Robustness of Random Graphs Based on Natural Connectivity

Jun Wu, Yuejin Tan, Hongzhong Deng

College of Information Systems and Management National University of Defense Technology Changsha 410073, P. R. China Email: wujunpla@hotmail.com, yjtan@nudt.edu.cn, hongzhongdeng@163.net Mauricio Barahona Department of Mathmatics Imperial College London London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom Email: m.barahona@imperial.ac.uk

Abstract—Recently, it has been proposed that the natural connectivity can be used to efficiently characterize the robustness of complex networks. Natural connectivity quantifies the redundancy of alternative routes in a network by evaluating the weighted number of closed walks of all lengths and can be regarded as the average eigenvalue obtained from the graph spectrum. In this paper, we explore the natural connectivity of random graphs both analytically and numerically and show that it increases linearly with the average degree. By comparing with regular ring lattices and random regular graphs, we show that random graphs are more robust than random regular graphs; however, the relationship between random graphs and regular ring lattices depends on the average degree and graph size. We derive the critical graph size as a function of the average degree, which can be predicted by our analytical results. When the graph size is less than the critical value, random graphs are more robust than regular ring lattices, whereas regular ring lattices are more robust than random graphs when the graph size is greater than the critical value.

Keywords-natural connectivity; robustness; complex networks; random graphs; regular graphs.

I. INTRODUCTION

Networks are everywhere. Many systems in nature and society can be described as complex networks. Examples of networks include the Internet [1], metabolic networks [2], electric power grids [3], supply chains [4], urban road networks [5], world trade web [6] and many others. Complex networks, more generally, complex systems have become pervasive in today's science and technology scenario and have recently become one of the most popular topics within the interdisciplinary area involving physics, mathematics, biology, social sciences, informatics, and other theoretical and applied sciences (see [7]–[9]). Complex networks rely for their function and performance on their robustness, that is, the ability to endure threats and survive accidental events. Due to their broad range of applications, the attack robustness of complex networks has received growing attention.

Recently, we showed that the concept of natural connectivity can be used to characterize the robustness of complex networks [30]. The concept of natural connectivity is based on the Estrada index of a graph, which has been proposed to characterize molecular structure [31], bipartivity [32], subgraph centrality [33] and expansibility [34], [35]. Natural connectivity has an intuitive physical meaning and a simple mathematical formulation. Physically, it characterizes the redundancy of alternative paths by quantifying the weighted number of closed walks of all lengths leading to a measure that works in both connected and disconnected graphs. Mathematically, the natural connectivity is obtained from the graph spectrum as an average eigenvalue and it increases strictly monotonically with the addition of edges. Abundant information about the topology and dynamical processes can be extracted from a spectral analysis of the networks. Natural connectivity sets up a bridge between the graph spectra and the robustness of complex networks and receives growing attention [36]-[38]. In our previous study [39], we have shown that the natural connectivity of regular ring lattices is independent of the network size and increases linearly with the average degree. In this paper, we investigate the natural connectivity of random graphs and compare it with regular graphs.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the concept of natural connectivity and some basic elements of random graphs. In Section 3, we derive the natural connectivity of random graphs. In Section 4, we compare the natural connectivity of random graphs with that of regular graphs. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 5.

II. RELATED WORK

Simple and effective measures of robustness are essential for the study of robustness. A variety of measures, based on different heuristics, have been proposed to quantify the robustness of networks. For instance, the vertex (edge) connectivity of a graph is an important, and probably the earliest, measure of robustness of a network [10]. However, the vertex (edge) connectivity only partly reflects the ability of graphs to retain connectedness after vertex (or edge) deletion. Other improved measures include super connectivity [11], conditional connectivity [12], restricted connectivity [13], fault diameter [14], toughness [15], scattering number [16], tenacity [17], the expansion parameter [18] and the isoperimetric number [19]. In contrast to vertex (edge) connectivity, these new measures consider both the cost to damage a network and how badly the network is damaged. Unfortunately, from an algorithmic point of view, the problem of calculating these measures for general graphs is NP-complete. This implies that these measures are of no great practical use within the context of complex networks. Another remarkable measure used to unfold the robustness of a network is the second smallest (first non-zero) eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix, also known as the algebraic connectivity. Fiedler [20] showed that the magnitude of the algebraic connectivity reflects how well connected the overall graph is; the larger the algebraic connectivity is, the more difficult it is to cut a graph into independent components. However, the algebraic connectivity is equal to zero for all disconnected networks. Therefore, it is too coarse a measure to be used for complex networks..

