Adaptive Control of a Biomethanation Process using Neural Networks
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Abstract—This paper deals with the design of an adaptive control scheme for the regulation of the acetate concentration in a biomethanation process with production of methane gas that takes place inside a Continuous Stirred Tank Bioreactor. The control structure is based on the nonlinear model of the process whose parameters are identified using the distributions method and the unknown reaction rates are estimated using a radial basis neural network. These estimations are then used in a nonlinear model predictive control (NMPC) scheme. Minimization of the cost function is realized using the Levenberg–Marquardt numerical optimization method. The effectiveness and performance of the proposed control strategy is illustrated by numerical simulations. The simulation results obtained with a continuous stirred tank reactor plant model confirmed the good quality of the control.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In the last period, the control of biotechnological processes has been an important problem attracting wide attention. The main engineering motivation in applying advanced control methods to such processes is to improve operational stability and production efficiency. But, the use of modern control for these bioprocesses is still low. The nonlinearity of the bioprocesses and the uncertainty of kinetics impose the adaptive control strategy as a suitable approach. So, the difficulties encountered in the measurement of the state variables of the bioprocesses impose the use of the so-called “software sensors”. Note that these software sensors are used not only for the estimation of the concentrations of some components but also for the estimation of the kinetic parameters or even kinetic reactions. The adaptive control scheme used in this work is based on a predictive controller that uses the nonlinear dynamic model to predict the effect of sequences of control steps on the controlled variables.

This paper deals with the adaptive control of a wastewater biodegradation process. The dynamics of this biotechnological process are described by a set of nonlinear differential equations obtained from the reaction scheme and the unknown reaction rates are estimated using a radial basis neural network. For the estimation of unknown parameters of the process, the distribution approach is used. The parameter identification of deterministic nonlinear continuous-time systems (NCTS), modeled by polynomial type differential equation has been considered by numerous authors [1], [2]. In this paper, we use an identification method for a class of NCTS considering that the unknown parameters can appear in rational relations with measured variables. Using techniques used in distribution approach, the measurable functions and their derivatives are represented by functionals on a fundamental space of testing functions. Such systems are common in biotechnology [3]. The main idea is to use a hierarchical structure of identification. First, some state equations are utilized to obtain a set of linear equations in some parameters. The results of this first stage of identification are utilized for expressing other parameters by linear equations. This process is repeated until all parameters are identified.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II is devoted to description and modeling of a biomethanation process. The adaptive control strategy is presented in Section III. Simulations results presented in Section IV illustrate the performance of the proposed control algorithms and, finally, Section V concludes the paper.

II. PROCESS MODELING

A. Analytical approach of process modeling

Anaerobic digestion is a multi-stage biological wastewater treatment process whereby bacteria, in the absence of oxygen, decompose organic matter to carbon dioxide, methane and water. A linear model, no matter how well it has been structured and tuned, may be acceptable only in the case where the system is working around the operating point. If the system is highly nonlinear, such as biotechnological processes, control based on the prediction from a linear model may result in unacceptable response. In some cases, remarkable static errors exist, and in other cases, oscillation or even instability may occur. Therefore, some kinds of non-linear models should be used to describe the behavior of a highly non-linear system [4].

In this paper, we consider a biomethanation process - wastewater biodegradation with production of methane gas that takes place inside a Continuous Stirred Tank Bioreactor whose reduced model is presented in [5]. In the first phase, the glucose from the wastewater is decomposed in fat volatile acids (acetates, propionic acid), hydrogen and
inorganic carbon under action of the acidogenic bacteria. In the second phase, the ionised hydrogen decomposes the propionic acid CH3CHOHCOOH in acetates, H2 and carbon dioxide CO2. In the first methanogenic phase, the acetate is transformed into methane and CO2, and finally, in the second methanogenic phase, the methane gas CH4 is obtained from H2 and CO2, [5]. The following simplified reaction scheme is considered,

\[
\begin{align*}
S_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} X_1 + S_2 \\
S_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi_2} X_2 + P_1
\end{align*}
\]  

