Mobile Sensor System AGaMon for Breath Control: Thermo-cyclic Operation and Numerical Signal Analysis of Ternary Gas Mixtures

Rolf Seifert and Hubert B. Keller Institute of Applied Informatics (IAI) Karlsruhe Institute of Technology D-76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany e-mail: {rolf.seifert, hubert.keller}@kit.edu

Abstract— An innovative mobile sensor system for breath control in the exhaled air is introduced. In this paper, the application of alcohol control in the exhaled air is considered. This sensor system operates semiconducting gas sensor elements with respect to the application in a thermo-cyclic operation mode. This operation mode leads to so-called conductance-over-time-profiles (CTPs), which are fingerprints of the gas mixture under consideration and can be used for substance identification and concentration determination. Especially for the alcohol control in the exhaled air, ethanol is the leading gas component to be investigated. But, there are also other interfering gas components in the exhaled air, like H2 and acetone, which may influence the measurement results. Therefore, a ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixture was investigated. The establishing of the mathematical calibration model and the data analysis was performed with a newly developed innovative calibration and evaluation procedure called ProSens 3.0. The analysis of ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas samples with ProSens 3.0 shows a very good substance identification performance and a very good concentration determination of the leading ethanol component. The relative analysis errors for the leading component ethanol were in all considered samples less than 9%.

Keywords--alcohol control; mobile sensor system; thermocyclic operation; data analysis; substance identification; concentration determination.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is a broad field of applications of breath monitoring in human health care, medical applications and alcohol control. In this context, several approaches are suggested [1]. In particular, metal oxide gas sensors (MOG) can be used as appropriate candidates for breath control. This is due to the fact that they are very sensitive, have good longterm stability and are low in price.

But, on the other hand, when these sensor devices are operated isothermally, they are not at all selective. That means that they cannot be used for sophisticated analysis of gas mixtures. Therefore, other approaches are necessary like a gas sensor array of MOGs [2][3] or by thermo-cyclic operation of the MOG and simultaneous sampling of the conductance, which leads to so-called "conduction over time profiles" (CTPs) [4]-[7].

These profiles give a fingerprint of the surface processes with the gas and represent the gas mixture under Thorsten Conrad and Jens Peter 3S GmbH D-66121 Saarbrücken e-mail: {conrad, peter}@3S-ing.de

consideration. The gas specific features of the CTPs can be used for component identification and concentration determination. At the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), many procedures were established to evaluate such signal patterns [8], for batch-wise calibration of sensor elements [9] and also for source localization [10].

In this paper, an innovative mobile sensor system AGaMon (AtemGasMonotor, Breath Control Monitor) for breath control in the exhaled air is introduced. Especially for alcohol control, which is the investigated application in this paper, ethanol is the leading component.

But, because other components like H2 and acetone may also occur in the breathing air and may influence the measurement results, ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixtures are considered. This is an important update to the investigation performed in [11]. The analysis of these samples is performed with the calibration and evaluation program for ProSens 3.0, which is an integral component of the sensor system.

In Section II, the mobile sensor system AGaMon is described. A short outline of the calibration and evaluation procedure ProSens 3.0 is given in Section III. In Section IV, the data analysis for the application alcohol control with ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixtures is given, including the calibration set up, substance identification and concentration determination of the leading component ethanol. Section V summarizes the results of this paper.

II. MOBILE SENSOR SYSTEM AGAMON

A. Sensor System Platform and Adapter

For breath control in the air we exhale, especially for alcohol control, an innovative sensor system platform AGaMon was developed. Based on this platform, an adapter for smartphones was developed for mobile monitoring of the breathing air.

This adapter consists of a combined and modular hardware- and software system, which runs an embedded metal oxide gas sensor in a thermo-cyclic mode and which determines the alcohol content on the basis of the measurement results via an innovative calibration- and evaluation procedure ProSens 3.0 in real time. The analysis results will then be displayed on the smartphone.

The following Figure 1 shows a pre-release version of the mobile sensor system.



Figure 1. Pre-release Version of the Mobile Sensor System.

