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Abstract— Process mining is considered a discipline that contains a set of techniques, algorithms and methods for discovering, monitoring and optimizing business processes through event logs extracted from transactional systems. Based on this discipline, a model is proposed that allows the evaluation of the performance and behaviour of business processes through a set of control metrics. As a result of the model evaluation, six control metrics were analyzed in the logistic process of a Peruvian retail enterprise using ProM Tools for the application of Process Mining techniques and Qlikview for the implementation of the Process Cube and results presentation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

It is not new that companies are currently in a continuous search for improvements for the execution of their processes. Therefore, they are immersed in the choice of new technologies that provide tools and techniques to improve the control of their operations. The current approaches for process improvement have a high probability of failure, as is the case of process re-engineering where there is a probability of failure of between 60 and 70% [1]. It is in response to this need that Process Mining emerged. This field of research is defined as a discipline that uses event logs generated by information systems to discover, analyze and improve business processes [2]. However, as an emerging technology, it still presents many challenges for its application. These include: poor understanding of inexperienced users, integrating Process Mining with other types of analysis and the complexity of using existing tools [2]. These challenges are reflected in the lack of reports and visualizations that clearly reflect to the end user the outcome of the process analysis, which is extremely important because transforming data into valuable information requires an understanding of the data context and the ability to visualize large volumes of data [3]. On the other hand, it should be considered the complexity of replicating the workflow, which requires analysts to perform many analysis steps in a specific order [4], despite the fact that multiple iterations are usually required in order to fine-tune the report so that it provides the highest level of understanding for the end user. Therefore, with the objective of addressing these challenges, a solution is developed to meet the obstacles involved in the execution of this technique, allowing a greater ease in the application and interpretation of results by using business process control metrics that provide the user a clear view of the current situation of behavior and execution of their processes.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the state of the art. In Section 3, we present the proposed model. Section 4 presents the results of the implementation of the proposal in a real scenario. We conclude the work in Section 5.

II. STATE OF THE ART

In this section, we address the state of art which has been divided in three sub-sections based on the explored topics:

A. Process Cubes

A Process Cube can be defined as a collection of events or process models organized through different dimensions (e.g. time, resources, roles, etc.) [6] allowing to manipulate the collection of events with traditional OLAP (On-Line Transactional Processing) operations (Slice, Dice, Drill Down, etc.) as commonly used in Business Intelligence [5]. Different approaches have been explored on the subject, giving positive results. The work of Ribeiro and Weijters demonstrates the advantages of developing an Event Cube (a similar term to refer to a Process Cube) where it allowed process analysts to apply Process Mining from different perspectives of the process in a simple way [7]. Similarly, the work of Bolt and Van der Aalst implements the Process Cube concept in a practical way in an application called "Process Mining Cube" that demonstrated good performance results compared to previous approaches [8].

B. Process Mining: Methods and Metrics

Process Mining has received great attention in recent years from the academic community, resulting in a large number of process discovery techniques, techniques for event log data analysis, techniques for trace classifications,
The PM2 methodology seeks to provide a guide for the implementation of Process Mining projects, which, unlike other existing methodologies, stands out for its scope to be applied to different types of projects [16]. PM2 consists of six phases: planning, extraction, data processing, mining and analysis, evaluation and finally, process improvement and support. The main contribution of the methodology is the data processing phase, which specifies various tasks such as filtering, adding different types of perspectives, among others, which together aim to have information that can allow optimal analysis in later phases [16].

III. CONTROL METRICS EVALUATION MODEL

A. Background

The proposed model takes concepts from the PM2 methodology for its design, since its approach seeks to evaluate performance and compliance with the rules and regulations of the process, and also covers a wide range of Process Mining techniques and other types of analysis techniques useful for the study of structured and unstructured processes in an iterative way [16]. The phases of the methodology that represented the greatest contribution were Extraction, Data Processing, Mining and Analysis and Evaluation. It is important to consider the minimum requirements to apply Process Mining. The first consideration is that the information of the process to be analyzed must be hosted in some data repository (database, csv file, transaction log, business suite, etc), from which the event logs will be extracted. The second one is that, with respect to the extracted event log, in order to apply Process Mining it must contain at least the following fields: Case identifier, Activity name and Time stamp.