An alternative formulation of robustness within the context of complex networks emerged from the random graph theory [21] and was stimulated by the work of Albert et al. [22]. Instead of a strict extreme property, they proposed a statistical measure, that is, the critical removal fraction of vertices (edges) for the disintegration of a network, to characterize the robustness of complex networks. The disintegration of networks can be observed from the decrease of network performance. The most common performance measurements include the diameter, the size of the largest component, the average path length, the efficiency [23] and the number of reachable vertex pairs [24]. As the fraction of removed vertices (or edges) increases, the performance of the network will eventually collapse at a critical fraction. Although we can obtain the analytical critical removal fraction for some special networks [25]–[29], generally, this measure can only be calculated through simulations.

III. PRELIMINARIES

A. Graph and Natural Connectivity

A complex network can be viewed as a simple undirected graph G(V, E), where V is the set of vertices, and $E \subseteq V \times V$ is the set of edges. Let N = |V| and M = |E| be the number of vertices and the number of edges, respectively. Let $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{N \times N}$ be the adjacency matrix of G, where $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 1$ if vertices v_i and v_j are adjacent, and $a_{ij} = a_{ji} = 0$ otherwise. It is obvious that A(G) is a real symmetric matrix. We thus let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_N$ denote the eigenvalues of A which are usually also called the eigenvalues of the graph G itself. The set $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ..., \lambda_N\}$ is called the spectrum of G. The spectral density of G is defined as the sum of the δ functions as follows

$$\rho(\lambda) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\lambda - \lambda_i) \tag{1}$$

which converges to a continuous function when $N \to \infty$, where $\delta(\lambda - \lambda_i) = 1$ if $\lambda = \lambda_i$; and $\delta(\lambda - \lambda_i) = 0$, otherwise.

A walk of length k in a graph G is an alternating sequence of vertices and edges $v_0e_1v_1e_2...e_kv_k$, where $v_i \in V$ and $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \in E$. A walk is closed if $v_0 = v_k$. The number of closed walks is an important index for complex networks. Recently, we have defined the redundancy of alternative paths as the number of closed walks of all lengths [30]. Considering that shorter closed walks have more influence on the redundancy of alternative paths than longer closed walks and to avoid the number of closed walks of all lengths to diverge, we scale the contribution of closed walks of all lengths to the redundancy of alternative paths by dividing them by the factorial of the length k. That is, we define a weighted sum of numbers of closed walks of length k. This scaling ensures that the weighted sum does not diverge and it also means that S can be obtained from the powers of the adjacency matrix:

$$n_k = trace(A^k) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^k \tag{2}$$

Using Eq. 2, we can obtain

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n_k}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_i^k}{k!} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i^k}{k!} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\lambda_i}.$$
 (3)

Hence, the proposed weighted sum of closed walks of all lengths can be derived from the graph spectrum. We remark that Eq. 3 corresponds to the Estrada Index of the graph [31], which has been used in several contexts in the graph theory, including bipartivity [32] and subgraph centrality [33]. The natural connectivity can be defined as the average eigenvalue of the graph, as follows.

Definition [30] Let A(G) be the adjacency matrix of G and let $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_N$ be the eigenvalues of A(G). Then the natural connectivity or natural eigenvalue of G is defined by

$$\bar{\lambda} = \ln\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} e^{\lambda_i} / N\right) \tag{4}$$

It is evident from Eq. 4 that $\lambda_N \leq \overline{\lambda} \leq \lambda_1$.

A regular ring lattice $RRL_{N,2K}$ is a 2K - regular graph with N vertices on a one-dimensional lattice, in which each vertex is connected to its 2K neighbors (K on either side). In a previous study [39], we have investigated the natural connectivity of regular ring lattices and shown that random regular graphs are less robust than regular ring lattices based on natural connectivity.