where: \( S_1 \) represents the glucose substrate, \( S_2 \) the acetate substrate, \( X_1 \) is the acidogenic bacteria, \( X_2 \) the aceticlastic methanogenic bacteria and \( P_1 \) represents the product, i.e. the methane gas. The reaction rates are denoted by \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \). The corresponding dynamical model is:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ S_1 \\ X_2 \\ S_2 \\ P_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -k_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -k_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ k_2 & -k_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k_4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{bmatrix} - D \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ S_1 \\ X_2 \\ S_2 \\ P_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( S_{in} \) is the influent substrate, \( Q_1 \) the methane gas outflow rate, \( D \) the dilution rate and the state vector of the model is:

\[
\xi = [X_1 S_1 X_2 S_2 P_1]^T = [\xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \xi_4 \xi_5]^T
\]

whose components are concentrations in (g/l). The reaction rates are nonlinear functions of the state components, expressed as:

\[
\Phi = \Phi(\xi) = \begin{bmatrix} \Phi_1(\xi) \\ \Phi_2(\xi) \end{bmatrix}
\]

The reaction rates for this process are given by the Monod law [3]

\[
\Phi_1(\xi) = \mu_1 \frac{S_1 \cdot X_1}{K_{M_1} + S_1}
\]

and the Haldane kinetic model [3]:

\[
\Phi_2(\xi) = \mu_2 \frac{S_2 \cdot X_2}{K_{M_2} + S_2 + S_2^2 / K_i}
\]

where \( K_{M_1}, K_{M_2} \) are Michaelis-Menten constants, \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \) represent specific growth rates coefficients and \( K_i \) is the inhibition constant.

For simplicity, shall we denote the plant parameters by the vector:

\[
\theta = [\theta_1 \ \theta_2 \ \theta_3 \ \theta_4 \ \theta_5 \ \theta_6 \ \theta_7 \ \theta_8 \ \theta_9]^T
\]

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 & = k_3 \\
\theta_2 & = k_4 \\
\theta_3 & = k_5 \\
\theta_4 & = k_6 \\
\theta_5 & = k_7 \\
\theta_6 & = k_8 \\
\theta_7 & = k_9
\end{align*}
\]

Because the dilution rate \( D \) can be externally modified, it will be considered the third component of the input vector

\[
u = [u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3]^T
\]

The other two components of \( u \) are the concentration \( S_{in} \) and the methane gas outflow rate \( Q_1 \) so,

\[
u_1 = S_{in}; \nu_2 = Q_1; \nu_3 = D
\]

Usually, \( Q_1 \) depends on state variables, \( Q_1 = \psi(\xi) \); determining a feedback to the input \( u_2 \). Written explicitly by components, the state equation (2), within the above notations, takes the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1' & = \Phi_1 - u_3 \cdot \xi_1 \\
\Phi_1 & = \theta_1 \cdot \frac{\xi_1 \cdot \xi_2}{\theta_4 + \xi_2} \\
\xi_2' & = -\theta_2 \cdot \Phi_1 - u_4 \cdot \xi_2 + u_1 \cdot u_3 \\
\Phi_2 & = \theta_6 \cdot \frac{\xi_3 \cdot \xi_4}{\theta_5 + \xi_3 + \theta_4 + \xi_4} + \theta_6 = \theta_6 \\
\xi_3' & = -\theta_3 \cdot \Phi_2 - u_3 \cdot \xi_3 \\
\Phi_3 & = \theta_8 \cdot \frac{\xi_4 \cdot \xi_5}{\theta_7 + \xi_4 + \theta_6 + \xi_5} + \theta_7
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_1' & = u_1 \cdot u_2 \cdot u_3 \\
\xi_2' & = -u_4 \cdot \xi_2 + u_1 \cdot u_3 \\
\xi_3' & = -u_3 \cdot \xi_3 \\
\xi_4' & = -u_3 \cdot \xi_4 + u_4 \cdot u_2 \\
\xi_5' & = -u_5 \cdot \xi_5 + u_4 \cdot u_2 \\
\xi_6' & = -u_3 \cdot \xi_6 + u_4 \cdot u_2 \\
\xi_7' & = -u_3 \cdot \xi_7 + u_4 \cdot u_2 \\
\xi_8' & = -u_3 \cdot \xi_8 + u_4 \cdot u_2 \\
\xi_9' & = -u_3 \cdot \xi_9 + u_4 \cdot u_2
\end{align*}
\]