In addition, the model makes use of Qlikview 12 for the visualization of data and ProM Tools 6.7 for the processing of event logs.

B. Model Phases

The main objective of the model is to evaluate control metrics to provide a diagnosis of the analyzed process. The model consists of six phases that can be grouped into two main groups (Pre-processing and execution). Each of them is detailed below, as shown in Figure 1.

- **Extraction**: The objective of this phase is to extract Event Data from the information systems that support the process to be analyzed under the format of an Event Log, so that Process Mining techniques can be applied. The minimum Event Log requirement must be a process instance identifier (CaseID), activity name and time stamp.

- **Integration**: The aim of this phase is to integrate the Event Logs obtained in the extraction phase into a single Event Log, so that a holistic approach is taken to the process (end-to-end).

- **Cleansing**: This phase aims to ensure that the Event Log information is consistent. To do this, the Event Log is filtered by removing the information that may negatively affect the analysis (lack of data, null values, etc.), in the same way incomplete or infrequent traces are eliminated.

- **Discovery**: This phase aims to discover a process model based on the Event Log already processed.

- **Conformance**: In this phase the model generated in the previous phase is compared with the model that currently follows the process, in addition the deviations and control metrics are calculated in this phase.
• Diagnose: The objective of this phase is to evaluate previously calculated control metrics and provide visual representation of the results for the end users understanding.

C. Structural and Control Metrics

For the structural analysis of the event log, the model contemplates metrics proposed in Kherbouche work, of which the following are used to calculate the level of complexity and variability of the process based on the information contained in the Event log [11]. The metrics are Average Trace Size (ATS), Average Trace Length (ATL), Average Loops per Trace (ALT), Density (DN) and Trace Heterogeneity Rate (THR).

![Figure 1. Proposed Model](image)

IV. RESULTS

The developed model is validated in a logistics process, particularly the management of purchase orders of a Peruvian company in the retail sector. The evaluation of the model allows to measure its performance and behavior through the previously explained phases.

A. Extraction Phase

For the extraction of the event data, the master tables were identified in the system database used by the organization, along with the help of the database administrator; the information from the tables was then interrelated through a query in SQL language to extract the event records. The extracted information from the system decanted in the generation of three Event Logs that contemplate the information of the management of purchase orders, generation of the invoice and the inventory receipts.

Table I shows the structural characteristics of the extracted Event Logs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>EvLog1</th>
<th>EvLog2</th>
<th>EvLog3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Events</td>
<td>26515</td>
<td>4367</td>
<td>12303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Instances</td>
<td>4669</td>
<td>2414</td>
<td>3795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Activities</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Resources</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Structural Characteristics of Event Logs

B. Integration Phase

In this phase, the three previously extracted Event Logs are unified into a single Event Log with the complete process information (end-to-end approach). The procedure carried out through the application of ProM Tools plugin is described below:

1) Analysis of Identifiers: In this step it is analyzed that the Event Logs share the instance identifier field (CaseID), so that the activities based on this field can be integrated. If you do not have such a shared common field, CaseIDs must be transformed in such a way that they are related to the other Event logs to be integrated. For the present case of application, the organization manages its processes through an RMS information system divided into modules, so this phase manages the same CaseID.

2) Running the plugin: To unify the Event Logs, the "Merge two Event Logs using a rule based algorithm" plugin is used in ProM Tools [10]. The results of the integration phase are shown in Table II:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Integrated Event Log</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># Events</td>
<td>43185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Instances</td>
<td>4669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Activities</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Resources</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the total number of events and activities is the sum of all the events logs, it indicates that the process was successful since this means that all the executed events are included, on the other hand for the instances the maximum value observed in the events logs should be obtained, otherwise this would mean that there are executions of the process that are not being considered because a CaseID represents an execution of the process.