Theorem III.1. [39] Let $RRL_{N,2K}$ be a regular ring lattice. Then the natural connectivity of $RRL_{N,2K}$ is

$$\bar{\lambda}_{R_{N,2K}} = \ln\left(I_0(\overbrace{2,2,\dots2}^K)\right) + o(1) \tag{5}$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

B. Erdős-Rényi Random Graphs

Random graphs have long been used for modelling the topology of systems made up of large assemblies of similar units. The theory of random graphs was introduced by Erdős *et al.* [40]. A detailed review of random graphs can be found in the classic book [21]. A random graph is obtained by starting with a set of N vertices and adding edges between them at random. In this paper, we study the random graphs of the classic Erdős-Rényi model $G_{N,p}$, in which each of the possible $C_N^2 = N(N-1)/2$ edges occurs independently with probability p. Consequently, the total number of edges M is a random variable with the expectation value $E(M) = p \cdot C_N^2$ and then the average degree $< k >= (N-1)p \approx Np$.

Random graph theory studies the properties of the probability space associated with graphs with N vertices as $N \to \infty$. Many properties of such random graphs can be determined using probabilistic arguments. We say that a graph property Q holds almost surely for $G_{N,p}$ if the probability that $G_{N,p}$ has property Q tends to one as $N \to \infty$. Furthermore, Erdős *et al.* described the behavior of $G_{N,p}$ very precisely for various values of p [41]. Their results showed that:

- If Np < 1, then a graph G_{N,p} will almost surely have no connected components of size larger than o(ln N); If Np ≥ 1, then a graph G_{N,p} will almost surely have a unique "giant" component containing a positive fraction of the vertices.
- 2) If $Np < \ln N$, then a graph $G_{N,p}$ will almost surely not be connected; If $Np \ge \ln N$, then a graph $G_{N,p}$ will almost surely be connected.

It is well known that the largest eigenvalue λ_1 of $G_{N,p}$ is almost surely Np[1 + o(1)] provided that $Np \gg \ln N$ (see [42], [43]). Moreover, according to the famous Wigner's law or semicircle law [44], as $N \to \infty$, the spectral density of $G_{N,p}$ converges to a semicircular distribution as follows

$$\rho(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\sqrt{R^2 - \lambda^2}}{\pi R^2} & |\lambda| \le R\\ 0 & |\lambda| > R \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $R = 2\sqrt{Np(1-p)}$ is the radius of the "bulk" part of the spectrum.

IV. NATURAL CONNECTIVITY OF RANDOM GRAPHS

When $N \to \infty$, with continuous approximation for λ_i , Eq. 4 can be rewritten in the following spectral density form

$$\bar{\lambda} = \ln\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \rho(\lambda)e^{\lambda}d\lambda\right) = \ln\left(M_{\lambda}(1)\right)$$
(7)

where $\rho(\lambda)$ is the spectral density and $M_{\lambda}(t)$ is the moment generating function of $\rho(\lambda)$. Consequently, we obtain the natural connectivity of Erdős-Rényi random graphs with $p \gg \ln N/N$

$$\bar{\lambda} = \ln\left(\int_{-R}^{+R} \rho(\lambda) e^{\lambda} d\lambda + e^{\lambda_1}/N\right) = \ln\left(M_{\lambda}(1) + e^{Np}/N\right)$$
(8)

where

$$M_{\lambda}(1) = \int_{-R}^{+R} \frac{2\sqrt{R^2 - \lambda^2}}{\pi R^2} e^{\lambda} d\lambda = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-R}^{+R} \frac{\sqrt{R^2 - \lambda^2}}{R^2} e^{\lambda} d\lambda$$
(9)

Substituting $\lambda = R\cos(\theta)$ into Eq. (8), we obtain that

$$M_{\lambda}(1) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{R\cos(\theta)} \sin^2(\theta) d\theta \qquad (10)$$

Note that [45]

$$I_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{(x/2)^{\alpha}}{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(\alpha + 1/2)} \int_0^{\pi} e^{x\cos(\theta)} \sin^{2\alpha}(\theta) d\theta \quad (11)$$

where $I_{\alpha}(x)$ is the modified Bessel function and $\Gamma(x)$ is the Gamma function. Then we obtain that

$$I_1(R) = \frac{R}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{R\cos(\theta)} \sin^2(\theta) d\theta$$
(12)

Using Eq. (11), we can simplify Eq. (9) as

$$M_{\lambda}(1) = 2I_1(R)/R \tag{13}$$

Substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (7), we obtain that

$$\bar{\lambda} = \ln\left(\frac{2I_1(R)}{R} + \frac{e^{Np}}{N}\right) = Np - \ln(N) + \ln\left(1 + \frac{2NI_1(R)}{e^{Np}R}\right)$$
(14)

Now we propose two lemmas first.