B. Parameters estimation

For parameters estimation the distribution based method was used. In this approach the set of nonlinear differential equations describing the state evolution is mapped into a set of linear algebraic equations respect to the model parameters. Using techniques utilized in distribution approach, the measurable functions and their derivatives are represented by functionals on a fundamental space of testing functions. The main advantages of this method are that a set of algebraic equation with real coefficients results and the formulations are free from boundary conditions.

Let \( \Phi_0 \) be the fundamental space from the distribution theory of the real functions \( \phi : R \rightarrow R, t \rightarrow \phi(t) \) and \( q : R \rightarrow R, t \rightarrow q(t) \) a function which admits a Riemann integral on any compact interval \( T \) from \( R \). Using this function, a unique distribution

\[
F_{q} : \Phi_{0} \rightarrow R, \phi \rightarrow F_q(\phi) \in R
\]

can be built by the relation:

\[
F_q(\phi) = \int_{R}^{T} q(t) \phi(t) dt \forall \phi \in \Phi_{0}
\]
In distribution theory, the notion of k-order derivative is introduced. If \( F_q \in \Phi_n \), then its k-order derivative is a new distribution \( F_q^{(k)} \in \Phi_n \) uniquely defined by the relations:
\[
F_q^{(k)}(\varphi) = (-1)^k F_q(\varphi^{(k)}), \forall \varphi \in \Phi_n
\]
(20)
\[
\varphi \rightarrow F_q^{(k)}(\varphi) = (-1)^k \int_R q(t)\varphi^{(k)}(t)dt \in R
\]
(21)
where
\[
\varphi^{(k)}: R \rightarrow R, t \rightarrow \varphi^{(k)}(t) = \frac{d^k \varphi(t)}{dt^k}
\]
(22)
is the k-order time derivative of the testing function.

When \( q \in C^k(R) \), then
\[
F_q^{(k)}(\varphi) = \int_R q^{(k)}(t)\varphi(t)dt = (-1)^k \int_R q(t)\varphi^{(k)}(t)dt,
\]
(23)
that means the k-order derivative of a distribution generated by a function \( q \in C^k(R) \) equals to the distribution generated by the k-order time derivative of the function \( q \).

So, in place of the states and their time derivatives of a system one utilizes the corresponding distributions and, in some particular cases, it is possible to obtain a system of equations linear in parameters. If the system is compatible then all the model parameters are structurally identifiable.

Consider all state variables accessible for measurements. The dynamical system (11)-(17) contains rational dependence between parameters and measured variables. To obtain linear equations in unknown parameters, the dependencies between parameters and measured variables. The dynamical system (11)-(17) contains rational dependence between parameters and measured variables. To obtain linear equations in unknown parameters, the dependencies between parameters and measured variables.

Identification problem is split in several simpler problems. To obtain linear equations in unknown parameters, the dependencies between parameters and measured variables. The dynamical system (11)-(17) contains rational dependence between parameters and measured variables.

As a result, the block diagram of a MPC controller is shown. The NN, defined by a Gaussian function
\[
\sigma(x) = \exp(-|x-c|^2 / \sigma^2)
\]
(24)
is the input to the NN, \( c_i \) is the centre of the i-th node, and \( \sigma_i \) is its size of influence. The output of a RBNN, \( y_{NN} = F(x,W) \), may be calculated as [13]
\[
F(x,W) = \sum_{i=1}^p w_i \gamma_i(x) = W^T(t) \Gamma(x)
\]
(28)
where \( W(t) = [w_i(t) w_2(t) \ldots w_p(t)]^T \) is the vector of network weights and \( \Gamma(x) \) is a set of radial basis functions defined by \( \Gamma(x) = [\gamma_1(x) \gamma_2(x) \ldots \gamma_p(x)]^T \).