B. Electronics for Heater Control and Data Acquisition

In order to characterize and operate semiconducting gas sensor elements with respect to the application, a sensor platform was developed, which ensures a robust functioning of hard- and firmware. This platform supports a variety of commercially available metal oxide gas sensors. In this investigation, the sensor MLV (MultiLayer Varistor) from Applied Sensors [12] was used. Via its graphical user interface, different parametriseable temperature cycles can be configured.

Additionally, this system allows the sensors to be exposed to several interfering gases like: H2S (which is the leading component for halitosis), H2 (which is the leading component for dyspepsia and food intolerance), NO (which is the leading component for asthma) or Acetone (which is the leading component for diabetes), thus covering almost all significant aspects.

The core unit of the platform is a base-board with a powerful micro-controller communicating with external modules in a master-slave-configuration. The base-board is able to manage up to four gas sensor modules and features ambient condition monitoring.

The platform outputs the sensor raw data (basically the measured voltages), which can easily be transformed into resistances or conductances or pre-calculated values for a reduced data stream. Via USB, the platform is connected to a standard PC where the data live visualization and the storage is carried out. Via Bluetooth, the platform can be connected to mobile applications running on smart phones.

For the measurements in this paper, a platform with the following specifications was used:

- The temperature control allows a set-point accuracy of 2°C within an overall temperature range of 100 to 500 °C. The set-point can be updated every 10ms.
- The read-out circuit features a sampling time of better than 1ms.
- Measurement voltage accuracy is around of 5 mV (by using a 10-bit-ADC).

- The dynamic range of the read-out circuit is between 1k and 100M.
- C. Temperature Cycle

Based on the above-explained electronics, several temperature cycles have been applied to the sensors while being exposed to the gas mixtures.



Figure 2. Thermo-cyclic (step-wise) temperature cycle.

For the experiments carried out in the scope of the publication, the temperature cycle in Figure 2 has been considered. It could be shown that this temperature cycle provides the best analysis results regarding the application under consideration.

III. CALIBRATION- AND EVALUATION PROCEDURE PROSENS 3.0

As mentioned above, the calibration- and evaluation procedure ProSens 3.0 is included as an integral component in the mobile sensor system. ProSens 3.0 is an updated version of ProSens [13] to meet the requirements of this sensor system and to analyze ternary gas mixtures. Similar to ProSens, ProSens 3.0 consists of a calibration part and an evaluation part.

Using the calibration part of ProSens 3.0, the mathematical calibration model is calculated based on calibration measurements. The mathematical calibration model is a parametric model and only the parameters will be transferred to the evaluation part of ProSens 3.0. This is very important because all the time consuming calculations can be performed off-line.

If an unknown gas sample is measured, the evaluation part of ProSens 3.0 performs a substance identification and concentration determination of the sample, based on the calibration parameters. For substance identification, ProSens 3.0 determines a calculated CTP and compares this CTP with the real measured CTP. Only if the distance of calculated CTP and measured CTP is smaller than a pre-determined decision threshold, ProSens 3.0 identifies the unknown sample with the gas sample under consideration. In this case, the concentration determination will be performed.

Substance identification is very important to avoid misleading analysis results like false alarms.

IV. APPLICATION – ALCOHOL CONTROL IN THE EXHALED AIR

As mentioned in Section II-A and Section II-B, the mobile sensor system is suitable for a broad range of applications for breath monitoring.

In this application, we turn the focus to the investigation of the alcohol control in the exhaled air. In this context, ethanol is the leading component. But, there are also other interfering gas components in the air we exhale, like H2 and acetone, which may influence the measurement results. Therefore, ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixtures are investigated.

The measurements were performed with the sensor system described in Section II using the cyclic variation of the working temperature in Figure 2. The determination of the mathematical calibration model and the data analysis were performed with the included program ProSens 3.0.

A. Calibration Set Up

In order to establish the mathematical calibration model using the calibration part of ProSens 3.0, calibration measurements with dosed concentrations of the ternary gas mixtures have to be performed. The following Table 1 shows the concentrations of the gas mixtures, which are used for calibration.