Lemma IV.1. As $N \to \infty$, $f(p) = 2NI_1(R)/(e^{Np}R)$ is a monotonically decreasing function for $\ln N/N , where <math>R = 2\sqrt{Np(1-p)}$.

Proof: It is easy to know that $2\sqrt{\ln N(1-\ln N/N)} < R \le \sqrt{N}$ for $\ln N/N . Then as <math>N \to \infty$, we have $R \to \infty$. Note that, for the large values of $x \gg |\alpha^2 - 1/4|$, the modified Bessel functions $I_{\alpha}(x)$ have the following asymptotic forms [46]

$$I_{\alpha}(x) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} e^x$$
 (15)

Thus, for $\ln N/N , we obtain$

$$I_1(R) \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi R}} e^R$$
 (16)

Then, we have

$$f(p) \to N\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{R-Np}}{R^{3/2}}$$
(17)

Note that,

$$\frac{df(p)}{dp} \to \frac{e^{R-Np} \left(\frac{dR}{dp} - N\right) R^{3/2} - \frac{3}{2} R^{1/2} \cdot \frac{dR}{dp} \cdot e^{R-Np}}{R^3} = \frac{N}{R^3} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left(N(2 - 4p - R) - \frac{3N(1 - 2p)}{R} \right) < 0$$
(18)

Therefore, we prove that, as $N \to \infty$, f(p) is a monotonically decreasing function for $\ln N/N .$

Lemma IV.2. Let $p_c = N^{\varepsilon-1} \ln N$, where $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Then we have $f(p_c) \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

Proof: Note that $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, thus we have $p_c \to 0$ and $1 - p_c \to 1$ as $N \to \infty$. Then we obtain that $R_{p_c} \to 2\sqrt{N^{\varepsilon} \ln N}$. Therefore, we have

$$f(p_c) \to N\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{R_{p_c} - N_{p_c}}}{R_{p_c}^{3/2}} = N\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{2\sqrt{N^{\varepsilon}\ln N} - N^{\varepsilon}\ln N}}{\left(2\sqrt{N^{\varepsilon}\ln N}\right)^{3/2}}$$

= $\frac{N^{1-3\varepsilon/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{2\sqrt{N^{\varepsilon}\ln N} - N^{\varepsilon}\ln N}}{(\ln N)^{3/4}} = \frac{N^{1-3\varepsilon/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{2\sqrt{N^{\varepsilon}\ln N} - N^{\varepsilon}\ln N}}{(\ln N)^{3/4}}$
= $\frac{N^{1-3\varepsilon/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{-(\sqrt{N^{\varepsilon}\ln N} - 1)^{2} + 1}}{(\ln N)^{3/4}}$ (19)

Since $\sqrt{N^{\varepsilon} \ln N} \gg 1$ as $N \to \infty$, we obtain that

$$f(p_c) \to \frac{N^{1-3\varepsilon/4}}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{e^{-(\sqrt{N^\varepsilon \ln N} - 1)^2 + 1}}{\left(\ln N\right)^{3/4}} \approx \frac{eN^{1-3\varepsilon/4 - N^\varepsilon}}{2\sqrt{\pi} \left(\ln N\right)^{3/4}} \to 0$$
(20)

The proof is completed.

From Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, it is easy to derive that, for $p_c \leq p \leq 1 - p_c$, $f(p) \leq f(p_c) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem IV.3. Let $G_{N,p}$ be a random graph with $N^{\varepsilon-1} \ln N , where <math>0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. Then the natural connectivity of $G_{N,p}$ almost surely is

$$\bar{\lambda} = Np - \ln(N) + o(1) \tag{21}$$

where $o(1) \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

From Eq. (20), we know that the natural connectivity of random graphs increases linearly with edge density pgiven the graph size N. Note that $\langle k \rangle = Np$; thus, we also observe that the natural connectivity of random graphs increases linearly with the average degree given the graph size N. To verify our result, we simulate 1000 independent $G_{N,p}$ and compute the average natural connectivity for each combination of N and p. In Figure 1, we plot the natural connectivity of random graphs with both numerical results and analytical results. We observe that the numerical results agree well with the analytical results.

