second example, the CTP-data of binary toluene/ethanol mixtures dissolved in water were used for chemical analysis. Also in this case only 12 calibration points were used in the calibration procedure (Figure 5). Three further binary toluene/ethanol-mixtures (analysis test points, Figure 5) and a non-toluene/ethanol-mixture were analyzed for demonstration of the performance of ProSens.

The analysis proves that the evaluation procedure is able to identify toluene/ethanol binary mixtures and to detect non-toluene/ethanol-mixtures as well. This is due to the fact that in the second case the difference between the theoretical CTP and the measured CTP is significantly big (Figure 6) in comparison with the negligible difference in the first case (Figure 7).

### Table I. Ammonia Analysis Results of Test Experiments at Different Water Partial Pressures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosed Concentration</th>
<th>p(H₂O)/Pa</th>
<th>Determined Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150 ppm</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>159 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 ppm</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>171 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 ppm</td>
<td>1058</td>
<td>329 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 ppm</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>373 ppm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II. Results of the Analysis Tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dosed Concentrations</th>
<th>Determined Concentrations</th>
<th>Time Delay/ days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25/2</td>
<td>23.4/2.1</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100/5</td>
<td>95.3/5.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200/1</td>
<td>200.1/0.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Time delay of the analysis test experiment after having finished the calibration data sampling.

The CTP-analysis resulted in rather accurate estimations of the VOC-components (error < 10%), see Table II.
IV. **ProCal – a Mathematical Procedure for Batch-wise Calibration**

For economic reasons, MOGs are typically fabricated in batch production. For technological reasons, production fluctuations are unavoidable which lead to fluctuations of the gas sensors specific features, e.g., manifested in CTP shape variations in the case of thermo-cyclic sensor operation.

Although the signal patterns (e.g., CTPs) of the various sensor chips of a production line are similar, each sensor chip has to be costly calibrated, in order to yield a high analytic performance. The same is true if a sensor chip has to be exchanged in case of a defect or if a sensor chip has to be recalibrated in case of long-term drifting.

The basic idea of ProCal is that only one single sensor chip (the so-called class reference chip) of the production line is measured at all calibration points (typically 5 calibration points for a single gas analysis application) and its signal patterns are sampled. Every other chips of the production line are measured at only one calibration point (the so-called reference point).

Next, for every sensor chip a mathematical function (the so-called approximation function) is determined which fits the signal pattern of the reference point, yield by the reference chip, to the signal pattern yield by the corresponding sensor chip in a best manner. That means that for each sensor chip excluding the reference chip a sensor specific approximation function is obtained.

With these approximation functions, the signal patterns of the reference chip are numerically calculated for all the other calibration points of the corresponding chips of the batch. This means that the signal patterns for the other calibration points do not have to be measured but can be approximated by numerical calculation. This results in a significant reduction of the calibration measurements by approximately factor 5.

The whole procedure is sketched in Table III with sensor $s_1$ as the reference chip and concentration $con_3$ as the reference point.

Furthermore, ProCal is able to find the “optimal” reference chip and to recognize a priori which sensor chips of the production line cannot be calibrated with this procedure.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of ProCal, an application was performed with eight thermo-cyclically operated gas sensors which were exposed to 0 ppm, 250 ppm, 500 ppm, 1000 ppm and 2000 ppm CO in humidified synthetic air (50% rH, 21°C), as described in [7].

In ProCal, only the CTPs of the reference concentration point (here at 500 ppm CO) of every sensor (Figure 8) are used to calculate sensor specific approximation functions.

ProCal recognizes that sensor $S_4$ is the best choice for the class-reference chip.

Furthermore, ProCal a priori determines sensor $S_3$ as an outlier which cannot be calibrated with this procedure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III. SCHEME OF THE CALIBRATION PROCEDURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$con_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$con_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$con_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$con_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$con_5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$con_3$: ref. calibr. point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$fi$: CTP($s_1,con_3$) -&gt; CTP($si,con_3$) “optimal”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$fi$: CTP($s_1,conj$) -&gt; CTP($si,conj$), $j = 1,..,5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. CTPs of eight sensors at reference point 500 ppm CO. $S_x$ means sensor $x$.

Figure 9. Measured and approximated CTP of $S_3$ at reference point 500 ppm CO.

Indeed, Figure 9 shows that the difference between numerically calculated CTP (using the relevant approximation function) and the sampled CTP is strikingly big for sensor $S_3$ at reference point 500 ppm CO.

To demonstrate how well the numerically measured CTP fits the sampled CTP, the data of $S_2$ at 1000 ppm CO are visualized in Figure 10. Similar results are obtained at all calibration points of the other sensors except the a priori excluded sensor $S_3$. 
In the first step, for each sensor $s_i$ located at $x_i$, the set of points $P_i$ is determined, on which the source can lie, taking only the specific concentration measurement $C_i$ of sensor $s_i$ into account.

In the second step, an estimate for the source position $x_0$ is evaluated by intersecting the sets $P_i$.

A. Determining $P_i$

Each set $P_i$ is given by:

$$P_i = \{ x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \| x_i - x_0 \|_2 = d_i(C_i, x_0, q_0) \} \quad (1)$$

Here, for a fixed but unknown source rate $q_0$ and the measured concentration $C_i$, the set $P_i$ forms an oval in the $x$-direction. For different assumed source rates $q_0$, the oval sets $P_i$ for one sensor $s_i$ are plotted in Figure 11.

B. Estimating the source position $x_0$

Like for one sensor in Figure 11, ovals can be calculated for all $m$ sensors of the sensor network. Thus, all $m$ ovals intersect at the source position $x_0$, if the source rate, used to generate the ovals, equals the true value $q_0$. This is demonstrated in Figure 12. In this case, four gas sensors are applied.

![Figure 11. Potential source positions for sensor $s_i$ for different source rates $q_0$](image)

![Figure 12. Intersection of all $m$ sets $P_i$ at the source position $x_0$ for the undisturbed case and the true value of $q_0$.](image)
leakage with the corresponding source rate. In the disturbed case, ProSource calculates an estimated source position and a corresponding estimated source rate that minimize the geometric error of all ovals.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the mathematical procedures ProSens, ProCal and ProSource have been shortly described and their performances have been demonstrated with examples using real measurement data.

The examples demonstrate that the procedures developed at KIT are powerful tools for economic online and in-situ field analyses applications. ProSens is an efficient mathematical procedure for evaluation of tin oxide gas sensor data, even in the case of variable environmental conditions like varying humidity. ProCal is an economic mathematical procedure for batch-wise calibration of multi gas sensors, useful to reduce the costs and the scope of calibration measurements. ProSource is a robust and fast procedure for source localization based on spatially distributed concentration measurements.

ProSens is designed to analyze only one gas mixture under consideration. But in many applications a multitude of different gases may occur, for example in detecting cable fire in a cable slot at a very early point of time but with high discrimination to other gases. Depending on the various coating materials of the cables, a variety of gases or gas mixtures can be emitted which have to be simultaneously identified and analyzed for early detection of such a developing risk. For this reason, ProSens has to be extended in a next step to meet these requirements.
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