 TABLE I.
 Gas Samples used for calibration

Ethanol-H₂- Aceton in ppm	Ethanol-H₂- Aceton in ppm	Ethanol-H₂- Aceton in ppm
50-10-0,5	50-10-1,0	50-10-2,0
100-10-0,5	100-10-1,0	100-10-2,0
175-10-0,5	175-10-1,0	175-10-2,0
50-20-0,5	50-20-1,0	50-20-2,0
100-20-0,5	100-20-1,0	100-20-2,0
175-20-0,5	175-20-1,0	175-20-2,0
50-30-0,5	50-30-1,0	50-30-2,0
100-30-0,5	100-30-1,0	100-30-2,0
175-30-0,5	175-30-1,0	175-30-2,0

It can be seen that only 27 samples were used for establishing the mathematical calibration model for the ternary mixture. This is a very good result, because calibration measurements are very time-consuming and expensive.

B. Data Analysis

To investigate the performance of the sensor system with the evaluation procedure ProSens 3.0, 9 further ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixtures and a foreign substance were measured in the same manner as the samples for calibration and analyzed together with the samples of the calibration process. The samples are given in Table 2.

The red marked samples are additionally measured samples. The foreign substance is not listed in Table 2.

TABLE II. GAS SAMPLES USED FOR EVALUATION

Ethanol- H₂-Aceton in ppm	Ethanol- H₂-Aceton in ppm	Ethanol- H₂-Aceton in ppm	Ethanol- H₂-Aceton in ppm
50-10-0,5	175-10-0,5	135-20-0,5	100-30-0,5
50-10-1,0	175-10-1,0	135-20-1,0	100-30-1,0
50-10-2,0	175-10-2,0	135-20-2,0	100-30-2,0
100-10-0,5	50-20-0,5	175-20-0,5	135-30-0,5
100-10-1,0	50-20-1,0	175-20-1,0	135-30-1,0
100-10-2,0	50-20-2,0	175-20-2,0	135-30-2,0
135-10-0,5	100-20-0,5	50-30-0,5	175-30-0,5
135-10-1,0	100-20-1,0	50-30-1,0	175-30-1,0
135-10-2,0	100-20-2,0	50-30-2,0	175-30-2,0

C. Substance Identification

For substance identification, as already mentioned in Section III, the calibration and evaluation ProSens 3.0 calculates the so-called calculated CTP and compares this CTP with the real measured CTP.

Figures 3, 4 and 5 give a visual impression of calculated CTPs and measured CTPs.

In Figure 3 and Figure 4, the calculated CTP (green line) and measured CTP (red line) of ternary ethanol-H2-acetone samples are plotted. It can be clearly seen, that the difference between the two curves is in both cases very small. This means that ProSens 3.0 recognizes that these samples are the ternary gas mixtures under consideration.

Theoretical CTP and measured CTP for the foreign substance are shown in Figure 5. In this case, the calculated CTP is not so close to the measured CTP as in the case of the ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixtures. So, the difference between the two curves is much larger. That means that ProSens 3.0 recognizes that this sample is not the calibrated ternary gas mixture.



Figure 3. Comparison of measured CTP and calculated CTP: Ethanol 135ppm/H2 20ppm/Acetone 2ppm.



Figure 4. Comparison of measured CTP and calculated CTP: Ethanol 135ppm/H2 30ppm/Acetone 1ppm.



Figure 5. Comparison of measured CTP and calculated CTP of a Foreign Substance.

Ethanol/H2(Aceton=0,5ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	0,0000	0,0001	0,0001
100ppm	0,0001	0,0002	0,0001
135ppm	0,0000	0,0041	0,0001
175ppm	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
Ethanol/H2(Aceton=1,0ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	0,0003	0,0000	0,0001
100ppm	0,0000	0,0000	0,0000
135ppm	0,0003	0,0027	0,0133
175ppm	0,0003	0,0000	0,0001
Ethanol/H2(Aceton=2,0ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	0,0001	0,0001	0,0001
100ppm	0,0000	0,0004	0,0000
135ppm	0,0022	0,0022	0,0035
175ppm	0,0001	0,0000	0,0000
Foreign Substance	0,5706		

TABLE III. DIFFERENCE VALUES (BETWEEN MEASURED CTP AND CALCULATED CTP)

Of course, the decision for substance identification is not based on the visual impression. Therefore, a "difference value" is calculated from the sum of quadratic differences of every sample point of the measured CTP and the theoretical CTP. Only if this difference value is smaller than a predetermined decision value, ProSens 3.0 identifies the unknown gas sample with the related calibrated gas mixture. Table 3 shows the difference value for the gas samples.