V. COMPARISONS BETWEEN RANDOM GRAPHS AND REGULAR GRAPHS

To investigate the effect of randomness and small-world on the network robustness, we compare the natural connectivity of Erdős-Rényi random graphs $G_{N,p}$ with regular ring lattices $RRL_{N,2K}$ and random regular graphs $RRG_{N,2K}$ [47]. We choose $p \approx 2K/N$ and $\langle k \rangle = 2K$ and thus three types of networks have the same number of vertices and edges. The results are shown in Figure 2. We find that random graphs are always robustness than random regular graphs. However, the curves of regular ring lattices



Figure 1. Natural connectivity of random graphs: (a) $\overline{\lambda}$ vs. p with N = 100 (circles), 500 (triangles) and 1000 (diamonds); (b) $\overline{\lambda}$ vs. N with p = 0.1 (circles), 0.3 (triangles), 0.5 (diamonds). Each quantity is an average over 1000 realizations. The lines represent the corresponding analytical results according to Eq. (20).

cross those of random graphs; furthermore, random graphs are more robust than regular ring lattices prior to crossings (dense networks), whereas regular ring lattices are more robust than random graphs over crossings (sparse networks). This means that there is a critical graph size N_c , that is as a function of K. For example, for K = 5, we find that $N_c \approx 60$.



Figure 2. Natural connectivity of random graphs of regular ring lattices $RRL_{N,2K}$ (squares), random regular graphs $RRG_{N,2K}$ (triangles) and random graphs $G_{N,p}$ (diamonds) with the same number of vertices and edges. From bottom to top, the symbols correspond to K = 3, 4, 5, respectively. Each quantity is an average over 1000 realizations.

For large values of K, we can analytically predict the values of N_c using Eq. (4) and Eq. (20) as follows

$$\ln\left(I_0(\overbrace{2,2,...2}^K)\right) = Np - \ln(N) = 2K - \ln(N)$$

$$\Rightarrow N_c \approx e^{2K - I_0(\overbrace{2,2,...2}^K)}$$
(22)

The results are shown in Figure 3. Moreover, we also find that there is a critical value p_c or K_c as a function of graph size N. Regular ring lattices are more robust than random graphs when the edge density $p < p_c$, whereas random graphs are more robust than regular ring lattices when the edge density $p > p_c$.



Figure 3. The critical value N_c as a function of graph size K according to Eq. (21).

To explore the critical behaviors of graphs in depth, we randomize regular ring lattices by random rewiring [48] and by random degree-preserving rewiring [49], which leads to random graphs and random regular graphs, respectively. In Figure 4, the natural connectivity is represented as a function of the number of rewiring, starting from regular ring lattices with $N = 30 < N_c$ and $N = 100 > N_c$, where K = 5. We find that the natural connectivity decreases during the process of random degree-preserving rewiring and equals to the value of a random regular graph finally. It means that regular ring lattices are more robust than random regular graphs for both N = 30 and N = 100. The case of random rewiring is more complicated. Different processes of random rewiring for N = 30 and N = 100 are shown in Figure 4. The natural connectivity increases during the process of random rewiring for $N = 30 < N_c$; however, for N = $100 > N_c$, the natural connectivity first decreases during the process of random rewiring and then increases during the process of random rewiring; finally, equals to the value of a



Figure 4. Natural connectivity during the processes of random rewiring (diamonds) and random degree-preserving rewiring (triangles) starting from regular ring lattices with N = 30 (a), N = 100 (b), where K = 5. The solid lines represent the values of random regular graphs and the dashed lines represent the values of random graphs. Each quantity is an average over 1000 realizations.

random graph finally (smaller than the value of a regular ring lattice). It means that randomness increases the robustness of a dense regular ring lattice, but decreases the robustness of a sparse regular ring lattice.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have investigated the natural connectivity of Erdős-Rényi random graphs $G_{N,p}$ in this paper. We have presented the spectral density form of natural connectivity and derived the natural connectivity of random graphs analytically using the Wigner's semicircle law. In addition, we have shown that the natural connectivity of random graphs increases linearly with edge density p given a large graph size N. The analytical results agree with the numerical results very well.