Given a RBNN, it is possible to approximate a wide variety of functions \( f(x) \) by making different choices for \( W \). In particular, if there is a sufficient number of nodes within

B. The Neural Network Model

The predictive model for a conventional MPC controller is usually a linear model which is preferred as being more intuitive and requiring less a priori information for its identification. MPC based on linear models is acceptable if the process operates at a single setpoint and the primary use of the controller is the rejection of disturbances. Many chemical processes, including polymer reactors, do not operate at a single setpoint. However, these models are not suitable for a nonlinear system such as biotechnological processes. To solve this problem neural networks are proposed to obtain the estimated output used by the MPC controller, because the neural networks have the ability to map any nonlinear relationships between an input and output set. There have also been many reports on the application of neural network to bioprocesses control, modelling and identification [8], [9].

In this paper, the process model is obtained using a radial basis neural network (RBNN) with adjustable parameters to approximate the reaction rates \( \Phi_1 \) and \( \Phi_2 \) from model (2). A RBNN is made up of a collection of \( p > 0 \) parallel processing units called nodes. The output of the \( i \)-th node is defined by a Gaussian function
\[
\gamma_i(x) = \exp(-|x-c| / \sigma_i^2)
\]
(24)
where \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) is the input to the NN, \( c_i \) is the centre of the i-th node, and \( \sigma_i \) is its size of influence. The output of a RBNN, \( y_{NN} = F(x,W) \), may be calculated as [13]
\[
F(x,W) = \sum_{i=1}^p w_i \gamma_i(x) = W^T(t) \Gamma(x)
\]
(28)
where \( W(t) = [w_i(t) w_2(t) \ldots w_p(t)]^T \) is the vector of network weights and \( \Gamma(x) \) is a set of radial basis functions defined by \( \Gamma(x) = [\gamma_1(x) \gamma_2(x) \ldots \gamma_p(x)]^T \).

Given a RBNN, it is possible to approximate a wide variety of functions \( f(x) \) by making different choices for \( W \). In particular, if there is a sufficient number of nodes within

C. The Control Law

The control law is obtained by solving the following optimization problem [6]:
The NN, then there is some $W'$ such as \[ \sup_{x \in S} |F(x, W') - f(x)| < \varepsilon, \] where $S$ is a compact set, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a finite constant, provided that $f(x)$ is continuous. The RBNN is used to estimate the reaction rates $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ (that are considered unknown) using some state measurements.

### C. The Neural Network Adaptive Control Algorithm

The model predictive control is a strategy based on the explicit use of system model to predict the controlled variables over a certain time horizon, called the prediction horizon. The adaptive control strategy can be described as follows:

1) Using the on-line measurements the unknown dynamics of the system are estimated using an ANN.

2) At each sampling time, the value of the controlled variable $y_{r,k}$ is predicted over the prediction horizon $k = l, \ldots, N_c$. This prediction depends on the future values of the control variable $u_{r,k}$ within a control horizon $k = l, \ldots, N_c$.

3) A reference trajectory $y_{r,k}^{ref}$, $k = l, \ldots, N$ is defined which describes the desired system trajectory over the prediction horizon.

4) The vector of future controls $u_{r,k}$ is computed such that an objective function (a function of the errors between the reference trajectory and the predicted output of the model) is minimised.

5) Once the minimisation is achieved, the first optimised control actions are applied to the plant and the plant outputs are measured. These measurements are used as the initial states of the model to perform the next iteration. Steps 1 to 5 are repeated at each sampling instant.

The adaptive control strategy is illustrated by the scheme represented in Fig. 1.