In Table 3, the green highlighted rows correspond to the difference values according to the ternary ethanol-H2-acetone mixtures, the dark green rows to ternary samples additionally measured for evaluation and the red row to the foreign substance. The difference value according to the foreign substance is 0.57 and much larger than the difference values according to the ternary gas mixtures, which are in all considered cases equal or smaller than 0.0035. Therefore, it is evident that the difference values in the green rows are smaller in dimensions than the difference value in the red row.

If the decision value is set, for example, to 0.1, there is good discrimination between the difference values of the ternary gas mixtures under consideration and the difference value of the foreign substance. That means that ProSens 3.0 is able to perform a very good substance identification.

D. Concentration Determination

After substance identification, ProSens 3.0 performs the concentration determination of the gas samples, which were identified as the ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixtures. In the application under consideration, ethanol is the leading component. That means that only the concentrations of the ethanol components of the ternary mixtures are essential. The following Table 4 shows the calculated concentrations of the ethanol component in comparison to the dosed values of the ternary ethanol-H2-acetone gas mixtures.

 TABLE IV.
 ANALYZED CONCENTRATION VALUES FOR ETHANOL IN PPM

Ethanol/H2(Aceton=0,5ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	49,6	49,1	51,2
100ppm	100,3	102,7	100,1
135ppm	131,5	141,0	133,8
175ppm	177,0	177,7	176,2
Ethanol/H2(Aceton=1,0ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	50,6	50,5	50,0
100ppm	102,1	99,0	99,8
135ppm	126,4	139,0	142,1
175ppm	174,7	174,0	172,7
Ethanol/H2(Aceton=2,0ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	49,7	49,9	49,0
100ppm	98,0	98,2	100,3
135ppm	128,0	136,2	123,5
175ppm	173,1	173,1	176,0

Next, Table 5 shows the relative analysis errors for the estimation of the ethanol concentration of the ternary gas mixture.

It can be seen that, in all cases, the relative analysis error for the ethanol concentration is smaller than 9%. This is a very good analysis result.

TABLE V. Relative Analysis Errors for the Ethanol Determination in %

Ethanol/H2(<i>Aceton=0,5ppm</i>)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	0,8	1,7	2,4
100ppm	0,2	2,7	0,0
135ppm	2,6	4,5	0,9
175ppm	1,1	1,6	0,7
Ethanol/H2(Aceton=1,0ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	1,2	1,0	0,0
100ppm	2,1	1,0	0,2
135ppm	6,4	2,9	5,3
175ppm	0,2	0,6	1,3
Ethanol/H2(Aceton=2,0ppm)	10ppm	20ppm	30ppm
50ppm	0,6	0,2	1,9
100ppm	2,0	1,8	0,3
135ppm	5,2	0,9	8,5
175ppm	1,1	1,1	0,6

Because ethanol is the leading component in this application, only the estimation of concentration of the ethanol concentration in this ternary gas mixture is important.

V. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

An innovative mobile sensor system is developed, which is able to run a variety of commercially available metal oxide gas sensors in different parametriseable thermo-cyclic modes and can be exposed to several gases in the exhaled air. Therefore, this sensor system can be applied to several applications. In the application under consideration in this paper, the alcohol control in the exhaled air, ethanol is the leading component. But, other interfering gases like H2 and acetone may occur in the air we exhale. Therefore, ternary ethanol-H2-acetone mixtures have to be considered and analysed. The sensor system, equipped with the metal oxide sensor MLV from Applied Sensors, operated in step-wise thermo-cyclic mode and with the incorporated advanced calibration and evaluation procedure ProSens 3.0, is an appropriated and powerful tool for this application. The analysis shows that very good substance identification can be achieved and the relative analysis errors of the concentration determination for the leading component ethanol is in all considered cases less than 9%, even in the presence of interfering gases like H2 and acetone.