We have compared the natural connectivity of random graphs $G_{N,p}$ with regular ring lattices $RRL_{N,2K}$ and random regular graphs $RRG_{N,2K}$ with the same number of vertices and edges. We have shown that random graphs are more robust than random regular graphs; however the relationship between random graphs and regular ring lattices depends on the graph size N and the edge density p or the average degree $\langle k \rangle$. We have observed that the critical value N_c as a function of K, and the critical value p_c and K_c as a function of graph size N, which can be predicted by our analytical results. We have explored the critical behavior by random rewiring from regular ring lattices. We have shown that randomness increases the robustness of a dense regular ring lattice, but decreases the robustness of a sparse regular ring lattice. Our results will be of great theoretical and practical significance to the network robustness design and optimization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos 70771111, 60904065, 71031007, 71171195 and 11101429, and the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education under Grant No 20094307120001.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Vázquez, R. Pastor-Satorras, and A. Vespignani, "Largescale topological and dynamical properties of the internet," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 65, no. 6, p. 066130, 2002.
- [2] H. Jeong, B. Tombor, R. Albert, Z. N. Oltvai, and A. L. Barabási, "The large-scale organization of metabolic networks," *Nature*, vol. 407, no. 6804, pp. 651–654, 2000.
- [3] M. Rosas-Casals, S. Valverde, and R. V. Sole, "Topological vulnerability of the european power grid under errors and attacks," *Int. J. Bifurcat. Chaos*, vol. 17, no. 7, pp. 2465– 2475, 2007.
- [4] H. P. Thadakamalla, U. N. Raghavan, S. Kumara, and R. Albert, "Survivability of multiagent-based supply networks: A topological perspective," *IEEE Intell. Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 24–31, 2004.
- [5] F. Xie and D. Levinson, "Measuring the structure of road networks," *Geogr. Anal.*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 336–356, 2007.
- [6] M. A. Serrano and M. Boguñá, "Topology of the world trade web," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 68, no. 1, p. 015101, 2003.
- [7] R. Albert and A. L. Barabási, "Statistical mechanics of complex networks," *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. 47– 97, 2002.
- [8] M. E. J. Newman, "The structure and function of complex networks," *Siam Rev.*, vol. 45, pp. 167–256, 2003.
- [9] L. A. N. Amaral and B. Uzzi, "Complex systems a new paradigm for the integrative study of management, physical, and technological systems," *Management Sci.*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 1033–1035, 2007.
- [10] H. Whitney, "Congruent graphs and the connectivity of graphs," Am. J. Math., vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 150–168, 1932.
- [11] G. Bauer and G. Bolch, "Analytical approach to discrete optimization of queuing-networks," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 494–502, 1990.
- [12] F. Harary, "Conditional connectivity," *Networks*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 347–357, 1983.
- [13] A. H. Esfahanian and S. L. Hakimi, "On computing a conditional edge-connectivity of a graph," *Inform. Process. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 195–199, 1988.
- [14] M. S. Krishnamoorthy and B. Krishnamurthy, "Fault diameter of interconnection networks," *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 13, no. 5-6, pp. 577–582, 1987.