![Figure 1. Adaptive control scheme.](image)

When a solution of the nonlinear least squares (NLS) minimization problem cannot be obtained analytically, the NLS estimates must be computed using numerical methods. To optimize a nonlinear function, an iterative algorithm starts from some initial value of the argument in that function and then repeatedly calculates next available value according to a particular rule until an optimum is reached approximately. Between many different methods of numerical optimization the Levenberg-Marquardt (LM) algorithm was chosen. The LM algorithm is an iterative technique that locates the minimum of a multivariate function that is expressed as the sum of squares of non-linear real-valued functions [10]. It has become a standard technique for non-linear least-squares problems, widely adopted in a broad spectrum of disciplines. LM can be thought of as a combination of steepest descent and the Gauss-Newton method. When the current solution is far from the correct one, the algorithm behaves like a steepest descent method. When the current solution is close to the correct solution, it becomes a Gauss-Newton method.

### IV. Simulation Results

In this section, we will apply the designed adaptive control in the case of the anaerobic digestion bioprocess presented in Section II. In order to control the output pollution level $y$, as input control we chose the dilution rate, $u = D$. The main control objective is to maintain the output $y$ at a specified low level pollution $y_d \in \mathbb{R}$. We will analyze the realistic case where the structure of the system of differential equation (2) is known and specific reaction rates $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ (described by "(6)" and "(7)"") are completely unknown and must be estimated. Using a RBNN from subsection 3.2, one constructs an on-line estimate of $\Phi_1$ respectively of $\Phi_2$.

The performance of the adaptive controller presented in Subsection III - C has been tested through extensive simulations by using the process model (2). The values of yield and kinetic coefficients are: $k_1 = 3.2$, $k_2 = 16.7$, $k_3 = 1.035$, $k_4 = 1.1935$, $k_5 = 1.5$, $k_6 = 3$, $k_7 = 0.113$, $\mu_i = 0.2 h^{-1}$, $K_{s_i} = 0.5 g/l$, $\mu_i^* = 0.35 h^{-1}$, $K_{M_i} = 4 g/l$, $K_{I_i} = 21 g/l$, and the values $\alpha_1 = 1.2$, $\alpha_2 = 0.75$. It must be noted that for the reaction rates estimation a full RBNN with deviation $\sigma_i = 0.05$ was used. The centres $c_i$ of the radial basis functions are placed in the nodes of a mesh obtained by discretization of states $X_i \in [1, 12]$ g/l, $X_i \in [0.4, 0.65]$ g/l, $S_1 \in [0.1, 1.4]$ g/l and $S_2 \in [0.3, 1.6]$ g/l with $dX_i = dS_i = 0.2 g/l, i = 1, 2$.

The simulation results, obtained with a sample period $T_s = 6$ min, are presented in Figs. 2 – 5. In Fig. 2 the controlled output trajectory is presented and in Fig. 3 the nonlinear model predictive control action (dilution rate $D$ evolution) is depicted. The functions $\Phi_1$ and $\Phi_2$ provided by the RBNN are depicted versus the "real" functions in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. From these figures it can be seen that the behaviour of the control system with adaptive controller is very good, although the process dynamics are incompletely known. The control action has an oscillatory behavior, but these oscillations are relatively slow and with small magnitude.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, an adaptive control strategy was developed for a wastewater treatment bioprocess. The nonlinear model used by the control algorithm was obtained using the analytical description of the biochemical reactions. The yield coefficients are identified using an algorithm based on test functions from distribution theory. This procedure is a functional type method, which transforms a differential system of equations to an algebraic system in unknown parameters. The relation between the state variables of the system is represented by functionals using techniques from distribution theory based on test functions from a finite dimensional fundamental space. The identification algorithm has a hierarchical structure, which allows obtaining a linear algebraic system of equations in the unknown parameters. The unknown reaction rates are estimated using radial basis neural networks. The nonlinear model states are used to calculate the optimal control signal applied to the system. The optimization problem was solved using the iterative Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. The efficiency of the proposed algorithm was illustrated by numerical simulation.
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