The above obtained analysis results are based on measurements in the laboratory. In future work, the sensor system will be applied to field tests to prove the performance of the system not only to laboratory data. First tests in this area showed promising results.

Furthermore, the sensor system will be enhanced and adapted to further applications in the exhaled air like diabetes, asthma and halitosis. This would enable the sensor system to cover almost all significant aspects in human health care and medical applications.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is financially supported by the Central Innovation Program for small and medium-sized enterprises (SME) of the German Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Technologie (BMWi).

REFERENCES

- K. H. Kim, S. A. Jahan, and E. Kabir, "A review of breath analysis for diagnosis of human health", TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry, Volume 33, pp. 1–8, 2012.
- [2] P. Althainz, J. Goschnick, S. Ehrmann, and H. J. Ache, "Multisensor Microsystem for Contaminants in Air", Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 33, 1-3, pp. 72-76, 1996.
- [3] V. V. Sysoev, I. Kiselev, M. Frietsch, and J. Goschnick, "Discrimination Power of a Metal- Oxide Thin-Film Sensor Microarray", Sensors, 4, pp. 37-46, 2004.
- [4] A. Jerger, H. Kohler, F. Becker, H. B. Keller, and R. Seifert, "New applications of tin oxide gas sensors II. Intelligent sensor system for reliable monitoring of ammonia leakages",

Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 81, 2-3, pp. 301-307, 2002.

- [5] K. Frank et al., "Metal oxide gas sensors for field analysis: Novel SnO2/La2O3 sensor element for analysis of dissolved toluene/ethanol binary mixtures", Sensor 2005: 12th Internat. Conf., Nürnberg, May, 2005, Proc. Vol. 2, AMA Service GmbH, Wunstorf, pp. 207–209, 2005.
- [6] K. Frank et al., "Improving the analysis capability of tin oxide gas sensors by dynamic operation, appropriate additives and an advanced evaluation procedure", Sensor 2007: 13th Internat.Conf., Nürnberg, May, 2007, Proc. Vol. 1, AMA Service GmbH, Wunstorf, pp. 139–144, 2007.
- [7] K. Frank et al., "Chemical Analysis with Tin Oxide Gas Sensors: Choice of Additives, Method of Operation and Analysis of Numerical Signal", Sensors Letters 6, pp. 908-911, 2008.
- [8] R. Seifert, H. Keller, and J. Matthes, "A Review on Innovative Procedures for the Analysis of Data from Gas Sensor Systems and Gas Sensor Nets", Sensors & Transducers, Vol. 184, Issue 1, pp. 1-10, 2015.
- [9] R. Seifert, H. B. Keller, K. Frank, and H. Kohler, "Batch-wise Mathematical Calibration of Thermo-Cyclically Operated Tin Oxide Gas Sensors", Sensor Letters, Vol. 9/2, pp. 621-624, 2011
- [10] J. Matthes, L. Gröll, and H. B. Keller, "Source localization based on pointwise concentration measurements", Sensors and Actuators A 115, pp. 32–37, 2004.
- [11] R. Seifert, H. B. Keller, T. Conrad, and J. Peter, "Alcohol Control: Mobile Sensor System and Numerical Signal Analysis", Sensors & Transducers, Vol. 205, Issue 10, pp. 10-15, 2016,
- [12] https://ams.com/eng/Products/Environmental-Sensors/GasSensors/AS-MLV-P2 [retrieved: August, 2017]
- [13] R. Seifert, H. B. Keller, K. Frank, and H. Kohler, "ProSens an Efficient Mathematical Procedure for Calibration and Evaluation of Tin Oxide Gas Sensor Data", Sensor Letters, Vol. 9/1, pp. 7-10, 2011; doi: 10.1166/sl.2011.1408