C. Application of the Process Cube

It is important to analyze the basic process information in the unified Event Log, in order to help the user define which will be the points relevant to the process for review. For this purpose, the Process Cube will allow us to analyze the Event Log of the retail company based on the following perspectives shown on Figure 2. It is important to note that the dimensions were defined based on the information available to extract. However, it is possible to include as many dimensions as considered necessary according to the user's need for analysis and they are, in that sense, not mandatory and only allow to enrich the analysis. The Process Cube application was implemented in Qlikview, the results of structural metrics can be seen in Table III:
TABLE III. RESULT OF THE STRUCTURAL METRICS OF THE INTEGRATED EVENT LOG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATL</td>
<td>9.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATS</td>
<td>9.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THR</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of the structural metrics, specifically density (DN) and trace heterogeneity ratio (THR), indicate that this is a process with a low level of loops, i.e. the activities for a process execution are not repeated. However, if we analyze the THR, we can see that it is traced from a process of high variability.

D. Cleansing Phase

In order for the results of the Discovery and Conformance phases to generate reliable results, filtering tasks need to be performed. For filtering tasks, the SLF (Filter Log on Simple Heuristics) and PCL (Filter log using Prefix-Closed Language) plugins are applied in ProM Tools. The first plugin is used for filtering incomplete traces. The second one seeks to eliminate the infrequent behavior in the process, removing traces of little frequency from the log. In addition, when performing the filtering task taking into account the activity PO CREATION as initial and PO MODIFICATION (purchase order closing) as final, one can get a new event log with the characteristics as reported in Table IV.

TABLE IV. STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS OF FILTERED EVENT LOG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structural characteristics of filtered Event Log</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Instances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Resources</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To measure the effectiveness of this phase, the precision metric was evaluated before and after applying the filtering tasks with the “Multi-Perspective Process Explorer” plugin which analyses how many events can be replayed correctly on the generated model given a dataset. The results can be observed in Table V:

TABLE V. PRECISION RESULTS IN THE CLEANING PHASE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Pre</th>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Δ P.P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>83.50 %</td>
<td>97.40 %</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Correct Events</td>
<td>85.00 %</td>
<td>97.30 %</td>
<td>12.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Incorrect Events</td>
<td>15.00 %</td>
<td>2.70 %</td>
<td>12.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Missing Events</td>
<td>8.9 %</td>
<td>1.20 %</td>
<td>-7.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results were positive, achieving 97.40% accuracy.

E. Discovery Phase

In order to generate the model, the Inductive Miner method is used. It is important to configure the process start and end activities in advance. By default, the method will analyze the possible activities. However, it also gives the possibility to perform the selection manually.

F. Conformance Phase

In the Conformance phase, we will test the model discovered in the previous phase with the model currently implemented in the company. The plugin used for this task is the "Multi Perspective Process Explorer", which indicates the degree of deviation of the process activities.

- Metric #1: Percent Transition Fitness: Percent of instances that are reproducible in a Petri net [17].

Measurement Method: Multi Perspective Process Explorer plugin was used to calculate this metric, which uses the data attributes associated with events to analyze processes from multiple perspectives [18]. In this case, the conformity perspective will be used, which will show us the percentage of instances of the event log that are reproducible in the Petri net of the company process model based on the number of reproducible events, non-reproducible events and the number of missing events.

- Metric #2: Inconsistency Ratio Activity frequency with respect to the total instances of initial activity.

Measurement Method: For the calculation of this metric, the Log Inspector was used with its Log Summary utility, which shows the activity, the number of instances that count each one and their respective relative frequency. The Explore Event Log utility was also used to find the sequence pattern and initial process activity. Additionally, a calculation was made to find the metric. This calculation is composed of the following formula:

\[ IR = \frac{AIN}{NIA} \times 100 \]  

In (1) AIN is the activity instance number, NIA is the number of initial activity and IR is the Inconsistency Ratio metric.

- Metric #3: Arrival rate per hour: Number of case arrivals into the process per time unit [17].

Measurement Method: To calculate this metric, the Replay a Log for Petri Net plugin was used, which uses a
Petri Net and an event log to create advanced alignments between each trace in the registry and the network [13]. It is possible to obtain from the execution of this plugin the waiting time, the sojourn time and the frequency occurrence by activity. Based on these values, the average duration of the process is computed. The arrival rate per hour is then computed as the number of instances divided by the sojourn time.

- Metric #4: Percentage of execution duration per activity: Shows the percentage of the execution duration of each activity with respect to the total duration of the process.