- [15] V. Chvátal, "Tough graphs and hamiltonian circuits," *Discr. Math.*, vol. 5, pp. 215–228, 1973.
- [16] H. A. Jung, "Class of posets and corresponding comparability graphs," J. Comb. Theory B, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 125–133, 1978.
- [17] M. Cozzen, D. Moazzami, and S. Stueckle, "The tenacity of a graph," in *Seventh International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Graphs.* New York: Wiley, 1995, pp. 1111–1122.
- [18] N. Alon, "Eigenvalues and expanders," *Combinatorica*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 83–96, 1986.
- [19] B. Mohar, "Isoperimetric number of graphs," J. Comb. Theory B, vol. 47, pp. 274–291, 1989.
- [20] M. Fiedler, "Algebraic connectivity of graphs," *Czech. Math. J.*, vol. 23, pp. 298–305, 1973.
- [21] B. Bollobás, *Random Graphs*. New York: Academic Press, 1985.
- [22] R. Albert, H. Jeong, and A. L. Barabasi, "Error and attack tolerance of complex networks," *Nature*, vol. 406, no. 6794, pp. 378–382, 2000.
- [23] V. Latora and M. Marchiori, "Efficient behavior of smallworld networks," *Phys Rev Lett*, vol. 87, p. 198701, 2001.
- [24] G. Siganos, S. L. Tauro, and M. Faloutsos, "Jellyfish: a conceptual model for the as internet topology," *J Commun Netw*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 339–350, 2006.
- [25] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben Avraham, and S. Havlin, "Breakdown of the internet under intentional attack," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 86, no. 16, pp. 3682–3685, 2001.
- [26] —, "Breakdown of the internet under intentional attack," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 86, no. 16, pp. 3682–3685, 2001.
- [27] D. S. Callaway, M. E. J. Newman, S. H. Strogatz, and D. J. Watts, "Network robustness and fragility: percolation on random graphs," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 85, no. 25, pp. 5468– 5471, 2000.
- [28] J. Wu, H. Z. Deng, Y. J. Tan, and Y. Li, "A robustness model of complex networks with tunable attack information parameter," *Chin. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 2138–2141, 2007.
- [29] J. Wu, Y. J. Tan, H. Z. Deng, and D. Z. Zhu, "Vulnerability of complex networks under intentional attack with incomplete information," *J. Phys. A*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 2665–2671, 2007.
- [30] J. Wu, M. Barahona, Y. J. Tan, and H. Z. Deng, "Natural connectivity of complex networks," *Chin. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 27, no. 7, p. 078902, 2010.
- [31] E. Estrada, "Characterization of 3d molecular structure," *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 319, no. 5-6, pp. 713–718, 2000.
- [32] E. Estrada and J. A. Rodrłguez-Velzquez, "Spectral measures of bipartivity in complex networks," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 72, no. 4, p. 046105, 2005.

- [33] —, "Subgraph centrality in complex networks," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 71, no. 5, p. 056103, 2005.
- [34] E. Estrada, "Network robustness to targeted attacks. the interplay of expansibility and degree distribution," *Eur. Phys. J. B*, vol. 52, no. 4, pp. 563–574, 2006, times Cited: 5.
- [35] —, "Spectral scaling and good expansion properties in complex networks," *Europhys. Lett.*, vol. 73, no. 4, pp. 649– 655, 2006.
- [36] Y. L. Shang, "Local natural connectivity in complex networks," *Chinese Physics Letters*, vol. 28, no. 6, p. 068903, 2011.
- [37] —, "Perturbation results for the estrada index in weighted networks," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 44, no. 7, 2011, 075003.
- [38] P. Zhang and Q. S. Ma, "A method of evaluating robustness of more-electrical-aircraft power system based on natural connectivity," in *6th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and pplications*. Beijing: IEEE, 2011, pp. 158–160.
- [39] J. Wu, M. Barahona, Y. J. Tan, and H. Z. Deng, "Robustness of regular ring lattices based on natural connectivity," *Int. J. Syst. Sci.*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 1085–1092, 2011.
- [40] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, "On random graphs," *Publicationes Mathematicae*, vol. 6, pp. 290–297, 1959.
- [41] —, "On the evolution of random graphs," *Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci*, vol. 5, pp. 17–61, 1960.
- [42] F. Chung, L. Y. Lu, and V. Vu, "Spectra of random graphs with given expected degrees," *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, vol. 100, no. 11, pp. 6313–6318, 2003.
- [43] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov, "The largest eigenvalue of sparse random graphs," *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 61–72, 2003.
- [44] E. Wigner, "Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions," Ann. of Math., vol. 62, no. 3, pp. 548– 564, 1955.
- [45] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables. New York: Dover, 1972.
- [46] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 6th ed. San Diego: Academic Press, 2005.
- [47] A. Steger and N. C. Wormald, "Generating random regular graphs quickly," *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 377–396, 1999.
- [48] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz, "Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks," *Nature*, vol. 393, no. 6684, pp. 440– 442, 1998.
- [49] M. E. J. Newman, "Assortative mixing in networks," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 89, no. 20, p. 20871, 2002.