Measurement Method: The Replay a Log for Petri Net plugin was also used to calculate this metric, making use of the Waiting time, sojourn time and frequency of occurrence by activity variables, calculating the total duration time of the process, and the Percentage of execution duration by activity with respect to the total execution time.

- Metric #5: Resource Saturation: It will calculate the number of instances executing a resource per hour.

Measurement Method: For the calculation of this metric, the Inductive Visual Miner plugin was used, which given an event log, the Inductive Visual Miner automatically discovers a process model, compares this model with the event log and displays several improvements such as performance measures, queue lengths [20]. Obtaining from this execution the variables instance frequency and the sojourn time in hours.

- Metric #6: Percentage of execution duration per resource: It shows us the percentage of time it takes a resource to execute its activities with respect to the total time of the process.

Measurement Method: The Inductive Visual Miner plugin was also used to calculate this metric, obtaining from its execution the variable sojourn time in hours. It is on the basis of this variable that the total duration time is calculated, and then we proceed to calculate the percentage of duration per resource using the formula:

$$PED = \frac{DPR}{TD} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In (2) DPR is the execution duration per resource, TD is the total execution duration and PED is the percentage of execution duration per resource metric.

G. Diagnose Phase

As a result of the application of the model, the following control metrics and their respective evaluation of results could be obtained:

- Metric #1: Transition Fitness
  The low level of transition fitness is a sign of a deviation in the process execution flow. Looking at the result obtained, it can be seen that the activities: 1ST BOX OF PO AND CREATION OF THE INVOICE / LAST BOX OF PO present deviations in their execution. Performing a more in-depth analysis it could be concluded that currently, the main cause of disagreement of the process is given in activity 1ST BOX OF OC with the registration of events given is due to the fact that, as can be seen in the image, it is usual to start receiving products without having completed the flow of approvals.

- Metric #2: Inconsistencies with respect to the total instances of the initial activity:
  The initial activity of the process is CREATION OF PO, which has a total of 1047 instances and refers to 1047 orders created in the analyzed process, which is expected to generate the same invoice amount in this process, but as can be observed this activity only has a frequency of 14.42% with respect to the initial activity, as well as income creation activity that presents a frequency of 53.20%.

- Metric #3: Arrival rate per hour:
  The average number of instances per hour that the process executes is 2.50 and when comparing this figure with that of each activity, it can be observed that there are 4 activities below this ratio. This would be a clear indication that there is a possibility of bottlenecks in these activities.

- Metric #4: Percentage of execution duration per activity:
  Since the average percentage of total execution duration is 9.09%, when comparing this with the percentage of each activity, it can be observed that there are four activities below this ratio. This would be a clear indication that there is a possibility of bottlenecks in these activities since they have a higher percentage of duration than the calculated average.

- Metric #5: Resource Saturation:
  By having on average 6.25 executions per hour in the process, we compare this figure with the result of the metric for each resource, evidencing that the Head of category, Head of warehouse, GG. DIV. RETAIL and the Commercial P. Analyst perform fewer activities per hour than the average. This is an indication that these resources are saturated due to the high demand for execution of the instances they execute.

- Metric #6: Percentage of execution duration per resource:
  Since the average percentage of total execution duration is 14%, when comparing this figure with the percentage of each resource, it can be seen that there are 2 resources below this ratio. This would be a clear indication that there is the possibility that these resources generate a bottleneck.
in the process and complemented by metric 5, we can
deduce that in the case of the category head this delay is due
to the overload presented by the resource.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This article presents a model that allows the evaluation of
business process performance and behavior through a set of
control metrics using Process Mining techniques. It was
developed to facilitate the evaluation of metrics that are
useful for the analysis and detection of bottlenecks,
deviations and resources involved in the analyzed process.
The proposal was validated in a company in the retail sector
where the event log of the purchasing management process
was analyzed giving as a result of the application of the
model and the evaluation of the proposed metrics, the
identification of anomalies. The model was capable of
assuring the quality of the analysis in the pre-processing
phase, at the same time the application of the Process
Mining methods for discovery, diagnose and conformance
analysis were derived in control metrics through the
application of the algorithms and plugins implemented in
the open source tool ProM Tools and the use of Qlikview
for the presentation of results and application of the Process
Cube.
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